New Jersey

Are New Teachers Being Prepared for College- and Career-Readiness Standards?

2014 State Teacher Policy Yearbook

National Council on Teacher Quality

Acknowledgments

STATES

State education agencies remain our most important partners in this effort, and their gracious cooperation has helped to ensure the factual accuracy of the final product. Although this year's edition did not require the extensive review that comprehensive editions require, we still wanted to make sure that we captured all relevant policy changes and that states' perspectives were represented. Every state formally received a draft of the policy updates we identified in June 2014 as well as a draft of analyses and recommendations for the new indicators related to college- and career-readiness standards for comment and correction. States also received a final draft of their reports a month prior to release. All but two states responded to our inquiries. While states do not always agree with our recommendations, their willingness to engage in dialogue, explain their differing points of view and often acknowledge the imperfections of their teacher policies are important steps in moving forward.

FUNDERS

The primary funders for the 2014 Yearbook were:

- Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation
- Carnegie Corporation of New York
- Gleason Family Foundation
- J.A. and Kathryn Albertson Foundation
- The Joyce Foundation
- The Walton Family Foundation

The National Council on Teacher Quality does not accept any direct funding from the federal government.

STAFF

Sandi Jacobs, *Project Director* Kathryn M. Doherty, *Special Contributor* Kelli Lakis, *Lead Researcher* Phil Lasser and Lisa N. Staresina, *Researchers*

Special thanks to Leigh Zimnisky and Justin Rakowski at Ironmark for their design of the 2014 Yearbook. Thanks also to Colleen Hale and Jeff Hale at EFA Solutions for the original Yearbook design and ongoing technical support.

D National Council on Teacher Quality

Teacher Preparation Policy Priorities for New Jersey

Prepare all teachers to meet the instructional shifts of college- and career-readiness standards for students.

- Strengthen preparation requirements to ensure teacher candidates have the ability to address the use of informational texts as well as incorporate complex informational texts into classroom instruction.
 Priority for elementary, middle, secondary and special education teacher preparation.
- Through testing frameworks or teacher standards, include literacy skills and using text to build content knowledge in history/ social studies, science, technical subjects and the arts.
 Priority for elementary, middle, secondary and special education teacher preparation.
- Ensure teachers are prepared to intervene and support students who are struggling with reading. *Priority for elementary, middle, secondary and special education teacher preparation.*

Additional priorities for elementary teacher preparation:

- Require a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.
- Require early childhood education teachers who teach at the elementary level to pass a content test with separate passing scores for each of the core subject areas.
- Require a content specialization in an academic subject area.

Additional priorities for secondary teacher preparation:

Require secondary social studies teachers to pass a content test for each discipline they are licensed to teach.

Additional priorities for special education teacher preparation:

- Require elementary special education candidates to pass a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.
- Ensure secondary special education teachers possess adequate content knowledge for the grades and subjects they teach.

Hold preparation programs accountable:

- Collect performance data to monitor programs, including student achievement gains.
- Set minimum standards for program performance with consequences for failure to meet those standards.

Teacher Preparation in New Jersey

The 2014 *State Teacher Policy Yearbook* keeps the spotlight on the critical issue of teacher preparation. In addition to updating the full set of teacher preparation policies reviewed in last year's comprehensive edition, the 2014 *Yearbook* casts a critical eye on whether states have established requirements for teacher preparation and licensure that help to ensure that teachers are ready for the increased demands of states' college- and career-readiness standards for K-12 students.

Current Status of New Jersey Teacher Prep Policy

B- 2014 Teacher Prep Grade

Prior Grades: B- 2013 C- 2012 D+ 2011					
Yearbook Goal	Торіс	2014 Score	2013 Score		
1-A	Admission into Preparation Programs		•		
1-B	Elementary Teacher Preparation	•	•		
1-C	Elementary Teacher Preparation in Reading Instruction	\bigcirc	\bigcirc		
1-D	Elementary Teacher Preparation in Mathematics	•	•		
1-E	Middle School Teacher Preparation		•		
1-F	Secondary Teacher Preparation	•	4		
1-G	Secondary Teacher Preparation in Science				
1-H	Special Education Teacher Preparation				
1-I	Assessing Professional Knowledge		\bigcirc		
1-J	Student Teaching				
1-К	Teacher Preparation Program Accountability	٠			
 Does Not Meet Meets Only a Small Part Partially Meets Nearly Meets Fully Meets 					

2014 Teacher Prep Policy Update for New Jersey

Based on a review of state legislation, rules and regulations, NCTQ has identified the following recent teacher prep policy changes in New Jersey:

Admission to Teacher Preparation

Beginning September 1, 2015, New Jersey will require prospective teachers to pass a test of academic proficiency as a criterion for admission to teacher preparation programs. This requirement can be waived with sufficient scores on the SAT, ACT or GRE. The state will also require a 2.75 GPA, and programs are required to have "an average cumulative GPA of the accepted cohort of candidates" of at least a 3.0.

Admission Requirements http://www.state.nj.us/education/educators/rpr/preparation/overview.pdf

Elementary Teacher Preparation

Elementary teacher candidates are now required to pass the revised Praxis II Elementary Education: Multiple Subjects (5001) test.

Praxis Test Requirements http://www.ets.org/praxis

Assessing Professional Knowledge

Beginning in 2016, candidates must pass a Commissioner-approved performance-based assessment of teaching. *Test Requirements*

http://www.state.nj.us/education/educators/rpr/preparation/overview.pdf

Teacher Preparation Program Accountability

The state's website now includes a report card that allows the public to review and compare program performance, including data on candidates' test scores and placements in the state. *Teacher Preparation Accountability Reports*

http://www.state.nj.us/education/educators/rpr/preparation/providers/2014/provider.shtml

New Jersey Response to Policy Update

States were asked to review NCTQ's identified updates and also to comment on policy changes related to teacher preparation that have occurred in the last year, pending changes, or teacher preparation in the state more generally.

New Jersey was helpful in providing NCTQ with additional information about policy changes related to teacher preparation.

New Jersey indicated that the state legislature recently passed a statute requiring professional development for all teachers in the area of struggling readers.

http://education.state.nj.us/broadcasts/2014/APR/15/11264/Update%20on%20Dyslexia%20Legislation.pdf

Figure A

		/	1	/
Delivering well-				
prepared teachers	2014 GRADE	2013 GRADE	2012 GRADE	2011 GRADE
Alabama	B-	В	B-	С
Alaska	F	F	F	F
Arizona	D	D-	D-	D-
Arkansas	C+	C+	С	С
California	D+	D+	D	D
Colorado	D-	D-	D	D-
Connecticut	B-	B-	C+	C-
Delaware	B-	C+	D-	D-
District of Columbia	C-	D+	D	D
Florida	B+	B+	B-	B-
Georgia	C+	C+	С	С
Hawaii	D-	F	D	D
Idaho	D+	D+	D	D
Illinois	D+	D+	D	D
Indiana	B+	B+	B-	C+
lowa	D+	D+	D	D
Kansas	D+	D+	D+	D+
Kentucky	B-	B-	C+	C-
Louisiana	С	C-	С	С
Maine	D+	D+	D+	D
Maryland	D+	D+	D+	D+
Massachusetts	B-	B-	C+	C+
Michigan	D+	D	D+	D+
Minnesota	C+	C+	C+	С
Mississippi	С	C-	С	С
Missouri	B-	C-	D+	D+
Montana	F	F	F	F
Nebraska	D-	F	D-	D-
Nevada	D-	D-	D-	D-
New Hampshire	C-	C-	C-	D
NEW JERSEY	B-	B-	C-	D+
New Mexico	D+	D	D+	D+
New York	В	B-	C-	D+
North Carolina	C+	C+	D-	D-
North Dakota	D	D	D	D
Ohio	C	C	C-	D+
Oklahoma	C	С	C	С
Oregon	D+	D	D-	D-
Pennsylvania	C	С	C	С
Rhode Island	B+	B+	C	D+
South Carolina	C+	C	C-	C-
South Dakota	D	D-	D	D
Tennessee	B-	B-	B-	B-
Texas	B	B	C+	C+
Utah	C-	D+	D	D
Vermont	C	C	C-	D+
Virginia	B-	C+	C-	C-
Washington	D+	D+	D+	D+
West Virginia	C+	C+	C-	C-
Wisconsin	C	C-	D+	D
Wyoming	D-	F	F	F
Average State Grade		C-	D+	D
Average State Grade		C-	D+	U

Figure B

Delivering well.

Delivering well- prepared teachers	2014 GRADE
Florida	B+
Indiana	B+
Rhode Island	B+
New York	В
Texas	В
Alabama	B-
Connecticut	B-
Delaware	B-
Kentucky	B-
Massachusetts	B-
Missouri	B-
NEW JERSEY	В-
Tennessee	B-
Virginia	B-
Arkansas	C+
Georgia	C+
Minnesota	C+
North Carolina	C+
South Carolina	C+
West Virginia	C+
Louisiana	C
Mississippi	C
Ohio	C
Oklahoma	C
Pennsylvania	C
Vermont	C
Wisconsin	C
District of Columbia	C-
New Hampshire	C-
Utah	C-
California	D+
Idaho	D+
Illinois	D+
lowa	D+
Kansas	D+
Maine	D+
Maryland	D+
Michigan	D+
New Mexico	D+
Oregon	D+
Washington	D+
Arizona	D
North Dakota	D
South Dakota	D
Colorado	D-
Hawaii	D-
Nebraska	D-
Nevada	D- D-
Wyoming	D-
Alaska	F
Montana	
	F
Average State Grade	C

Elementary Teacher Preparation

Key Components

(The factors considered in determining the states' ratings for this topic.)

