

# DIRECTIONS FOR USE OF STANDARDS RUBRIC FOR STAFF EVALUATION TEACHERS

Effective 10/08/07

The Standards of Effective Teaching guide the process of professional development and evaluation of teachers. The general expectations for using this tool to assess teacher performance are as follows:

- 1. The administrator will conduct a classroom observation that encompasses a complete lesson (30 60 minutes).
- 2. Both the administrator and the teacher will fill out a separate rubric after the observation. Each will check the number that best describes performance for each standard. Each will also select the number that best describes each skill area (gray areas). The skill area rating is based on overall judgment of that skill area, not an "average" of all scores assigned. Where applicable, references to the Principles of Learning are given for various performance standards.
- 3. This evaluation document must be done electronically, so that student and other evidence can be adequately noted. All cells will expand.
- 4. The administrator will hold a conference with the teacher following each classroom observation to discuss the observation and other things known about that teacher's overall job performance.

#### **EXAMPLE:**

		.ow			MEETS STANDARD		
Managing Classroom	STAN	<u>DARD</u>	Area for Growth		Solid Performance		Area of Strength
Procedures	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0
2. F	Students are inconsistent in their work	y engaged		e engaged in their wo udent engagement is	ork and on task. equally visible for high a	nd low achievers.	
	$\overline{\mathbb{X}}$						
2. G	Considerabl instructional to transitional instructional	time is lost and non-		sitions and efficient huals and routines are	nandling of non-instruction clearly established.	onal tasks are evide	nt.
2. H	Does not se expectations paraprofess volunteers.	for	Sets clear e	xpectations for the wo	ork of paraprofessionals	and volunteers.	
					$\boxtimes$		

**Notes:** During this observation, five students were off task for most of the lesson. Another ten students were off task for some of the lesson. The lesson did not begin until six minutes into the period. When students were asked to work with a partner, there was confusion as to how to select a partner and specifically what was the task. The paraprofessional in the classroom knew her role and how to support student learning.

#### Timelines:

- 1. For non-tenured teachers, the first evaluation is completed by December 15, and the second evaluation is completed by May 1 of each school year.
- 2. Teachers who are recommended by their principal for contract non-renewal are notified by May 15 of each school year.
- 3. The conference following the observation is completed within ten school days of the observation, preferably sooner.
- 4. Evaluation documents completed by the administrator are sent to the teacher's personnel file no later than June 30 of each school year. Please send evaluation documents **double sided** to the personnel file and do not send this instructions page. A complete copy of each evaluation is also given to the teacher.



#### STANDARDS OF EFFECTIVE TEACHING

Teacher's Name:	School Year:		
Observer's Name:	Site:		
Time of Observation: From: AM PM To: AM PM Date:			
Subject/Grade:			
Check probationary year or tenured status:			
☐ First-Year Probationary ☐ Second-Year P	Probationary   Third-Year Probationary   Tenured		

#### **DOMAIN 1: ELEMENTS OF EFFECTIVE INSTRUCTION**

Skill Area Performance Level

	BELO	)W			MEETS STANDARD		
Effective Instructional	STAND		Area for Growth		Solid Performance		Area of Strength
Practices	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0
Academic Rigor, Clear Expectations, Fair, Credible Evaluations	Lesson plan some or all of expected components	of the	<ul><li>referer</li><li>measu</li><li>instruc</li><li>means</li></ul>	ans include: ance to standards. arable objective(s) ational strategies. a of assessment. by questions.	for student learning.		
1. B Academic Rigor	Lessons lack all of the ele effective inst and result in increased st achievement time.	ments of truction minimal udent	Implements effective instruction, aligned with the Project for Academic Excellence (PAE) training and practices, that results in increased student achievement for all students over time. Instruction includes clear and effective:  • lesson objective(s).  • direct instruction connected to prior learning.  • modeling with "think alouds" and/or accountable talk.  • guided practice.  • independent and group work.  • differentiated instruction.  • academic feedback to students at all achievement levels.  • assessment of student learning.  • re-teaching as needed.				
1. C Clear Expectations, Self-Management of Learning	Limited use student work assess the effectiveness lessons and new lessons	c to s of /or plan	Uses student work to:      assess the effectiveness of lessons.     reflect on instructional practices.     plan new lessons accordingly.     teach students to assess their own work toward standard.				
				<u></u>			

