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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At the meeting held on October 19, 2005, the Board authorized the superintendent to pursue the acquisition and implementation of a competent evaluation and appraisal system for instructional personnel that would serve to replace the Professional Assessment and Comprehensive Evaluation System (PACES) currently utilized by the district.

In collaboration with United Teachers of Dade (UTD), three design teams were established representing the following instructional personnel categories: classroom teachers, student services personnel (e.g., school psychologists, guidance counselors, social workers) and instructional support personnel (e.g., curriculum support specialists, library/media specialists, teachers on special assignment). The teams included corresponding practitioner representatives and district, region and school-based administrators. Team members adhered to a consensus building approach in their work that allowed them to progress effectively and efficiently through the developmental phase. Each design team met a total of seven times from March 20, 2006, through May 18, 2006, to develop recommendations for the design of the new performance evaluation system called the Instructional Performance Evaluation and Growth System (IPEGS).

Performance standards with corresponding sample indicators were developed for teachers, instructional support personnel, and student services personnel. A performance appraisal rubric with a four-level rating scale was developed for each performance standard. Information sources include observation, learner/program progress goal setting, required documentation and parental input as tools to conduct the performance evaluation. The IPEGS handbooks explain the tools and procedures. The following overview provides additional information regarding the evaluation system tools:

**Observation**: The minimum number of required formal observations varies by contract status; i.e., annual contract (AC), professional service contract (PSC) or continuing contract (CC). AC employees are new to the district and may not have a valid regular educator’s certificate. Annual contract employees will have a minimum of two (2) formal observations per year. PSC employees have successfully completed three (3) years of probationary service and hold a valid regular educator’s certificate, as do employees who earned CC on or before July 1, 1984. Professional service and continuing contract personnel will have at least one (1) formal observation per year. An observation lasts a minimum of twenty (20) minutes.

**Learner/Program Progress Goal Setting**: Instructional personnel document learner/program benchmarks established at the beginning of the year, set forth strategies to build on strengths, address weaknesses, assess progress at mid-year, and document gains at the end of the year. This approach reflects a contemporary research-based instructional strategy that can yield impressive results in student learning. The process incorporates professional development as a component of the
goal-setting, thereby alleviating the need for a separate individual professional development plan.

**Required Documentation:** A portion of the data used to provide insight on performance can be collected by instructional personnel. Specific items that may not always be observable in an instructional setting may be submitted to demonstrate progress in meeting instructional personnel performance standards. The ability to provide to the assessor relevant evidence, such as a list identifying professional development activities undertaken, encourages instructional personnel to actively participate in ongoing self-assessment tied to established performance standards.

**Parental Input:** Parental input is gathered through the use of the *School Climate Survey*, the Educational Excellence School Advisory Council (EESAC) participation and the Open House Parent Academy Survey in schools, as applicable. Professionals show evidence of communication with parents as reflected on their communication log.

A four-level rubric depicting a continuum of effectiveness is tailored to each of the performance standards. The levels are: exemplary, proficient, developing/needs improvement, and unsatisfactory. The design teams crafted rubrics that are tailored to each performance standard. Achievement of the performance standard that describes an acceptable level of performance is equivalent to proficient.

**2006-2008 IPEGS Pilot**

A pilot of IPEGS was conducted during the 2006-2007 school year in thirty-one school sites. A series of professional development sessions was provided to participating principals and their leadership teams (e.g., expert teachers, UTD Stewards) to support the implementation of IPEGS. Additionally, pilot schools received year-round implementation support through site visits by the staffs of the Office of Leadership Development and UTD, web-based resources, and daily e-mail and telephone assistance.

The Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation comprised of seven M-DCPS administrators and seven UTD members met throughout the year to discuss concerns regarding the pilot and to monitor and analyze pertinent data. Moreover, the committee issued recommendations for modifications and amendments, using a collaborative decision-making process. A sub-committee reviewed the handbook to clarify procedures for professionals in need of performance improvement.