- The state should ensure that all elementary teachers are sufficiently prepared for the ways that college- and career-readiness standards affect instruction of all subject areas. Specifically,
 - A. The state should require that all new elementary teachers are prepared to incorporate complex texts and academic language into instruction.
 - B. The state should ensure that all new elementary teachers are prepared to incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.
 - C. The state should ensure that all new elementary teachers of English language arts are prepared to support struggling readers.
- 2. The state should require that new elementary teachers, including those who can teach elementary grades on an early childhood license, pass a rigorous test of reading instruction in order to attain licensure.
- 3. The state should ensure that all elementary teacher candidates, including those who can teach elementary grades on an early childhood license, possess sufficient content knowledge in all core subjects, including mathematics.
- 4. The state should require that its approved teacher preparation programs deliver a comprehensive program of study in broad liberal arts coursework. An adequate curriculum is likely to require approximately 45 credit hours to ensure appropriate depth in the core subject areas of English, mathematics, science, social studies and fine arts.
- 5. The state should require elementary teacher candidates to complete a content specialization in an academic subject area. In addition to enhancing content knowledge, this requirement ensures that prospective teachers have taken higher-level academic coursework.



NEW JERSEY NCTQ STATE TEACHER POLICY YEARBOOK 2014 : 5

PREPARING ELEMENTARY TEACHERS FOR COLLEGE- AND CAREER-READINESS STANDARDS

The new demands of college- and career-readiness standards for students heighten the need for elementary teachers to have a strong content background in all of the subject matter taught in the elementary grades. New Jersey, like most states, has adopted such standards and must ensure that its preparation and licensure requirements for new teachers address this need.

Currently, New Jersey offers an elementary license to teach grades K-6. The state also offers an early childhood license for grades PreK-3. Key licensing requirements for elementary school teachers in New Jersey include:

NEW JERSEY ELEMENTARY TEACHER PREP SNAPSHOT

State requires passing a content test in each of the four core subjects.

State requires adequate test on the science of reading.

- State requires academic content specialization.
- State has adequate/appropriate requirements for teachers who teach elementary grades on an early childhood license.

🖌 Yes 🛛 👗 No

In addition to the strong content background called for by collegeand career-readiness standards, teacher candidates must also be prepared for the key instructional shifts that differentiate these standards from their predecessors. Elementary teachers in New Jersey are required to pass the revised Praxis II Elementary Education: Multiple Subjects (5001) test. The reading and language arts subtest includes some of the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with these standards. However, although the framework now addresses complex texts, it does so only in the context of measuring text complexity and does not address how to also incorporate increasingly complex texts into instruction.

Early childhood education teachers must pass the Praxis II Early Childhood: Content Knowledge (5022) test, which makes no mention of informational texts.

The state's professional standards require that "the teacher develops and implements supports for learner literacy development across content areas."

RECOMMENDATIONS

Ensure that elementary teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and careerreadiness standards for students.

Incorporate informational text of increasing complexity into classroom instruction.

New Jersey's adoption of the new Multiple Subjects test is a step in the right direction. However, the testing framework does not adequately capture all of the major instructional shifts of college- and career-readiness standards. New Jersey is therefore encouraged to strengthen its teacher preparation requirements and ensure that all elementary candidates have the ability to incorporate complex informational texts into classroom instruction. The state's early childhood education teachers should also possess the ability to address the use of informational texts as well as incorporate complex informational texts into classroom instruction.

Incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.

To ensure that elementary students are capable of accessing varied information about the world around them, New Jersey should also—either through testing frameworks or teacher standards—more specifically include literacy skills and using text to build content knowledge in history/social studies, science, technical subjects and the arts.

Support struggling readers.

New Jersey should articulate specific requirements ensuring that elementary teachers are prepared to intervene and support students who are struggling. The early elementary grades are an especially important time to address reading deficiencies before students fall behind. New Jersey's Multiple Subjects test does not address struggling readers, and its Early Childhood: Content Knowledge test only vaguely addresses the topic by requiring teachers to know the "major indicators of common reading difficulties (e.g., delays in learning to read, dyslexia, comprehension difficulties)."

Supporting Research

Praxis Test Requirement

www.ets.org New Jersey Administrative Code 6A:9-3.3, -10.2

NEW JERSEY RESPONSE TO ANALYSIS

New Jersey was helpful in providing NCTQ with facts that enhanced this analysis. The state added that recent legislation now requires professional development for all teachers in the area of struggling readers. New Jersey also noted that it will be revising its content test requirements within the next two years to more closely align with its college- and career-readiness standards.

Supporting Research

http://education.state.nj.us/broadcasts/2014/APR/15/11264/Update%20on%20Dyslexia%20Legislation.pdf

RECOMMENDATIONS CONTINUED

Require all teacher candidates who teach elementary grades to pass a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.

New Jersey should require a rigorous reading assessment tool to ensure that its elementary teacher candidates are adequately prepared in the science of reading instruction before entering the classroom. The assessment should clearly test knowledge and skills related to the science of reading and address all five instructional components of scientifically based reading instruction: phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary and comprehension. If the test is combined with an assessment that also tests general pedagogy or elementary content, it should report a subscore for the science of reading specifically. Elementary teachers who do not possess the minimum knowledge in this area should not be eligible for licensure.

Ensure that early childhood education teachers are adequately prepared to teach at the elementary level.

New Jersey should require all early childhood teacher candidates who teach the elementary grades to pass a content test with separate passing scores for each of the core subject areas including reading/language arts, mathematics, science and social studies. Although requiring content testing for early childhood education teacher candidates is a sound requirement, New Jersey should strengthen its policy and require separate, meaningful passing scores for each area on the test. The state should also require all early childhood education teacher candidates who teach elementary grades to pass a rigorous assessment to ensure that they are adequately prepared in the science of reading instruction before entering the classroom.

RECOMMENDATIONS CONTINUED

Require elementary teacher candidates to complete a content specialization in an academic subject area.

New Jersey should ensure that elementary teacher candidates who major in technology are required to choose an area related to instruction in the elementary classroom. In addition to enhancing content knowledge, this requirement would ensure that prospective teachers in New Jersey take higher-level academic coursework. The requirement also provides an important safeguard in the event that candidates are unable to successfully complete clinical practice requirements. With an academic concentration (or better still a major or minor), candidates who are not ready for the classroom and do not pass student teaching can still be on track to complete a degree.

Ensure that teacher preparation programs deliver a comprehensive program of study in broad liberal arts coursework.

New Jersey should either articulate a more specific set of standards or establish more comprehensive coursework requirements for elementary teacher candidates that align with the college- and career-readiness standards to ensure that candidates will complete coursework relevant to the common topics in elementary grades. An adequate curriculum is likely to require approximately 45 credit hours in the core subject areas of English, mathematics, science, social studies and fine arts.

	pared not pared	NCORPORATING	SUPPORTING SUPPORTING SUPPORTING
Figure 1		41 1	El CLS
Are states ensuring that new	/	ğ ;	
elementary teachers are pre	pared		224
for the instructional shifts	' . O	5	NC N
associated with college- and	- 1	04	122
career-readiness standards?	, ö	55	day Jack
career-readiness standards?	55	₹Ŷ	EF 2
Alabama			
Alaska			
Arizona			
Arkansas			
California			
Colorado			
Connecticut			
Delaware			
District of Columbia			
Florida			
Georgia			
Hawaii			
Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana			
lowa			
Kansas			
Kentucky			
Louisiana			
Maine			
Maryland			
Massachusetts			
Michigan			
Minnesota			
Mississippi			
Missouri			
Montana			
Nebraska			
Nevada			
New Hampshire			
NEW JERSEY			
New Mexico			
New York			
North Carolina			
North Dakota			
Ohio			
Oklahoma			
Oregon			
Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina			
South Dakota			
Tennessee			
Texas			
Utah			
Vermont			
Virginia			
Washington			
West Virginia			
Wisconsin			
Wyoming			
Fully addresses instructional component	Partially add	Iraccas inst	ructional component

SUMMARY OF ELEMENTARY TEACHER **PREP FIGURES**

Figure 1

Requirements for instructional shifts associated with college- and career-readiness standards

- Figure 2 Content test requirements
- Figure 3 Specific subject-matter requirements
- Figure 4 Science of reading requirements
- Figure 5 Math requirements
- Figure 6

Requirements for academic concentrations

- Figure 7 Requirements for early childhood teachers
- Figure 8

Teacher Prep Review findings about elementary teacher prep

Fully addresses instructional component 🛛 Partially addresses instructional component

Figure 2		Elementary content fact	.e. /	*
Do states ensure that	LA LA		2001	હું હું
elementary teachers	Č Š	tsup Itent	tssing Intern	SCO, SCO
know core content?	E E E		2 1 2 1 1 1 2 1	duii
Know core content:	ZEO	Paris	ntar np	st re
	SEL	Elem ith se	th co	No test ^{required}
		2.2	Elementary content	<
Alabama				
Alaska				1
Arizona				
Arkansas California				
Colorado				
Connecticut				
Delaware				
District of Columbia				
Florida				
Georgia				
Hawaii				
Idaho				
Illinois				
Indiana Iowa				
Kansas				
Kentucky				
Louisiana				
Maine				
Maryland				
Massachusetts			2	
Michigan				
Minnesota				
Mississippi				
Missouri				
Montana Nebraska				
Nevada				
New Hampshire				
NEW JERSEY				
New Mexico				
New York				
North Carolina			2	
North Dakota				
Ohio				3
Oklahoma				
Oregon Pennsylvania				
Rhode Island				
South Carolina				
South Dakota				
Tennessee				
Texas				
Utah				
Vermont				
Virginia				
Washington				
West Virginia				
Wisconsin Wyoming				
wyonning	21			4
	21	9	17	4

EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE

Both Arkansas and California ensure that elementary teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of collegeand career-readiness standards for students. These states specify that elementary teacher candidates must have the ability to not only build content knowledge and vocabulary through careful reading of informational and literary texts, but also to challenge students with texts of increasing complexity.