# DOMAIN 1: ELEMENTS OF EFFECTIVE INSTRUCTION (Continued)

# Skill Area

Effective Instructional	BELOW STANDARD	Area for	MEETS STANDARD	Area of
Practices	4.0	Growth	Solid Performance	Strength
(Continued) 1. D	Does not effectively teach students to use technology in learning.	Effectively uses a range of access and present inform	of technologies in teaching and tea	3.5 4.0 aches students to
1. E	Limited content knowledge or student learning; few connections to other subject areas or to diverse groups.	<ul> <li>builds connections within and among subject areas.</li> <li>er</li> <li>ensures content includes and respects diverse groups.</li> </ul>		
Notes:				

	BELOW	Augustan	MEETS STANDARD	A of
	<u>STANDARD</u>	Area for Growth	Solid Performance	Area of <u>Strength</u>
Communication	1.0 1.5	2.0 2.5	3.0 3.5	4.0
1. F See: Clear Expectations (1. A and 1. C)	Oral and/or written language is unclear or incorrect, and/or inappropriate.	Oral and written language i	is clear, correct, and appropriate for	all students.
1. G See: Clear Expectations (1. A and 1. C)	Directions are confusing.	Directions and procedures as needed.	are clearly communicated, appropri	ate, and modified
Notes:				

# **DOMAIN 1: ELEMENTS OF EFFECTIVE INSTRUCTION (Continued)**

# Skill Area

	BELOW	MEETS STANDARD			
Questioning and	STANDARD	Area for Growth	Solid Performance	Area of Strength	
Discussion	1.0 1.5	2.0 2.5	3.0	3.5 4.0	
1. H See: Academic Rigor (1. A and 1. B), Accountable Talk	Questions are often lower order- especially for lower achievers - and elicit limited student response.	<ul> <li>analysis, synthesis, or e</li> <li>are differentiated to</li> <li>incorporate accounts</li> <li>are purposefully see</li> </ul>	engage all learners.	goals.	
1. I See: Accountable Talk (1. H)	Involves few students in the discussion.				
Notes:					

	BELOW	MEETS STANDARD				
Maintaining Students in	<u>STANDARD</u>	Area for Growth		Solid Performance		Area of <u>Strength</u>
Learning	1.0 1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0
1. J Academic Rigor	Limited or no link between new information and prior knowledge and experience.		ifficult concept	tion with student's prior less to all achievement leve		
1. K  Academic Rigor (See 1 .J), Self-Management of Learning	Activities and assignments are often "busy work."	<ul><li>support SF</li><li>support the</li></ul>	PPS standards e lesson object	nentic learning activities and curriculum. tives(s). abilities and experience		
1. L	There is limited or no accommodation for diverse backgrounds and learning styles.  Students' diverse cultures and experiences, special needs, language, a learning styles are effectively accommodated, by using a variety of technique such as:  • specific ELL strategies.  • visuals and scaffolding.  • modified assignments.					

#### **DOMAIN 2: THE CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT**

Skill Area

	BELOW	MEETS STANDARD			
Environment of Respect and	<u>STANDARD</u>	Area for Growth	Solid Performance	Area of <u>Strength</u>	
Rapport	1.0 1.5	2.0 2.5	3.0	3.5 4.0	
2. A	Relationships with students are negative, disrespectful or inequitable.	<ul><li>cultural and socioeconom</li><li>classroom interactio</li><li>positive attention is g</li></ul>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2. B See: Accountable Talk (1. H)	Creates a negative classroom climate with evidence of low expectations or bias.	there are high acade	nate in which: g environment for all. emic and behavioral expectati ns are equitable with all stude		
2. C	Standards of conduct are unclear and/or not followed.	Standards of conduct are clear to all students and are followed.  There is clear evidence of ritual and routine.  Teacher monitors student behavior and works to minimize disruptions.  Teacher response to misbehavior is effective.  Teacher implements school adopted discipline plans/programs.			