At the end of the first pilot year, selected original design team members and others convened to address specific needs identified during the pilot. Upon their recommendations, the leaderships of M-DCPS and the UTD jointly approved the revisions to the IPEGS handbooks. The pilot was extended during the 2007-2008 school year to implement the system in an additional fifteen (15) schools.

Additional feedback sources incorporated during the two year pilot included teacher and administrator surveys, focus group discussions, and training debriefing sessions. Survey data revealed significant approval ratings regarding the training, handbooks, and implementation processes. The ipegs.dadeschools.net website was launched to provide pertinent information, resources and contact information regarding the instrument.
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PART I
INTRODUCTION

Miami-Dade County Public Schools’ (M-DCPS) evaluation of instructional personnel utilizes the Goals and Roles Assessment and Evaluation Model© (short title: Goals and Roles Model©) of evaluation developed by Dr. James Stronge, for collecting and presenting data to document performance that is based on well-defined performance standards.

The M-DCPS Instructional Performance Evaluation and Growth System (IPEGS) provides a balance between structure and flexibility. That is, it defines expectations and guides effective practice, thereby allowing for creativity and individual initiative. The goal is to support the continuous growth and development of each professional by monitoring, analyzing, and applying pertinent data compiled within a system of meaningful feedback.

The primary purposes of IPEGS are to:
♦ improve the quality of instruction by ensuring accountability for classroom/program performance
♦ contribute to successful achievement of the goals and objectives defined in the vision, mission, and goals of M-DCPS
♦ provide a basis for instructional improvement through productive instructional personnel appraisal and professional growth
♦ provide a collaborative process that promotes self-growth, instructional effectiveness, and improvement of overall job performance

IPEGS includes the following distinguishing characteristics:
♦ a focus on the relationship between professional performance and improved learner academic achievement
♦ performance standards specific to major instructional job categories
♦ sample indicators for each of the performance standards
♦ a system for documenting instructional personnel performance based on multiple data sources, including goal setting, with emphasis on evidence of improved student performance on the state and local achievement tests as required by Florida Statute §1012.34
♦ a procedure for conducting performance reviews that stresses accountability, promotes professional improvement, and increases the involvement of instructional personnel in the evaluation process
♦ a support system for providing assistance when needed
Throughout this handbook, the term “instructional personnel” is used interchangeably with other terms (see table below). IPEGS is designed to facilitate instructional personnel in identifying, designing, and reflecting upon their professional performance. The foundation of the system is the Goals and Roles Model©. Using the model, a series of performance standards was defined as well as documentation sources to use for assessing performance. Instructional personnel are responsible for submitting data (see Pg.17 “Documenting Performance”) to their administrators throughout the evaluation process.

For most instructional personnel, the administrator who will receive the data sources is their site administrator; however, a site administrator can designate another administrator to receive the data and make summative ratings recommendations. Instructional personnel are active participants in the evaluation process through the setting of goals, collaborative meetings, input and reflection.

Site administrators are responsible for facilitating the IPEGS process. Two terms are commonly used in the handbook to refer to administrators; they are “site administrator” and “assessor” (see table below). The term “site administrator” is used when the function described may only be conducted by the site administrator (e.g., principal). The term “assessor” is used when the function described may be conducted by either the site administrator or the site administrator’s designee (e.g., assistant principal). For professionals assigned to more than one location, the payroll location site administrator has the overall evaluation responsibilities; however, the regional center or district may designate another administrator to collect documentation, make summative ratings recommendations, and meet with instructional personnel assigned to them.

The site administrator is responsible for informing the professional if evaluation documentation should be given to another administrator. For example, in a school, the principal is responsible for the evaluation process and may assign assistant principals to conduct observations and make recommendations for summative ratings.

Although the site administrator has the overall responsibility for maintaining documentation, scheduling evaluation-related meetings, providing feedback on performance throughout the year, making summative ratings, and submitting documentation to the appropriate district office, are among the responsibilities that can be delegated to a designee. However, the principal/site administrator makes the final determination of the ratings and recommendation for continued employment.