Candidates are also required to incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject and are prepared to intervene and support students who are struggling.

In addition, Indiana ensures that all candidates licensed to teach the elementary grades, including early childhood education candidates, possess the requisite knowledge of core content and of the key elements of scientifically based reading instruction before entering the classroom. Elementary and early childhood teacher candidates are required to pass a content test comprised of four independently scored subtests, including mathematics. In addition, these candidates are required to pass a comprehensive assessment that tests the five elements of scientifically based reading instruction: phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary and comprehension. Elementary teacher candidates in Indiana must also earn either a major or minor in an academic content area.

Massachusetts's MTEL mathematics subtest continues to set the standard in this area by evaluating mathematics knowledge beyond an elementary school level and challenging candidates' understanding of underlying mathematics concepts.

1. Alaska does not require testing for initial licensure.

2. Massachusetts and North Carolina require a general curriculum test that does not report scores for each elementary subject. A separate score is reported for math.

3. Only teachers of grades 4 and 5 are required to pass a content test in Ohio.

Figure 3			EN	GLISH		/		SCIE	INCE			S		L STI	JDIE	5			NE RTS
Do states expect		e,	Writing/Com	′/、 /	e/	/	/	Earth Science	e) /	.e. /		/=	World L.	jent i	World History (Modern)	·/	/ /		/
elementary teachers		atur	itera	mar	df.[l	/		al S _{Ci}	, ,	jen C	્રે	હે	ernn	(Anc	No No	22	' / /		
to have in-depth	-	Lite	يوبه ا				' /	J.S.C	he S.	/	Hist	Hist	ੈ	je /	j. j	stern's		×/ /	/
knowledge of	ې رې			er's	.2	\$ 2	2 7			. č	5	5				^a ph		5	
core content?	American	ond bho	Krit.	Children's Liter	Chemica	Physics	ener	Earth c	Biology/Life Sci.	men	American History I	America History II	Vort	Vorte	Lon A	Ceography	Art Histor	Music	
	र / 	Z	-0	/ 0 /	G	19		44	/ 42 /	र -	/ प ^र	/ ਵ	/ <u>~</u>	/ _ /	/-<	<u>-/ G /</u>	र , 		
Alabama			*																
Alaska Arizona							-	-	+							+		*	
Arkansas			-				-									$\mathbf{\star}$			
California			-			+	\mathbf{I}	-	-		+	+	+	+		$\widehat{\bullet}$		*	
Colorado																			
Connecticut										+	+								
Delaware			*			*		*	*	A	÷	+	*						
District of Columbia			+			*		+	+	*	*	+	+						
Florida			*		*		*	*	*			X				*			
Georgia			*				*	*	*	*	*	*				*			
Hawaii																			
Idaho			*			*		*	*	*	*	*	*						
Illinois			*				*	*	*			*				*			
Indiana			*				*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*		*	
lowa																			
Kansas			*	*			*	*	*			*				*			
Kentucky																			
Louisiana																			
Maine																			
Maryland																			
Massachusetts			-	-					-							*			
Michigan Minnesota							-	-											
Mississippi																			
Missouri			+			+	-	-	+	*		+		+		*	*		
Montana			Â			Â	Â			n		Â					Î		
Nebraska			$\mathbf{\star}$																
Nevada																			
New Hampshire																			
NEW JERSEY																			
New Mexico			*															*	
New York																			
North Carolina																			
North Dakota																			
Ohio																			
Oklahoma			*				*	T	*							*			
Oregon			*				X		*	*						*		*	
Pennsylvania Rhode Island			*			*		-	*	*	-		-						
South Carolina																			
South Dakota																			
Tennessee			*			+	+	+	*			+				*			
Texas			*			+	÷	÷	*	*	*	+				*	*	*	
Utah			+			+		+	*	X	+	+	*						
Vermont			*			*		*	*							*			
Virginia			*		*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*			
Washington			*			*	*	*	*			*				*		*	
West Virginia																			
Wisconsin			*			*		*	*	*	*		*						
Wyoming																			
												Subia	ect m	entio	ned	🔶 Sı	ibject co	overed in	depth

Subject mentioned 🔶 Subject covered in depth

Do states measure new elementary teachers' knowledge of the science of reading?



- Strong Practice: Alabama, California⁴, Connecticut, Florida, Indiana, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Mississippi, New Hampshire, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina⁵, Ohio, Oklahoma, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin
- 2. Arizona, Arkansas, Delaware, District of Columbia, Georgia, Idaho, Kentucky, Maine, Missouri, New Jersey, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, Wyoming
- 3. Alaska, Colorado, Hawaii, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, North Dakota, South Dakota
- California allows an exemption from the state's reading test for teachers who already have a single subject credential.
- 5. Teachers have until their second year to pass the reading test.

Figure 6

Do states expect elementary teachers to complete an academic concentration?



- 1. Strong Practice: Colorado, Massachusetts, New Mexico
- 2. Strong Practice: Indiana, Mississippi, Oklahoma
- 3. California, Connecticut, Iowa, Maryland, Michigan, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia
 - These states require a major, minor or concentration but there is no assurance it will be in an academic subject area.
- 4. Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire⁵, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Utah, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming
- 5. Only K-8 teachers must complete an area of concentration in a field such as humanities, fine arts, social sciences and sciences.

Figure 5

Do states measure new elementary teachers' knowledge of math?



- Strong Practice: Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Idaho, Indiana, Kentucky, Maine, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wyoming
- Arizona, California, Colorado, Georgia, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Mississippi, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, Washington, Wisconsin
- 3. Alaska⁴, Hawaii, Montana, Ohio⁵
- 4. Testing is not required for initial licensure.
- 5. Only teachers of grades 4 and 5 are required to pass an adequate content test.

Figure 7 CONTENT TEST WITH SUBSCORES FOR EACH SUBJECT ADEQUATE SCIENCE What do states require of early childhood teachers who teach elementary grades? Alabama Alaska¹ Arizona Arkansas¹ California¹ Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana lowa Kansas Kentucky¹ Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan¹ Minnesota Mississippi¹ Missouri Montana¹ Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire **NEW JERSEY** New Mexico New York North Carolina¹ North Dakota Ohio¹ Oklahoma Oregon¹ Pennsylvania¹ Rhode Island 2 South Carolina South Dakota \square Tennessee Texas¹ Utah **Z** Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming 6 13

Figure 8

TEACHER PREP REVIEW FINDINGS

Only 11 percent of preparation programs ensure that elementary teachers are well prepared in the subjects they will teach.



Just 34 percent of preparation programs provide adequate training to elementary teachers in the science of reading instruction.



From NCTQ's 2014 *Teacher Prep Review* Standard 6: Elementary Content (n=1,166 elementary programs) and Standard 2: Early Reading (n=959 elementary and special education programs)

Figure 7

 These states do not offer a standalone early childhood certification that includes elementary grades or the state's early childhood certification is the de facto license to teach elementary grades.

2. Early childhood candidates may pass either multiple subjects (subscores) or content knowledge (no subscores) test.

Middle School Teacher Preparation

Key Components

(The factors considered in determining the states' ratings for this topic.)

- The state should ensure that all middle school teachers are sufficiently prepared for the ways that college- and career-readiness English language arts standards affect instruction of all subject areas. Specifically,
 - A. The state should require that all new middle school teachers are prepared to incorporate complex texts and academic language into instruction.
 - B. The state should ensure that all new middle school teachers are prepared to incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.
 - C. The state should ensure that all new middle school teachers of English language arts are prepared to support struggling readers.
- 2. The state should require that new middle school teachers pass a licensing test in every core academic area they are licensed to teach.
- The state should not permit middle school teachers to teach on a generalist license that does not differentiate between the preparation of middle school teachers and that of elementary teachers.

How well are states ensuring that middle school teachers are prepared for college- and career-readiness standards?