	BELOW STANDARD	Area for Area of
Environment for Learning	1.0 1.5	Growth         Solid Performance         Strength           2.0         2.5         3.0         3.5         4.0
2. D  Clear Expectations, Self-Management of Learning	Fails to clearly communicate standards for student work and/or provide tools to assess them.	Clearly communicates and displays standards for student work and provides tools to assess them, such as:  • student developed rubrics to assess own work.  • exemplars that are posted.  • displays that students use as models for their own work.  • criteria charts.
0 5		
2. E	Classroom is cluttered, disorganized; not conducive to learning.	Classroom is well organized and instructional resources are prepared and accessible.
Notes:		

### **DOMAIN 2: THE CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT (Continued)**

Skill Area

	BELOW		MEETS STANDARD	
Managing Classroom	<u>STANDARD</u>	Area for Growth	Solid Performance	Area of <u>Strength</u>
Procedures	1.0 1.5	2.0 2.5	3.0	3.5 4.0
2. F	Students are inconsistently engaged in their work or off task.	Students are engaged in th		
2. G	Considerable instructional time is lost to transitions and non-instructional tasks.	Rituals and routines and r	cient handling of non-instruction re clearly established.	nal tasks are evident.
0 11	Door not not along	Cota clear avmostations for	the work of nevernofossionals	
2. H	Does not set clear expectations for paraprofessionals and volunteers.	Sets clear expectations for	the work of paraprofessionals	and volunteers
Notes:				

#### **DOMAIN 3: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES**

### Skill Area

	BELOW			MEETS STANDARD		
Informing and Engaging	STANDARD	Area for Growth		Solid Performance		Area of Strength
Families	1.0 1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0
3. A	Fails to effectively communicate with			th parents regarding indiv	idual student	
	parents.	Seeks resc	ources to Cor	mmunicate in home langu	age when po	SSIDIE.
3. B See: Clear	Families are rarely engaged in the	Efforts to engag appropriate.	e families in	the instructional program	are frequent	and culturally
Expectations (1. A	instructional program.	арргорнате.				
and 1 C)						
Natar						
Notes:						

#### **DOMAIN 3: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES (Continued)**

**Skill Area** 

	BELOW	MEETS STANDARD			
Professional Growth/Service to	<u>STANDARD</u>	Area for Growth	Solid Performance	Area of <u>Strength</u>	
Profession	1.0 1.5	2.0 2.5	3.0	3.5 4.0	
3. C	Ignores or minimizes feedback from colleagues and supervisors	Open to feedback from collead	gues and supervisors.		
3. D	Participates in	Regularly participates in profe	Designal development and i	implements expected	
3. D	professional development if required or at a minimal level	instructional practices with fide Guide or Disciplinary Literacy • takes advantage of coach	elity that are aligned with the practices: ning. lopment Plan with PAE an	he PAE Implementation	
	Marka with a than	Washa official and account			
3. E	Work with other teachers is ineffective.	Works effectively and respectfully with others in: <ul> <li>planning and implementing instruction (collaboration).</li> <li>actively participating in a Professional Learning Community.</li> <li>following through and sharing workloads with teams.</li> </ul>			
PROFESSIONAL EXPECTATIONS					
Has good attendance. YES ☐ NO ☐					
Has effective lesson plans available if absent.			YES □ NO □		
Attire is appropriate.			YES □ NO □		
Student attendance re	cords, report cards and t	files are accurate and complete.	YES □ NO □		
Follows laws and policies (i.e., Due Process, Abuse Reporting, etc.).			YES □ NO □		



# SAINT PAUL PUBLIC SCHOOLS Standards of Effective Teaching Summary Evaluation

School	Year		

Effective: August 2008

	BELOW STANDARD		A		MEETS STANDARD		
			Area for Growth		Solid Performance	<u> </u>	Area of <u>Strength</u>
	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0
1. Effective Instructional Practices							
2. Communication							
3. Questioning and Discussion							
4. Maintaining Students in Learning							
5. Environment of Respect and Rapport							
6. Environment for Learning							
7. Managing Classroom Procedures							
8. Informing and Engaging Families							
9. Professional Growth/Service to Profession							
SUMMARY EVALUATION Please rate the overall per		of					
ricase rate the overall per	Homanice	OI	Tea	ncher			
Below Standard	Needs Professional Growth			Solid Performance		Very Strong in Many Standards	
1.0 1.5	2.0		2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	
Comments:							
Signed:					Date:		
Principal/ This performance appraisa submit a letter pertaining t	Administra al has beer to this eval		d with me a neir person	and I have rece nel file.	ived a complete co	py of it. Teach	ers may
Signed:					Date:		