Table 1: Interchangeable Terms Used Throughout the Handbook

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professional</th>
<th>Site Administrator</th>
<th>Assessor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Instructional personnel</td>
<td>• Principals</td>
<td>• Site administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Teacher</td>
<td>• Regional center/district administrators responsible for the supervision of instructional personnel</td>
<td>• Site administrators’ administrative designee (e.g., assistant principals)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Instructional support personnel</td>
<td>• Payroll location supervisor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Student services personnel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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A meaningful and productive personnel evaluation system, such as that used for teachers and other instructional personnel in the M-DCPS, addresses the unique contributions of each employee to the achievement of the district’s vision, mission, and core values. Additionally, the evaluation system focuses on opportunities for professional growth by employees within the system so that each can grow professionally and contribute in a productive fashion to school improvement plans and goals. The Goals and Roles Model© offers a practical, contemporary research-based model of personnel evaluation developed specifically to balance the unique role demands and professional growth needs of teachers and other instructional personnel (Stronge, 1997, 2005).

The following sections describe the conceptual framework of Goals and Roles© — the model upon which the instructional personnel evaluation system is built. This description merely reflects a conceptual framework; the details for the design and implementation of the performance evaluation system were developed in collaboration with the M-DCPS/UTD evaluation design committees and administration to reflect the unique needs of the M-DCPS and its instructional personnel.

The realization that an organization's goals are met through the collective performance of all personnel is the basis of the Goals and Roles Model© developed by Dr. James Stronge based on more than two (2) decades of work with school systems and other educational organizations. The underlying assumptions are as follows:

♦ Effective evaluation promotes the growth and development of the individual and the school.
♦ A well-defined evaluation system:
  o provides a basis for a more objective evaluation based on observable, job-related results, and its purposes are clearly established for the individual professional (Tucker & Stronge, 2005a).
  o makes the school more accountable to its public and is legally defensible in its treatment of all employees (Beckham, 1985).
♦ Instructional personnel have a legal and ethical right to understand the criteria used to evaluate their performance (Florida Statute §1012.34(3)(d)2.b).
♦ A unified evaluation process for all teachers and other instructional personnel across M-DCPS is a more efficient use of school resources and administrative and staff time than multiple evaluation systems.

1 The Goals and Roles Model© was developed by and copyrighted to James H. Stronge. M-DCPS has been granted the right to use, revise, and/or modify the evaluation model and associated instrumentation as needed.
All instructional personnel deserve well-defined job descriptions, systematic performance feedback, and appropriate opportunities for improvement.

The key features that are incorporated in Goals and Roles© and that are emphasized in the design of IPEGS, include:

Adaptability

The Goals and Roles Model© is both comprehensive and adaptable for use with a variety of educational positions. The Goals and Roles Model© has been adapted for use with three (3) main groups of M-DCPS instructional personnel: instructional support personnel1, student services personnel2, and teachers. Throughout the M-DCPS project, the three (3) design teams built on this key feature of adaptability by:

♦ accentuating the use of a uniform design for evaluating all teachers,
♦ designing the performance assessment system for non-classroom instructional personnel (Stronge & Helm, 1990, 1991, 1992; Stronge & Tucker, 1995, 2003b); and
♦ designing evaluation strategies and processes that account for an educator’s different levels of professional growth (e.g., beginning/novice professional, advanced professional).

Systematic Approach to Evaluation

It is not feasible for school principals or other assessors to implement multiple evaluation systems with different requirements, guidelines, and methods. The six-step evaluation cycle of the Goals and Roles Model© provides an efficient, standardized method for implementing evaluation. While assessment forms and processes are differentiated for the various instructional positions, the evaluation model and protocol are standardized. This combination of standardizing the evaluation framework and customizing its application to fit specific position needs allows for a more valid and easy-to-use evaluation system while, at the same time, accounting for important distinctions in roles and responsibilities of various instructional personnel.