16 Alaska, Arizona, California, Hawaii, Idaho, Maine, Michigan, Montana, Nebraska, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Dakota, Utah, Washington, Wyoming

> Colorado, Massachusetts, Nevada, North Dakota, Wisconsin

Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, New Hampshire, **NEW JERSEY**, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia

Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas

Arkansas, Indiana

PREPARING MIDDLE SCHOOL TEACHERS FOR COLLEGE-AND CAREER-READINESS STANDARDS

The middle school years are critical to students' education, but, too often, states fail to distinguish the knowledge and skills needed by middle school teachers from those needed by an elementary teacher. Middle school teachers should not only be prepared to teach grade-level content, but should also be prepared to meet the increased instructional requirements of college- and career-readiness standards for students.

Currently, New Jersey offers a single subject 5-8 license. Key licensing requirements for middles school teachers in New Jersey include:

NEW JERSEY MIDDLE SCHOOL TEACHER PREP SNAPSHOT Image: State requires teachers to pass a content test for each subject they teach.

State requires middle school teachers to hold a middle grade or secondary license.

X No

Yes

Preparation and licensure requirements for middle school teachers must address more than just content knowledge; the key instructional shifts articulated in college- and career-readiness standards must also be incorporated. New Jersey addresses some of the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with the state's college- and career-readiness standards for students through its required assessment for middle school English teachers, the Praxis II Middle School English Language Arts (5047) test.

The state's professional standards require that "the teacher develops and implements supports for learner literacy development across content areas."

Regarding struggling readers, New Jersey's middle school English content test requires that a teacher "knows commonly used research-based approaches to grouping and differentiated instruction to meet specific instructional objectives in English Language Arts" and "understands commonly used research-based strategies for teaching adolescent reading."

Supporting Research

New Jersey Administrative Code 6A:9-3.3, -10.2, -11.11 Praxis Tests www.ets.org/praxis

RECOMMENDATIONS

Ensure that middle school teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and careerreadiness standards for students.

Incorporate informational text of increasing complexity into classroom instruction.

Although New Jersey's English language arts content test for middle school teachers addresses informational texts, the state should strengthen its policy and ensure that teachers are able to challenge students with texts of increasing complexity.

Incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.

To ensure that middle school students are capable of accessing varied information about the world around them, New Jersey should also—either through testing frameworks or teacher standards—more specifically include literacy skills and using text to build content knowledge in history/social studies, science, technical subjects and the arts.

Support struggling readers.

New Jersey should articulate more specific requirements ensuring that middle school teachers are prepared to intervene and support students who are struggling. While college- and career-readiness standards will increase the need for all middle school teachers to be able to help struggling readers to comprehend grade-level material, training for English language arts teachers in particular must emphasize identification and remediation of reading deficiencies.

NEW JERSEY RESPONSE TO ANALYSIS

New Jersey was helpful in providing NCTQ with facts that enhanced this analysis. The state added that recent legislation now requires professional development for all teachers in the area of struggling readers. New Jersey also noted that it will be revising its content test requirements within the next two years to more closely align with its college- and career-readiness standards.

Supporting Research

http://education.state.nj.us/broadcasts/2014/APR/15/11264/Update%20on%20Dyslexia%20Legislation.pdf

		NCORORORATIVE TEXT	SUPPORTING STRUGGING
Figure 9 Are states ensuring that new middle school teachers are prepared for the instructional shifts associated with college- and career-readiness standards Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California		R	
Are states ensuring that new		THE STA	
	Å		13.
middle school teachers are	Nac.		
prepared for the instructional	₩C		Ex s
shifts associated with college-	b l	55	E G
and career-readiness standards	?5	< \$,	RE S
Alabama			
Alaska			
Arizona			
Arkansas			
California			
Colorado			
Connecticut			
Delaware			
District of Columbia			
Florida			
Georgia			
Hawaii			
Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana			
lowa			
Kansas			
Kentucky			
Louisiana			
Maine			
Maryland			
Massachusetts			
Michigan			
Minnesota			
Mississippi			
Missouri			
Montana			
Nebraska			
Nevada			
New Hampshire			
NEW JERSEY			
New Mexico			
New York			
North Carolina			
North Dakota			
Ohio			
Oklahoma			
Oregon			
Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina			
South Dakota			
Tennessee			
Texas			
Utah			
Vermont			
Virginia			
Washington			
West Virginia			
Wisconsin			
Wyoming			
wwwining			

📕 Fully addresses instructional component 🥖 Partially addresses instructional component

SUMMARY OF MIDDLE SCHOOL TEACHER **PREP FIGURES**

Figure 9

Requirements for instructional shifts associated with college- and career-readiness standards

Figure 10

Distinctions in licenses betweeen middle and elementary teachers

Figure 11

Content test requirements

Figure 12

Teacher Prep Review findings about middle school teacher prep

Figure 10	K-8 LICENSE NOT OFFERS	K-8 lifense officer for self. Contained dasson	Sup
Do states distinguish	çõ,	lere dass	fere
middle grade preparation from	NSE.	ined.	يو ٥٧
elementary preparation?	K-811CEN	K-8 licens elf. conta	K-Blicense offered
Alabama			
Alaska			
Arizona			1
Arkansas			
California		2	
Colorado			
Connecticut	-		
Delaware			
District of Columbia			
Florida			
Georgia			
Hawaii			
Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana			
lowa			
Kansas			
Kentucky			
Louisiana			
Maine			
Maryland			
Massachusetts			
Michigan			
Minnesota			
Mississippi			
Missouri			
Montana			
Nebraska			
Nevada			
New Hampshire			
NEW JERSEY			
New Mexico			
New York			
North Carolina			
North Dakota			1
Ohio			
Oklahoma			3
Oregon			4
Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina			
South Dakota			
Tennessee			
Texas			
Utah			
Vermont			
Virginia			
Washington			
West Virginia			
Wisconsin			1
Wyoming			
	32	5	14

EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE

Illinois ensures that middle school teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and career-readiness standards for students. The state's new standards for the middle grades include the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through increasingly complex texts and careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with these standards. The standards also address the needs of struggling readers.

Illinois's requirements connecting literacy to all subject areas are particularly noteworthy. All middle school teachers must understand "the role, perspective and purpose of text in specific disciplines" and be able to perform tasks such as scaffolding reading to allow students to understand and learn from challenging text; guiding reading discussions that require students to identify key ideas and details of a text; analyze craft and structure and critically evaluate the text; and model reading strategies to improve comprehension.

In addition, Georgia, Mississippi, New Jersey and South Carolina ensure that all middle school teacher candidates are adequately prepared to teach middle school-level content. None of these states offers a K-8 generalist license and all require passing scores on subject-specific content tests. Georgia, Mississippi and South Carolina explicitly require at least two content-area minors, and New Jersey requires a content major along with a minor for each additional area of certification.

1. Offers 1-8 license.

- 2. California offers a K-12 generalist license for all self-contained classrooms.
- 3. With the exception of mathematics.

4. Oregon offers 3-8 license.

		No text dees not ten-	\$ 1	1
Figure 11			No, K-8 license ro	No, testing of all subjects
Do middle school teachers		tren	ores.	test "
have to pass an appropriate		ou se	inse, u	of a
content test in every core		22 22 22 22		ired find
subject they are licensed		Core the	ele,	tes, tes
to teach?	YES	ang	25	jor Zor
Alabama				
Alaska				1
Arizona				
Arkansas				
California				2
Colorado				
Connecticut				
Delaware				
District of Columbia	-			
Florida				
Georgia Hawaii				
Hawaii Idaho			3	
Illinois				4
Indiana				
lowa				
Kansas				
Kentucky				
Louisiana				
Maine				
Maryland	5			
Massachusetts				
Michigan				
Minnesota				
Mississippi				
Missouri				
Montana				
Nebraska				
Nevada				
New Hampshire			6	
NEW JERSEY				
New Mexico	7			
New York	8			
North Carolina North Dakota				
Ohio				
Oklahoma				
Oregon				
Pennsylvania				
Rhode Island				
South Carolina				
South Dakota				
Tennessee				
Texas				
Utah				
Vermont				
Virginia				
Washington				
West Virginia				
Wisconsin				
Wyoming				
	27	2	15	7

Figure 12

TEACHER PREP REVIEW FINDINGS

82 percent of programs ensure that middle school teachers are well prepared in the subjects they will teach.



From NCTQ's 2014 Teacher Prep Review Standard 7: Middle School Content (n=375 middle school programs). State licensing test requirements are also included in evaluating this standard.

- 1. Alaska does not require content tests for initial licensure.
- 2. Candidates teaching multiple subjects only have to pass the elementary test. Single-subject credential does not require content test.
- 3. For K-8 license, Idaho also requires one single-subject test.
- 4. Illinois requires candidates to take a middle level core content test if a test is available. It is not clear that this will result in teachers passing a test in each subject and draft test frameworks are not yet available for review.
- 5. Maryland allows elementary teachers to teach in departmentalized middle schools if not less than 50 percent of the teaching assignment is within the elementary grades.
- 6. New Hampshire requires K-8 candidates to pass a middle school content test in one core area.
- 7. For nondepartmentalized classrooms, generalist in middle childhood education candidates must pass the new assessment with three subtests.
- 8. Teachers may have until second year to pass tests, if they attempt to pass them during their first year.

Secondary Teacher Preparation

Key Components

(The factors considered in determining the states' ratings for this topic.)

