

Strengthening New Mexico's Implementation of the Science of Reading through Teacher Preparation

Literacy is critical for success in school, work, and civic engagement. Research finds that reading failure can be reduced to fewer than 1 in 10 students when teachers provide scientifically based reading instruction.

In the wake of learning loss experienced in the COVID-19 pandemic, now more than ever, we must ensure aspiring teachers are prepared to teach children to read using scientifically based reading instruction.

The stakes for students in New Mexico

In New Mexico, only **21% of 4th grade students read proficiently** based on the most recent National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP). That number falls precipitously for some of New Mexico's historically underserved students. This dismal data has nothing to do with the students and families and everything to do with **inequities in access to effective literacy instruction**.

New Mexico's Reading Data

Student group	# of students in New Mexico	% who read proficiently in 4th grade
ALL STUDENTS	307,505	21%
Hispanic students	200,220	17%
Black students	5,611	No data
English language learners	52,898	7%
Students with disabilities	53,786	3%
Students eligible for National School Lunch Program	237,085	15%

Teacher prep programs are key to implementing and sustaining science of reading at scale.

Are New Mexico's teacher prep programs ensuring aspiring teachers learn the most effective methods to teach reading?

The National Council on Teacher Quality, a research and policy nonprofit, evaluated nearly 700 programs across the country, including nine in New Mexico, on how well they prepare aspiring elementary teachers to teach reading.


This review considered attention to **the five core components of scientifically based reading instruction**—phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension—across four different instructional approaches (instructional hours, background materials, objective measures of knowledge, and opportunities to practice).

The review also deducted points when programs teach aspiring teachers content contrary to research-based practices; and considered whether programs provide instruction in how to support a range of learners (struggling readers, English language learners, and students who speak language varieties other than mainstream English).

¹ See appendix for citation for this statement and data included throughout.



In New Mexico, three of the nine programs evaluated earn an A for preparation in reading, meaning they adequately teach all five components of reading and provide little or no instruction on content contrary to research-based practices.



New Mexico ranks above the national average for the average number of components of reading its programs adequately address. In New Mexico:

- Programs are most likely to cover comprehension and least likely to cover phonemic awareness.
- Two of nine programs provide at least one practice opportunity in each of these components.
- There is one program in New Mexico that teaches **multiple** techniques or approaches contrary to research-based practices, which can inhibit the reading progress of many students.
- Five programs devote some instructional time to supporting Struggling readers.
- Five programs devote some instructional time to supporting English language learners.
- Exemplary (A+) programs in New Mexico include both the undergraduate and graduate programs at Western New Mexico University.

Better teacher preparation is essential to ensure all students effectively learn to read.

State policy question	Answer for New Mexico
Does New Mexico have standards for teacher prep programs that address all five core components of scientifically based reading?	No, the standards do not explicitly address phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, or comprehension
Does New Mexico require a licensure test that addresses reading?	Yes, the state requires a reading licensure test
What are the required or optional licensure tests that address reading?	Praxis Teaching Reading (5205)
Does New Mexico require the review of reading course syllabi as part of preparation program renewal?	No

[&]quot;Every child has the right to read. Sending teachers into the classroom without the science behind how kids learn to read puts everyone in an unfair position. As teachers, we are in this profession to always do what is best and necessary. If we aren't properly taught by the institutions we put our trust and dollars into, we are made ineffective."

- Virginia Quinn-Mooney, First grade teacher



Recommendations for state leaders:

- Set specific, explicit, and comprehensive preparation standards for scientifically based reading instruction.
- Incorporate a specific evaluation of reading instruction in program renewal or reauthorization processes, and take action if programs are not aligned to the state's standards for scientifically based reading instruction.
- Require a reading licensure test aligned with scientifically based reading instruction for any PK-5 teachers to earn licensure, and publish the pass rates.
- Deploy a comprehensive strategy to implement scientifically-based reading instruction, and prioritize teacher prep.
- Use the bully pulpit to draw attention to the importance of teacher prep to sustain implementation of improved reading instruction.

For more detail on these recommendations, visit www.nctq.org/review/standard/reading-foundations.

Questions? Contact Shannon Holston, NCTQ Chief of Policy and Programs at shannon.holston@nctq.org.

Program grades in New Mexico

			Adequate coverage of core components: Graded (Up to 12 points per component; 8 points for adequate coverage; 4 or more contrary practices results in letter grade deduction)							Support for a range of learners: Ungraded (Up to 8 points per group)		
School	Program Level	Grade	Phonemic Aware- ness	Phonics	Fluency	Vocab- ulary	Compre- hension	Count of Contrary Practices (out of 9)	Struggling readers	ELLs	Speakers of language varieties	
Eastern New Mexico University	UG	А	Yes (9 pts)	Yes (10.83 pts)	Yes (10 pts)	Yes (10 pts)	Yes (11.5 pts)	3	6.75 pts	8 pts	2 pts	
New Mexico Highlands University	UG	В	No (5 pts)	Yes (8.31 pts)	Yes (10 pts)	Yes (10 pts)	Yes (10 pts)	0	0 pts	2 pts	0 pts	
New Mexico State University	UG	В	No (7.64 pts)	Yes (9.62 pts)	Yes (10.75 pts)	Yes (10.25 pts)	Yes (9 pts)	0	3.5 pts	3 pts	0 pts	
New Mexico State University	G	В	No (7.64 pts)	Yes (8.12 pts)	Yes (10.25 pts)	Yes (10.25 pts)	Yes (9 pts)	0	3.5 pts	3 pts	0 pts	
Northern New Mexico College	UG	D	No (6 pts)	No (5.25 pts)	No (5.25 pts)	Yes (9 pts)	Yes (9 pts)	0	1 pt	4 pts	2 pts	
University of New Mexico	UG	D	No (2 pts)	No (5.28 pts)	No (2 pts)	Yes (8 pts)	Yes (8 pts)	0	0 pts	6 pts	0 pts	
University of New Mexico	G	F	No (6 pts)	No (6 pts)	No (6 pts)	No (7.5 pts)	Yes (8.5 pts)	0	0 pts	4 pts	0 pts	

View this data online at nctq.org for more details. Data updated September 2023.



			Adequate coverage of core components: Graded (Up to 12 points per component; 8 points for adequate coverage; 4 or more contrary practices results in letter grade deduction)						Support for a range of learners: Ungraded (Up to 8 points per group)		
School	Program Level	Grade	Phonemic Aware- ness	Phonics	Fluency	Vocab- ulary	Compre- hension	Count of Contrary Practices (out of 9)	Struggling readers	ELLs	Speakers of language varieties
Western New Mexico University	UG	A+	Yes (11.5 pts)	Yes (11.5 pts)	Yes (10.25 pts)	Yes (12 pts)	Yes (12 pts)	0	6 pts	6 pts	2 pts
Western New Mexico University	G	Α+	Yes (11.25 pts)	Yes (11.25 pts)	Yes (10.25 pts)	Yes (12 pts)	Yes (12 pts)	0	6 pts	5 pts	0 pts