Emphasis on Communication Throughout the Evaluation Process

Performance appraisal systems should reflect the fundamental role that effective communication plays in every aspect of the evaluation process (Helms, 2005; McGrath, 1993). Since the goal of any evaluation is to continue successful job performance or improve less successful ones, assessor-professional

---

1 Sample instructional support personnel job titles include, but are not limited to: activities directors, athletic directors, business managers, curriculum support specialists, educational specialists, instructional coaches, lead teachers, library/media specialists, special education program specialists, teacher trainers, teachers on special assignment.

2 Sample student services personnel job titles include, but are not limited to: art therapists, career specialists, counselors, occupational therapists, physical therapists, school psychologists, school social workers, speech/language pathologists, staffing specialists, TRUST specialists.
communication is essential. Thus, opportunities for systematic communication between assessors and instructional personnel are built into IPEGS.

**Technically Sound Evaluation Systems**

While a conceptually sound and technically valid evaluation system does not guarantee effective evaluation, one that is flawed and irrational will guarantee failure. The Goals and Roles Model© is designed as an evaluation system that is conceptually and technically sound, and promotes the likelihood of achieving such desirable outcomes as those described in the guiding assumptions of the national Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation (2005) to:

♦ provide effective service to learners and society;
♦ establish personnel evaluation practices that are constructive and free of unnecessary threatening or demoralizing characteristics; and
♦ facilitate planning for sound professional development experiences.

**Use of Multiple Data Sources**

The design of the Goals and Roles Model© emphasizes multifaceted assessment techniques for documentation of job performance. The use of multiple sources of information:

♦ increases the validity of an evaluation for any professional educator;
♦ allows for differing documentation needs based on job responsibilities of particular positions (e.g., classroom teacher vs. school counselor); and
♦ provides for differentiation of performance for personnel at different points in their careers; for example, beginning and accomplished teachers (Stronge & Tucker, 2003a).

While formal observation can provide a significant data source, too frequently it has represented the sole source of data collection under clinical supervision evaluation models. Multiple data sources are needed as no single source can adequately capture the complexities of instructional personnel’s work (Peterson, 2005). The use of multiple sources of information is a key feature incorporated into the M-DCPS performance evaluation system for instructional personnel.

The proper use of multiple data sources in performance evaluation can dramatically improve the utility of the evaluation system for instructional personnel (e.g., through better performance feedback). Additionally, the use of multiple data sources can enhance the validity and reliability of the process, and offer a more defensible basis for evaluation decisions.
The instructional personnel performance evaluation process is based on the Goals and Roles Model© (Stronge, 1997, 2005), a six-step approach to performance assessment. A graphic representation of the model is provided in Figure 1; Table 2 provides a brief description of each step.

Figure 1: Goals and Roles Model©
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Development Phase</strong></th>
<th><strong>Description</strong></th>
<th><strong>References</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 1:</strong> Identify System Needs</td>
<td>Determine the mission and goals of the school and school system as a prerequisite for the evaluation system to be relevant and responsive to public demands for accountability.</td>
<td>Castletter, 1996; Connell, 1978; Danielson &amp; McGreal, 2000; Goodale, 1992; Locke, 1968; Phi Delta Kappa National Study Committee on Evaluation, 1971; Seyfarth, 2002; Stronge, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 3:</strong> Set Performance Standards</td>
<td>Determine level(s) of performance within each job responsibility to be recognized by the assessor.</td>
<td>Cambron-McCabe, McCarthy, &amp; Thomas, 2004; Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation, 1988; Manatt, 1988; Phi Delta Kappa National Study on Evaluation, 1971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Implementation Phase</strong></td>
<td><strong>Description</strong></td>
<td><strong>References</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 4:</strong> Document Performance</td>
<td>Using multiple data sources, record sufficient information about the individual's performance to support ongoing professional development and to justify personnel decisions.</td>
<td>Conley, 1987; Peterson, 2000; Stronge &amp; Tucker, 2003; Tucker &amp; Stronge, 2005a; Wilkerson, Manatt, Rogers, &amp; Maughan, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 5:</strong> Evaluate Performance</td>
<td>Compare the individual's job performance with acceptable performance standards.</td>
<td>Castletter, 1996; Danielson &amp; McGreal, 2000; Frels &amp; Horton, 1994; Medley, Coker, &amp; Soar, 1984; Scriven, 1973, 1995; Tucker &amp; Stronge, 2005b; Valentine, 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 6:</strong> Improve and Maintain Performance &amp; Professional Service</td>
<td>Emphasize program improvement through accountability and professional development. This step brings the performance assessment process full cycle.</td>
<td>Colby, Bradshaw, &amp; Joyner, 2002; Hunter, 1988; Iwanicki, 1990; Johnson, 1997; McGreal, 1988; Stronge, 2005; Stufflebeam, &amp; Sanders, 1990</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IDENTIFYING TEACHER PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