- The state should ensure that all secondary teachers are sufficiently prepared for the ways that collegeand career-readiness English language arts standards affect instruction of all subject areas. Specifically,
 - A. The state should require that all new secondary teachers are prepared to incorporate complex texts and academic language into instruction.
 - B. The state should ensure that all new secondary teachers are prepared to incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.
 - C. The state should ensure that all new secondary teachers of English language arts are prepared to support struggling readers.
- 2. The state should require that secondary teachers pass a licensing test in every subject they are licensed to teach.
- 3. The state should require secondary general science and general social studies teachers to pass a subject-matter test of each discipline they are licensed to teach.
- 4. The state should require that secondary teachers pass a content test when adding subject-area endorsements to an existing license.

How well are states ensuring that secondary teachers are prepared for college- and career-readiness standards?



Alaska, California, Hawaii, Montana, New Mexico, Wyoming

Alabama, Arizona, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Nebraska, Nevada, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Washington, Wisconsin

Florida, Georgia, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Missouri, New Hampshire, NEW JERSEY, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia

Arkansas, Indiana, Minnesota, New York, Tennessee

20 : NCTQ STATE TEACHER POLICY YEARBOOK 2014 NEW JERSEY

PREPARING SECONDARY TEACHERS FOR COLLEGE- AND CAREER-READINESS STANDARDS

To be prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and career-readiness standards for their students, secondary teachers must be experts in the subject matter they teach. States should ensure that secondary teachers have sufficient content knowledge in all the subjects they are licensed to teach.

Currently, New Jersey offers a single-subject certificate to teach grades PreK-12. Key licensing requirements for secondary school teachers in New Jersey include:



Not only must secondary teachers possess strong backgrounds in content knowledge as required by college- and career-readiness standards, they must also be able to address the key instructional shifts associated with the standards. New Jersey addresses some of the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with the state's college- and career-readiness standards for students through its required assessment for English language arts teachers, the Praxis II English Language Arts: Content Knowledge (5038) test.

The state's professional standards require that "the teacher develops and implements supports for learner literacy development across content areas."

New Jersey has no requirements for the preparation of secondary teachers that address struggling readers.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Ensure that secondary teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and careerreadiness standards for students.

Incorporate informational text of increasing complexity into classroom instruction.

Although New Jersey's required secondary English language arts content test addresses informational texts, the state should strengthen its policy and ensure that teachers are able to challenge students with texts of increasing complexity.

Incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.

To ensure that secondary students are capable of accessing varied information about the world around them, New Jersey should also—either through testing frameworks or standards—more specifically include literacy skills and using text as a means to build content knowledge in history/social studies, science, technical subjects and the arts.

Support struggling readers.

New Jersey should articulate requirements ensuring that secondary teachers are prepared to intervene and support students who are struggling. While college- and career-readiness standards will increase the need for all secondary teachers to be able to help struggling readers to comprehend grade-level material, training for English language arts teachers in particular must emphasize identification and remediation of reading deficiencies.

Supporting Research

Praxis Testing Requirements www.ets.org New Jersey Administrative Code 6A:9-3.3, and 9-11.16 Teacher Certification http://www.state.nj.us/education/educators/license/teacher/

NEW JERSEY RESPONSE TO ANALYSIS

New Jersey was helpful in providing NCTQ with facts that enhanced this analysis. The state added that recent legislation now requires professional development for all teachers in the area of struggling readers. New Jersey also noted that it will be revising its content test requirements within the next two years to more closely align with its college- and career-readiness standards.

Supporting Research

http://education.state.nj.us/broadcasts/2014/APR/15/11264/Update%20on%20Dyslexia%20Legislation.pdf

RECOMMENDATIONS CONTINUED

Require secondary social studies teachers to pass a content test for each discipline they are licensed to teach.

By allowing a general social studies certification—and only requiring a general knowledge social studies exam—New Jersey is not ensuring that its secondary teachers possess adequate subject-specific content knowledge. The state's required assessment combines all topical areas (e.g., history, geography, economics) and does not report separate scores for each subject area.

igure 13	USE OF MEORNAT	4	SUPPORTING SUBJECTS READERS TO CSTRUGGUNG
Are states ensuring that			
new secondary teachers			
are prepared for the	MA		527
instructional shifts associated	L L	82	
with college-and career-	 *	28	EP OF
readiness standards?	5		EAD
	2 /	· ~ /	. &
Alabama			
Alaska			
Arizona			
Arkansas	_		
California			
Colorado			
Connecticut			
Delaware			
District of Columbia			
Florida			
Georgia			
Hawaii			
Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana			
lowa			
Kansas			
Kentucky			
Louisiana			
Maine			
Maryland			
Massachusetts			
Michigan			
Minnesota			
Mississippi			
Missouri			
Montana			
Nebraska			
Nevada			
New Hampshire			
NEW JERSEY New Mexico			
New York			
North Carolina			
North Dakota			
Ohio			
Oklahoma			
Oregon			
Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina			
South Dakota			
Tennessee			
Texas			
Utah			
Vermont			
Virginia			
Washington			
West Virginia			
West Virginia Wisconsin			

SUMMARY OF SECONDARY TEACHER **PREP FIGURES**

- Figure 13
 - Requirements for instructional shifts associated with college- and career-readiness standards
- Figure 14 Content test requirements
- Figure 15 Requirements for general science teachers
- Figure 16 Requirements for general social studies teachers
- Figure 17

Teacher Prep Review findings about secondary teacher prep

📕 Fully addresses instructional component 🛛 Partially addresses instructional component

Do secondary teachers have to pass a content test in every subject area for licensure?



1. Strong Practice: Indiana, Minnesota, Missouri, South Dakota, Tennessee

- 2. Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina⁴, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin [For more on loopholes, see Figure 15 (science) and Figure 16 (social studies).]
- 3. Alaska⁵, Arizona⁶, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Montana, Washington, Wyoming
- 4. Teachers may have until second year to pass tests, if they attempt to pass them during their first year.
- 5. Alaska does not require content tests for initial licensure.
- 6. Candidates with a master's degree in the subject area do not have to pass a content test.

EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE

Arkansas has done more than other states to ensure that secondary teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and career-readiness standards for students. Not only does the state address the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through increasingly complex informational texts and careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with these new standards in its educator competencies for secondary English language arts teachers, it also requires teachers to incorporate literacy skills into all content areas. For example, the secondary social studies competency to "incorporate disciplinary literacy" states that "reading competencies for literacy in history/social studies for grades 7-12 include the ability to read informational texts in history and social studies closely and critically to analyze the key ideas and details as well as craft and structure with the purpose of integrating knowledge and ideas both within and across texts." A similar competency exists for both the life science and physical science secondary certifications.

Indiana, Minnesota and Tennessee require that all secondary teacher candidates pass a content test to teach any core subject both as a condition of licensure and to add an additional field to a secondary license. Further, neither of these states offers secondary certification in general social studies or science; all teachers must be certified in a specific discipline.

Also worthy of mention is **Missouri**, which requires general social studies teachers to pass a multi-content test with six independently scored subtests. Missouri also offers a general science license that can only be used to teach general science courses. All other science teachers must be certified in a specific discipline.

Do states ensure that secondary general science teachers have adequate subject-matter knowledge?



1. Strong Practice: Florida, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New York, South Dakota, Tennessee, Virginia

2. Strong Practice: Missouri, New Jersey, Rhode Island⁵, West Virginia⁵

3. California

4. Alabama, Alaska, Arizona⁶, Arkansas, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Georgia⁷, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Michigan, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, Wisconsin, Wyoming

5. Teachers with the general science license may only teach general science courses.

6. Arizona limits teachers with the general science license to teaching only general science courses. However, candidates with a master's degree in the subject area do not have to pass a content test.

7. Georgia's science test consists of two subtests.



1. Strong Practice: Georgia, Indiana, South Dakota, Tennessee

2. Strong Practice: Minnesota⁵, Missouri

3. Arizona⁶

4. Alabama, Alaska, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma⁷, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming

5. Minnesota's test for general social studies is divided into two individually scored subtests.

6. Candidates with a master's degree in the subject area do not have to pass a content test.

7. Oklahoma offers combination licenses without adequate testing.

Figure 17 TEACHER PREP REVIEW FINDINGS

Less than 40 percent of preparation programs ensure that secondary teachers are well prepared in the subjects they will teach.



From NCTQ's 2014 Teacher Prep Review Standard 8: High School Content (n=1,110 high school programs). State licensing test requirements are also considered in evaluating this standard.

Special Education Teacher Preparation

Key Components

(The factors considered in determining the states' ratings for this topic.)

- The state should ensure that all special education teachers are sufficiently prepared for the ways that college- and career-readiness English language arts standards affect instruction of all subject areas. Specifically,
 - A. The state should ensure that all new secondary special education teachers are prepared to support struggling readers.
 - B. The state should require that all new secondary special education teachers are prepared to incorporate complex texts and academic language into instruction.
 - C. The state should ensure that all new secondary special education teachers are prepared to incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.
- 2. The state should require that new elementary special education teachers pass a rigorous test of reading instruction in order to attain licensure.
- The state should not permit special education teachers to teach on a K-12 license that does not differentiate between the preparation of elementary teachers and that of secondary teachers.
- All elementary special education candidates should be required to pass a subject-matter test for licensure that is no less rigorous than what is required of general education candidates.
- 5. The state should ensure that secondary special education teachers possess adequate content knowledge.



Hawaii, Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Carolina, South Dakota, Utah, Vermont, Washington, Wyoming

California, Colorado, Connecticut, Idaho, Iowa, Maryland, NEW JERSEY, Tennessee, Virginia

Alabama, Indiana, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Missouri, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Texas, West Virginia, Wisconsin

New York

0

PREPARING SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHERS FOR COLLEGE- AND CAREER-READINESS STANDARDS

Although most special education students are expected to meet the same high college- and career-readiness standards as typical students, too many states set an even lower bar for the preparation and licensure requirements of special education teachers. States must ensure that special education teachers are well grounded in all of the subject matter they will be licensed to teach.

Currently, New Jersey offers a K-12 endorsement: however, this endorsement must be added to a general education license that restricts the grade level or subject matter that can be taught. Key licensing requirements for special education teachers in New Jersey include:

NEW JERSEY SPECIAL ED TEACHER PREP SNAPSHOT

State only offers discrete elementary and secondary special education licenses.

Elementary subject-matter test required for special education license.

Secondary test in at least one subject area required for secondary special education license.

Special education teachers must also be prepared for the key instructional shifts that differentiate college- and career-readiness standards from previous student standards.

X No

Yes

New Jersey does not require its special education teachers who teach the elementary grades to pass a rigorous test of reading instruction. However, the state requires elementary special education teacher candidates to pass the revised Praxis II Elementary Education: Multiple Subjects (5001) test. The reading and language arts subtest includes some of the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with these standards. However, although the framework now addresses complex texts, it does so only in the context of measuring text complexity and it does not address how to also incorporate increasingly complex texts into instruction.

Secondary special education teachers, who are allowed to teach single subjects on the K-12 secondary certifications, are required to pass a single-subject content test.

New Jersey's assessment for secondary English language arts teachers, the Praxis II English Language Arts: Content Knowledge

RECOMMENDATIONS

Ensure that special education teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and careerreadiness standards for students.

Require all special education teacher candidates who teach elementary grades to pass a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.

New Jersey should require a rigorous reading assessment tool to ensure that its elementary special education teacher candidates are adequately prepared in the science of reading instruction before entering the classroom. The assessment should clearly test knowledge and skills related to the science of reading and address all five instructional components of scientifically based reading instruction: phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary and comprehension. If the test is combined with an assessment that also tests general pedagogy or elementary content, it should report a subscore for the science of reading specifically. Elementary special education teachers who do not possess the minimum knowledge in this area should not be eligible for licensure.

Incorporate informational text of increasing complexity into classroom instruction.

New Jersey's adoption of the new Multiple Subjects test is a step in the right direction. However, the testing framework does not adequately capture all of the major instructional shifts of college- and career-readiness standards. New Jersey is therefore encouraged to strengthen its teacher preparation requirements and ensure that all elementary special education candidates have the ability to incorporate complex informational texts into classroom instruction. Further, although New Jersey's required secondary English language arts content test addresses informational texts, the state should strengthen its policy and ensure, too, that secondary special education teachers are able to challenge students with texts of increasing complexity.

(5038) test, addresses some of the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with the state's college- and career-readiness standards for students.

The state's professional standards require that "the teacher develops and implements supports for learner literacy development across content areas."

New Jersey has no requirements for the preparation of elementary or secondary special education teachers that address struggling readers.

Supporting Research

New Jersey Administrative Code 6A:9-3.3, 6A:9B-10.3 Praxis Tests www.ets.org/praxis

NEW JERSEY RESPONSE TO ANALYSIS

New Jersey was helpful in providing NCTQ with facts that enhanced this analysis. The state added that recent legislation now requires professional development for all teachers in the area of struggling readers. New Jersey also noted that it will be revising its content test requirements within the next two years to more closely align with its college- and career-readiness standards.

Supporting Research

http://education.state.nj.us/broadcasts/2014/APR/15/11264/Update%20on%20Dyslexia%20Legislation.pdf

RECOMMENDATIONS CONTINUED

Incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.

To ensure that special education students are capable of accessing varied information about the world around them, New Jersey should also—either through testing frameworks or teacher standards—more specifically include literacy skills and using text to build content knowledge in history/social studies, science, technical subjects and the arts.

Support struggling readers.

New Jersey should articulate requirements ensuring that all special education teachers are prepared to intervene and support students who are struggling with reading. With reading difficulties generally representing the primary reason for special education placements, it is essential that all special education teachers have the knowledge and skills to diagnose and support students with literacy needs.

Consider elementary- and secondaryspecific endorsements for special education teachers.

Providing instruction to children who have special needs requires both knowledge of effective learning strategies and some knowledge of the subject matter at hand. Failure to ensure that teachers are well trained in content areas deprives special education students of the opportunity to reach their full academic potential. Further, while New Jersey has taken steps to distinguish between elementary teachers and secondary levels in terms of content knowledge, the K-12 endorsement does not differentiate in pedagogy preparation. The broad K-12 umbrella may be appropriate for teachers of low-incidence special education students, such as those with severe cognitive disabilities, but it is problematic for the overwhelming majority of high-incidence special education students, who are expected to learn grade-level content.

RECOMMENDATIONS CONTINUED

Ensure that secondary special education teachers possess adequate content knowledge.

Secondary special education teachers are frequently generalists who teach many core subject areas. Commendably, New Jersey ensures that these teachers will have subject-matter knowledge in at least one core content area. However, while it may be unreasonable to expect secondary special education teachers to meet the same requirements for each subject they teach as other teachers who teach only one subject, the state's current policy will not help special education students to meet rigorous learning standards. New Jersey should consider a customized HOUSSE route for new secondary special education teachers and look to the flexibility offered by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), which allows for a combination of testing and coursework to demonstrate requisite content knowledge in the classroom.

Figure 18		INCORPORATIVICUTES	SUPPORTING SUBLESS
Are states ensuring that new special			2214
education teachers are prepared for the	La Carteria de la Car		
instructional shifts associated with college	- 4	and and a	ERS POR
and career-readiness standards?	म्र		SCP.
Alabama			~
Alabama			
Arizona			
Arkansas			
California			
Colorado			
Connecticut			
Delaware			
District of Columbia			
Florida			
Georgia			
Hawaii			
Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana			
lowa			
Kansas			
Kentucky			
Louisiana			
Maine			
Maryland			
Massachusetts			
Michigan			
Minnesota			
Mississippi			
Missouri			
Montana			
Nebraska			
Nevada			
New Hampshire			
NEW JERSEY			
New Mexico			
New York			
North Carolina			
North Dakota			
Ohio			
Oklahoma			
Oregon			
Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina			
South Dakota			
Tennessee			
Texas			
Utah			
Vermont			
Virginia			
Washington			
West Virginia			
Wisconsin Wyoming			

SUMMARY OF SPECIAL EDUCATION **TEACHER PREP FIGURES**

Figure 18

Requirements for instructional shifts associated with college- and career-readiness standards

Figure 19

Distinctions in licenses between elementary and secondary teachers

Figure 20

Content test requirements

Figure 21

Science of reading requirements

Figure 22

Teacher Prep Review findings about special education teacher prep

EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE

Although all states have weaknesses when it comes to special education teachers' preparedness to meet the instructional requirements of college- and careerreadiness standards for students, both Indiana and New York are notable for addressing the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through increasingly complex informational texts and careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with these standards.

Unfortunately, states are also weak in other areas of special education teacher preparation. However, three states-Missouri, New York and Rhode Island-are worthy of mention for taking steps in the right direction in ensuring that all special education teachers know the subject matter they are required to teach. These three states require that elementary special education candidates pass the same elementary content tests, which are comprised of individual subtests, as general education elementary teachers.

Secondary special education teachers in New York must pass a multi-subject content test for special education teachers comprised of three separately scored sections. Rhode Island requires its secondary special education teachers to hold certification in another secondary area. Secondary special education teachers in Missouri can either take a multi-subject test comprised of four separately scored sections or a single-subject secondary assessment.

📕 Fully addresses instructional component 🛛 🖌 Partially addresses instructional component

Figure 19	5	Offes K 12 and Badespecificand	ification(s) 12
Do states distinguish	OES NOT OFFER		fers only a K-12 tification a K-12
between elementary	LO LO	Ciffi	125
and secondary special	S S S	e's	fical
education teachers?		era Brad	క్రశ్ర
Alabama		, ,	
Alaska			
Arizona			
Arkansas			
California			
Colorado			
Connecticut			
Delaware			
District of Columbia			
Florida			
Georgia			
Hawaii			
Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana			
lowa			
Kansas Kentucky			
Louisiana			
Maine			
Maryland			
Massachusetts			
Michigan			
Minnesota			
Mississippi			
Missouri			
Montana			
Nebraska			
Nevada			
New Hampshire			
NEW JERSEY	1		
New Mexico			
New York			
North Carolina North Dakota			
Ohio			
Oklahoma			
Oregon	1		
Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina			
South Dakota			
Tennessee			
Texas			
Utah			
Vermont	1		
Virginia			
Washington			
West Virginia			
Wisconsin			
Wyoming			
	16	12	23

Figure 20

Which states require subject-matter testing for special education teachers?