Clearly defined performance standards for personnel constitute the foundation for the instructional personnel evaluation system. A fair and comprehensive evaluation system provides sufficient detail and accuracy so that both professionals and assessors reasonably understand the standards.

The expectations for professional performance are defined using a two-tiered approach.

- **Performance Standards**
- **Performance Indicators**

Performance standards refer to the major duties performed. For all teachers, there are eight performance standards.

**PERFORMANCE STANDARD 1: LEARNER PROGRESS**
The work of the teacher results in acceptable and measurable learner progress based on state/local standards, district goals, school goals and/or the teacher’s goals.

**PERFORMANCE STANDARD 2: KNOWLEDGE OF LEARNERS**
The teacher identifies and addresses the needs of learners by demonstrating respect for individual differences, cultures, backgrounds, and learning styles.

**PERFORMANCE STANDARD 3: INSTRUCTIONAL PLANNING**
The teacher uses appropriate curricula (including state reading requirements, if applicable), instructional strategies, and resources to develop lesson plans that include goals and/or objectives, learning activities, assessment of student learning, and home learning in order to address the diverse needs of students.

**PERFORMANCE STANDARD 4: INSTRUCTIONAL DELIVERY AND ENGAGEMENT**
The teacher promotes learning by demonstrating accurate content knowledge and by addressing academic needs through a variety of appropriate instructional strategies and technologies that engage learners.

**PERFORMANCE STANDARD 5: ASSESSMENT**
The teacher gathers, analyzes, and uses data (including FCAT state assessment data, as applicable) to measure learner progress, guide instruction, and provide timely feedback.
**PERFORMANCE STANDARD 6: COMMUNICATION**
The teacher communicates effectively with students, their parents or families, staff, and other members of the learning community.

**PERFORMANCE STANDARD 7: PROFESSIONALISM**
The teacher demonstrates behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and engages in continuous professional growth.

**PERFORMANCE STANDARD 8: LEARNING ENVIRONMENT**
The teacher creates and maintains a safe learning environment while encouraging fairness, respect, and enthusiasm.

The Miami-Dade County *Public Schools* teacher performance standards are aligned with the twelve (12) Florida Educator Accomplished Practices. The practices are interdependent, and therefore aligned to multiple performance standards (see Table 3).

**Table 3: Various alignments of the Florida Educator Accomplished Practices and the IPEGS Performance Standards-Teacher, but not limited to:***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Florida Educator’s Accomplished Practices</th>
<th>Eight IPEGS Performance Standards-Teacher</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Learner Progress</td>
<td>Knowledge of Learners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional Planning</td>
<td>Instructional Delivery and Engagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td>Communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professionalism</td>
<td>Learning Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learner Progress</td>
<td>Knowledge of Learners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional Planning</td>
<td>Instructional Delivery and Engagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td>Communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professionalism</td>
<td>Learning Environment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 1. Assessment                            | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| 2. Communication                         | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| 3. Continuous Improvement                | X  |     | X  | X  |
| 4. Critical Thinking                     |     |     | X  | X  | X  |
| 5. Diversity                             | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| 6. Ethics                                | X  | X  |     | X  |
| 7. Human Dev. & Learning                 | X  | X  |     | X  |
| 8. Knowledge of Subject Matter            | X  | X  |     | X  |
| 9. Learning Environments                  |     |     | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| 10. Planning                             | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| 11. Role of the Teacher                   | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| 12. Technology                           | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |

A Florida State Board Rule identifies 12 “essential practices of effective teaching.” They are called *The Educator Accomplished Practices.*

6A-5.065 Florida State Board Rule
Performance indicators have been developed (see Part II) to provide examples of observable, tangible behaviors. That is, the performance indicators are examples of the types of performance that may occur if a standard is being successfully met. Part II of the handbook contains a section called “Contemporary Effective Teacher Research” that highlights the research-base for the performance standards and accompanying performance indicators. The list of performance indicators is not exhaustive. Further, all professionals are not expected to demonstrate each performance indicator.

Both assessors and professionals may consult the sample performance indicators for clarification of what constitutes a specific performance standard. As an illustration, performance indicators for the Learner Progress Performance Standard 1 are listed in the box below.

---

**PERFORMANCE STANDARD 1: LEARNER PROGRESS**
The work of the teacher results in acceptable and measurable learner progress based on state/local standards, district goals, school goals and/or the teacher’s goals.

**SAMPLE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS** of teacher work may include, but are not limited to:

- Demonstrating an understanding of the concepts, principles, and strategies that enable students to progress and be academically successful on local assessments and state tests as referenced in Florida Statute §1012.34 (e.g., FCAT)
- Providing evidence of goal attainment as demonstrated by IPEGS goal setting
- Providing evidence of timely and appropriate intervention strategies for individual students not making adequate progress
- Tracking reading progress, as applicable (Florida Statute §1003.4156)
- Helping learners meet or progress toward state and local achievement requirements as compared to learners prior achievement levels, as applicable
- Establishing student achievement goals

---

The performance indicators are provided to help professionals and their assessors clarify job expectations. As mentioned, all performance indicators may not be applicable to a particular work assignment. Ratings are NOT made at the performance indicator level but at the performance standard level (see Pg. 28 “Definitions of Terms Used in Rating Scale”).
DOCUMENTING PERFORMANCE

A fair and equitable performance evaluation system for the role of a professional acknowledges the complexities of the job. Thus, multiple data sources are necessary to provide for a comprehensive and authentic “performance portrait” of the teacher’s work. The sources of information briefly described in Table 4 were selected as a means of providing accurate feedback on teacher performance.

Table 4: Data Sources for Teachers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goal Setting for Learner/Program</td>
<td>Professionals have a definite impact on student learning and performance through their various roles. Depending on grade level, content area, and learners’ ability level, appropriate measures of learner performance are identified to provide information on learning gains. Performance measures include state and local standardized test results as well as other pertinent data sources. Professionals set goals for improving learner/program progress based on the results of performance measures. The goals and their attainment constitute an important data source for evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>Observations are centered around the eight performance standards, with direct focus on Performance Standards 2, 3, 4, and 8. Observations may be conducted in either instructional or non-instructional settings, and may be scheduled or unscheduled visits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required Documentation</td>
<td>The Required Documentation includes specific required artifacts that provide evidence of meeting selected performance standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental Input</td>
<td>Parental input is gathered through the use of the School Climate Survey, the Educational Excellence School Advisory Council (EESAC) participation and the Open House Parent Academy Survey in schools, as applicable. Professionals show examples of communication with parents as reflected on their communication evidence.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each professional sets an annual goal for improving learner program progress. It is the responsibility of the professional to determine an appropriate goal and complete the goal setting form. The professional analyzes data from performance measures to set an appropriate goal for learner/program progress. It is the responsibility of the assessor to review the goal using the SMART criteria (discussed on page 19) and approve the goal if it meets the SMART criteria. A form is provided in Part III (Goal Setting for Learner/Program Progress Form) for developing and assessing the annual goal. The form for Goal Setting for Learner/Program Progress incorporates the individual professional development plan as instructional personnel determine an annual goal and identify resources and strategies to address the goal. Professionals are to establish only one (1) goal relating to their job responsibilities in this process. The goal must directly address learner achievement or program outcomes and may be measured by an appropriate assessment. For goals that directly assess learner achievement, appropriate measures of student learning gains differ substantially based on...
The following measurement tools may be appropriate for addressing state and school district guidelines and standards:
- criterion-referenced tests
- norm-referenced tests
- standardized achievement tests that include state assessments as referenced in Florida Statutes §1008.22 (e.g., FCAT) and §1012.34
- district interim assessments
- schoolwide reviews of test data
- authentic measures (e.g., learner portfolio, recitation).