Elementary Subject-Matter Test					
Required for an elementary special education license	Alabama, Iowa, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Missouri ¹ , NEW JERSEY , New York, Pennsylvania ² , Rhode Island, West Virginia ³ , Wisconsin				
Required for a K-12 special education license	Colorado, Idaho, North Carolina				
Secondary Subject-Matter Test(s)					
Tests in all core subjects required for secondary special education license	Missouri¹, New York⁴, Wisconsin⁵				
Test in at least one subject required for secondary special education license	Louisiana, <mark>NEW JERSEY</mark> , Pennsylvania², Rhode Island, West Virginia ³				
Required for a K-12 special education license	None				

1. Missouri offers a K-12 certification but candidates must pass either the elementary multi-content assessment or the middle/secondary multi-content assessment.

2. In Pennsylvania, a candidate who opts for dual certification in elementary or secondary special education and as a reading specialist does not have to take a content test.

3. West Virginia also allows elementary special education candidates to earn dual certification in early childhood, which would not require a content test. Secondary special education candidates earning a dual certification as a reading specialist are similarly exempted.

4. New York requires a multi-subject content test specifically geared to secondary special education candidates. It is divided into three subtests.

5. Wisconsin requires a middle school level content area test which does not report subscores for each area.

Figure 19

1. Missouri, New Jersey, Oregon and Vermont issue a K-12 certificate, but candidates must meet discrete elementary and/or secondary requirements.

		/	1
Figure 21	Elementary +_	Early childhood to.	Elementary special education teachers
Do states require all	,	tach,	spec scher
teachers of early reading	+ ^ue	tho.	t ary in te
to pass an adequate	Pent	,ch _{ii}	men atic
science of reading test?	Elen	Early	edu.
Alabama		/ /	
Alaska		1	
Arizona			
Arkansas			
California			
Colorado			
Connecticut			
Delaware			
District of Columbia			
Florida			
Georgia			
Hawaii			
Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana			
lowa			
Kansas			
Kentucky		\square^1	
Louisiana			
Maine			
Maryland			
Massachusetts			
Michigan			
Minnesota			
Mississippi			
Missouri			
Montana			
Nebraska Nevada			
New Hampshire			
NEW JERSEY			
New Mexico			
New York			
North Carolina		1	
North Dakota			
Ohio			
Oklahoma			
Oregon			
Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina			
South Dakota			
Tennessee			
Texas			
Utah			
Vermont			
Virginia			
Washington			
West Virginia			
Wisconsin			
Wyoming			
	18	13	11

Figure 22 TEACHER PREP REVIEW FINDINGS

Less than 5 percent of preparation programs ensure that special education teachers are well prepared in the subjects they will teach.



These states do not offer a standalone early childhood certification that includes elementary grades or the state's early childhood certification is the de facto license to teach elementary grades.

Admission into Teacher Preparation

Key Components

(The factors considered in determining the states' ratings for this topic.)

- 1. The state should limit admission to teacher preparation programs to candidates in the top half of the college-going population.
- 2. The state should require teacher candidates to pass a test of academic proficiency that assesses reading, writing and mathematics skills as a criterion for admission to teacher preparation programs. Alternatively, academic proficiency could be demonstrated by grade point average.



RAISING THE BAR FOR TEACHER PREP THROUGH HIGHER ADMISSION STANDARDS

NCTQ has repeatedly found that too many teacher preparation programs are in need of major improvement, graduating first-year teachers lacking skills and content knowledge adequate to thrive in the classroom. One important way states can raise the bar for teacher preparation programs is to set more ambitious admission requirements for new elementary, secondary and special education teachers. This is even more relevant and important as the increasing expectations of college- and career-readiness standards demand more from teachers academically. A key criterion for admissions is evidence of a strong academic background, and states should require programs to select candidates from the top half of the college-going population. Countries like Singapore and Finland are even more restrictive in admissions; the top half goal is realistic and achievable while representing a significantly higher standard for programs throughout the United States. Until recently, few states had rigorous academic standards for admission, but with states like Rhode Island and Delaware significantly raising the bar by taking the lead in establishing higher standards and new accreditation requirements from CAEP, this is beginning to change.

NEW JERSEY ADMISSION INTO TEACHER PREP SNAPSHOT State requires a minimum GPA of 3.0 for admission into teacher prep. State requires a test normed to college-bound population prior to admission to prep program. Yes No

Beginning September 1, 2015, New Jersey will require prospective teachers to pass a test of academic proficiency as a criterion for admission to teacher preparation programs. However, this test is not normed to the general college population. This requirement can be waived with sufficient scores on the SAT, ACT or GRE. The state will also require a 2.75 GPA, and programs are required to have "an average cumulative GPA of the accepted cohort of candidates" of at least a 3.0.

In addition, in order to earn CAEP accreditation, as mandated by New Jersey, all programs need to ensure that the average grade point average of its accepted cohort of candidates meets or exceeds 3.0, and the group average performance on nationally normed ability/achievement assessments such as ACT, SAT or GRE is:

RECOMMENDATIONS

Consider a sliding scale that combines GPA and test scores.

While New Jersey sets a rigorous academic bar for program admission by requiring a 3.0 GPA cohort average, a sliding scale of GPA and test scores would allow flexibility for candidates in demonstrating academic ability. When using such multiple measures, a sliding scale that still ensures minimum standards would allow students to earn program admission through a higher GPA and a lower test score, or vice-versa.

Consider requiring candidates to pass subject-matter tests as a condition of admission into teacher programs.

In addition to ensuring that programs require a measure of academic performance for admission, New Jersey might also want to consider requiring content testing prior to program admission as opposed to at the point of program completion. Program candidates are likely to have completed coursework that covers related subject-matter content in the prerequisite classes required for program admission. Thus, it would be sensible to have candidates take content tests while this knowledge is fresh rather than wait two years to fulfill the requirement, and candidates lacking sufficient expertise would be able to remedy deficits prior to entering formal preparation.

- In the top 50 percent from 2016-2017
- In the top 40 percent of the distribution from 2018-2019
- In the top 33 percent of the distribution by 2020.

Supporting Research

Changes to Requirements for Traditional Route Teacher Preparation Candidates

http://www.state.nj.us/education/educators/rpr/preparation/overview.pdf New Jersey Administrative Code 6A:9A-3.1

NEW JERSEY RESPONSE TO ANALYSIS

While not asked to respond to the full analysis for this section, New Jersey was helpful in providing additional information related to admission to teacher preparation.

Figure 23		Test normed to teach		the lar	
Do states measure the				Bor. Ban	
academic proficiency of			P Dr.	P Dr.	
teacher candidates?	ALL N	Led in Other		ulie de	
leacher canuldates?	d a	DON Jate	for t	tion	
				o tes	
	А Д	908		5/ Z	
Alabama					
Alaska					
Arizona Arkansas					
California					
Colorado					
Connecticut					
Delaware					
District of Columbia	1				
Florida					
Georgia					
Hawaii	1				
Idaho					
Illinois					
Indiana					
lowa					
Kansas					
Kentucky Louisiana					
Maine					
Maryland					
Massachusetts					
Michigan	1				
Minnesota					
Mississippi					
Missouri					
Montana					
Nebraska					
Nevada					
New Hampshire					
NEW JERSEY	1				
New Mexico	1				
New York North Carolina	1				
North Dakota					
Ohio					
Oklahoma		2 ²			
Oregon					
Pennsylvania					
Rhode Island					
South Carolina	1				
South Dakota					
Tennessee					
Texas					
Utah	1				
Vermont					
Virginia	1				
Washington					
West Virginia Wisconsin					
Wyoming					
	13	18	13	7	

SUMMARY OF ADMISSION INTO TEACHER **PREP FIGURES**

- Figure 23 Test of academic proficiency requirements
- Figure 24
 - **GPA** requirements
- Figure 25

Teacher Prep Review findings about admissions

EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE

While many states now require CAEP accreditation which includes a standard requiring strong admission practices, Rhode Island and Delaware have set requirements independent of the accreditation process, ensuring that the states' expectations are clear. Both states require a test of academic proficiency normed to the general college-bound population rather than a test that is normed just to prospective teachers. Delaware also requires teacher candidates to have a 3.0 GPA or be in the top 50th percentile for general education coursework completed. Rhode Island also requires an average cohort GPA of 3.0, and, beginning in 2016, the cohort mean score on nationally-normed tests such as the ACT, SAT or GRE must be in the top 50th percentile. In 2020, the requirement for the mean test score will increase from the top half to the top third.

1. Requirements for admissions test normed to college-bound population is based on CAEP accreditation standards, not state's own admission policy.

2. Candidates in Oklahoma also have the option of gaining admission with a 3.0 GPA.

Do states require a minimum GPA for admission to teacher prep?



 Strong Practice: Delaware, District of Columbia⁵, Georgia⁶, Hawaii⁵, Louisiana⁵, Michigan⁵, Mississippi⁶, New Jersey⁶, New York⁵, North Carolina⁵, Oklahoma⁷, Pennsylvania⁸, Rhode Island, South Carolina⁵, Utah, Virginia⁵

- 2. Kentucky, Texas
- 3. Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut⁹, Florida, Nebraska, South Dakota, Wisconsin¹⁰
- Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Tennessee, Vermont, Washington, West Virginia, Wyoming
- 5. Required minimum GPA of 3.0 is based on CAEP accreditation standards, not state's own admission policy.
- 6. The 3.0 GPA requirement is a cohort average; individual candidates in Mississippi and New Jersey must have a 2.75 GPA. Individual candidates in Georgia must have a 2.5 GPA.
- 7. Candidates in Oklahoma also have the option of gaining admission by passing a basic skills test.
- Students can also be admitted with a combination of a 2.8 GPA and qualifying scores on the basic skills test or SAT/ACT.
- 9. Connecticut requires a B- grade point average for all undergraduate courses.
- 10. The GPA admission requirement is 2.5 for undergraduate and 2.75 for graduate programs.

Figure 25

TEACHER PREP REVIEW FINDINGS

Only 28 percent of teacher preparation programs have a high bar for admissions.



From NCTQ's 2014 *Teacher Prep Review* Standard 1: Selection Criteria (n=2,396 elementary, secondary and special education programs)

Teacher Preparation Program Accountability

Key Components

(The factors considered in determining the states' ratings for this topic.)

- The state should incorporate preparation to teach to college- and career-readiness standards into its accountability requirements for teacher preparation programs.
- 2. The state should collect data that connects student achievement gains to teacher preparation programs. Such data can include value-added or growth analyses conducted specifically for this purpose or evaluation ratings that incorporate objective measures of student learning to a significant extent.
- 3. The state should establish the minimum standard of performance for each category of data. Programs should be held accountable for meeting these standards, with articulated consequences for failing to do so, including loss of program approval.
- 4. The state should produce and publish on its website an annual report card that shows all the data the state collects on individual teacher preparation programs.
- 5. The state should retain full authority over its process for approving teacher preparation programs.

teacher preparation programs are accountable for their performance? Alaska, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Hawaii, Idaho, Minnesota,

How well are states ensuring that

Columbia, Hawaii, Idaho, Minnesota, Nebraska, New York, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, Wyoming

Arizona, Arkansas, California, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Maryland, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, New Hampshire, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia

Alabama, Indiana, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Nevada, NEW JERSEY, New Mexico, South Carolina, Washington, Wisconsin

Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Michigan, North Carolina, Ohio, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Texas

Louisiana

18

HOLDING PREPARATION PROGRAMS ACCOUNTABLE FOR RESULTS

The ultimate goal of teacher preparation programs should be to produce teachers who are effective in educating their students and ensure that they are ready for college and career. As programs operate by virtue of state approval, it is the state's responsibility to connect approval to accountability measures that ensure high performance. While this goal may have been hard to assess a few years ago, that is no longer the case. Redesigned evaluations of teacher effectiveness in the majority of states offer an opportunity for states to collect meaningful objective data on the performance of program graduates. To date, few states connect their process of approving teacher preparation programs to measurable outcome data about programs' graduates.

NEW JERSEY TEACHER PREP ACCOUNTABILITY SNAPSHOT

X State collects data that connects student achievement gains to teacher preparation programs.

- State collects other meaningful data that reflect program performance.
- State has set minimum standards for program performance.
- State publishes an annual report card on its own website.

State retains full authority over its approval process.

New Jersey's approval process for its traditional and alternate route teacher preparation programs could do more to hold programs accountable for the quality of the teachers they produce.

No

For its university-based preparation-program approval process, New Jersey requires "where relevant, P-12 student achievement data." This vague reference makes it unclear how academic achievement gains of students taught by the programs' graduates are used during the program approval process. The state also relies on some other objective, meaningful data to measure the performance of university-based teacher preparation programs, including: data on candidates' performance on program-based assessments at program completion, numbers of candidates prepared in critical shortage areas and from diverse backgrounds, placement and retention rates, data on candidates' performance at the end of the provisional period, Praxis scores and pass rates, and fol-

RECOMMENDATIONS

Collect data that connect student achievement gains to teacher preparation programs.

As one way to measure whether programs are producing effective classroom teachers, New Jersey should consider the academic achievement gains of students taught by programs' graduates, averaged over the first three years of teaching. Data that are aggregated to the institution (e.g., combining elementary and secondary programs) rather than disaggregated to the specific preparation program are not useful for accountability purposes. Such aggregation can mask significant differences in performance among programs.

Report other meaningful data that reflect program performance.

Although measures of student growth are an important indicator of program effectiveness, they cannot be the sole measure of program quality for several reasons, including the fact that many programs may have graduates whose students do not take standardized tests. The accountability system must therefore include other objective measures that show how well all programs are preparing teachers for the classroom. New Jersey should expand its current reporting requirements to its alternate routes and also include such measures as evaluation results from the first and/or second year of teaching and the number of times, on average, it takes teacher candidates to pass licensing tests.

Yes

low-up survey of graduates and employers. The only standard for performance that has been identified is an 80 percent pass rate for licensure tests. This standard, while common among many states, sets the bar quite low and is not a meaningful measure of program performance.

There is no evidence that the state's standards for program approval are resulting in greater accountability. In the past three years, no program in New Jersey has been identified as low performing. The state's website now includes a report card that allows the public to review and compare program performance, including data on candidates' test scores and placements in the state.

In New Jersey, national accreditation is required for program approval.

Supporting Research

New Jersey Administrative Code 6A:9-10.1, 6A: 9-8.3

http://www.state.nj.us/education/educators/rpr/preparation/providers/2014/provider.shtml

Title II State Reports

https://title2.ed.gov

www.ncate.org

NEW JERSEY RESPONSE TO ANALYSIS

While not asked to respond to the full analysis for this section, New Jersey was helpful in providing the additional information related to teacher prep program accountability.

RECOMMENDATIONS CONTINUED

Establish the minimum standard of performance for each category of data.

Merely collecting the types of data described above is insufficient for accountability purposes. The next and perhaps more critical step is for the state to establish precise minimum standards for teacher preparation program performance for each category of data. New Jersey should be mindful of setting rigorous standards for program performance, as its current requirement that 80 percent of program graduates pass the state's licensing tests is too low a bar. Programs should be held accountable for meeting rigorous standards, and there should be consequences for failing to do so, including loss of program approval.

Maintain full authority over the process for approving teacher preparation programs.

New Jersey should not cede its authority and must ensure that it is the state that considers the evidence of program performance and makes the decision about whether programs should continue to be authorized to prepare teachers.



SUMMARY OF TEACHER PREP PROGRAM ACCOUNTABILITY FIGURES

Figure 26

Accountability requirements

Figure 27 Use of student achievement data

EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE

No state has yet implemented a full accountability system for teacher preparation that features data, including student achievement gains, connected to teacher preparation programs (not just the institution level); has clear minimum standards of performance for those data; and publishes the results for use by prospective teachers, hiring school districts and the general public. Some states are well on their way. **Georgia** and **Louisiana** collect student achievement gains and set minimum standards of performance, while **Ohio** and **Tennessee** have published report cards that include connections to student achievement gains.

Figure 27



Do states connect student achievement data to teacher preparation programs?

1. Strong Practice: Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, North Carolina, Ohio, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Texas

2. Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, District of Columbia³, Hawaii³, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland³, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York³, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming

3. Included in state's Race to the Top plan, but not in policy or yet implemented.

Figure 26

1. For traditional preparation programs only.

2. State does not distinguish between alternate route programs and traditional preparation

programs in public reporting. 3. For alternate routes only.

Teacher Preparation Policy Priorities for New Jersey

Prepare all teachers to meet the instructional shifts of college- and career-readiness standards for students.

- Strengthen preparation requirements to ensure teacher candidates have the ability to address the use of informational texts as well as incorporate complex informational texts into classroom instruction.
 Priority for elementary, middle, secondary and special education teacher preparation.
- Through testing frameworks or teacher standards, include literacy skills and using text to build content knowledge in history/ social studies, science, technical subjects and the arts.
 Priority for elementary, middle, secondary and special education teacher preparation.
- Ensure teachers are prepared to intervene and support students who are struggling with reading. *Priority for elementary, middle, secondary and special education teacher preparation.*

Additional priorities for elementary teacher preparation:

- Require a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.
- Require early childhood education teachers who teach at the elementary level to pass a content test with separate passing scores for each of the core subject areas.
- Require a content specialization in an academic subject area.

Additional priorities for secondary teacher preparation:

Require secondary social studies teachers to pass a content test for each discipline they are licensed to teach.

Additional priorities for special education teacher preparation:

- Require elementary special education candidates to pass a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.
- Ensure secondary special education teachers possess adequate content knowledge for the grades and subjects they teach.

Hold preparation programs accountable:

- Collect performance data to monitor programs, including student achievement gains.
- Set minimum standards for program performance with consequences for failure to meet those standards.

National Council on Teacher Quality 1120 G Street, NW • Washington, DC 20005 Tel: 202-393-0020 Fax: 202-393-0095 Web: www.nctq.org

Follow NCTQ on Twitter 🕒 and Facebook 📑

NCTQ is available to work with individual states to improve teacher policies. For more information, please contact:

> Sandi Jacobs Vice President sjacobs@nctq.org 202-393-0020