Refer to page 50 for a detailed list of examples of data sources for learner achievement.

“...must be primarily based on the performance of students assigned to their classrooms or schools, as appropriate.”
Florida Statute §1012.34 (3)
Developing Goals

The goal is developed early in the school year. The goal describes observable behavior and/or measurable results that would occur when a goal is achieved. The acronym SMART is a useful way to self-assess a goal’s feasibility and worth. SMART stands for:

- **S**pecific: The goal is focused; for example, by content area, by learners’ needs
- **M**easurable: An appropriate instrument/measure is selected to assess the goal
- **A**ppropriate: The goal is within the teacher’s control to effect change
- **R**ealistic: The goal is feasible for the teacher
- **T**ime-bound: The goal is contained to a single school year

The sample physical education goal in the box shows how the SMART criteria are applied. This goal is intended to serve as a model for how goals may be written.

**Sample Goal - Physical Education Teacher:**

During the **current** school year, 90 percent of my fifth grade physical education students will show improved performance on 3 or more Fitnessgram Tests.

**Specific:** Focused on physical education, specifically the Fitnessgram Tests.

**Measurable:** Identified Fitnessgram Tests to be used to assess goal.

**Appropriate:** The teacher teaches the content and skills contained in the Fitnessgram Tests.

**Realistic:** The goal of improving 90 percent of the targeted students’ performance is realistic. It is not out of reach and yet not too easy.

**Time-bound:** Goal attainment can be addressed by the end of the year with the end-of-year Fitnessgram Tests.
Submission of the Goal Setting for Learner/Program Progress Form

Professionals complete sections I through V of the goal setting form, sign it, and submit it to their assessor for review and approval. Goals are reviewed by an assessor using the SMART criteria. Assessors must approve all goals that meet the SMART criteria. If necessary, a meeting to discuss the goal may be scheduled. The goal is submitted by the last date of the first student interim progress reporting period or within the first 25 calendar days of a new teaching assignment. Professionals hired in the second grading period or who have a change in their teaching assignment, after the second student interim progress reporting period, are exempt from goal setting for the current year. In this case, the assessor must consider other measures to rate Performance Standard 1. Teachers whose goals are based on semester or trimester courses have a truncated goal setting process.

Mid-Year Review of Goal

A mid-year review of progress on the goal is held for all professionals. This review should promote discussion, collegiality, and reflection. The mid-year review is to be held after the second student interim progress reporting period (in the second grading period) and before the end of the second grading period. The mid-year review is held in a forum determined (e.g., individual, small group setting) by the principal/assessor.

In preparation for the mid-year review discussion, the professional must review progress made toward the goal. Formal/informal data and/or a summary can be used to show progress toward the goal and effectiveness of strategies. It is not necessary to administer a formal mid-year assessment. However, if data are available, then the instructional professional may report the data. Instructional personnel may decide how best to present their progress. Common ways include, but are not limited to, a reflective paragraph, data presentation in a graph or table with accompanying explanation, bulleted list, and/or work samples. This addresses the documentation required by Section VI of the Goal Setting for Learner/Program Progress Form.

End-of-Year Review of Goal

Professionals assess learner/program measures for end-of-year goal progress. The end-of-year review of the goal is submitted on the Documentation Cover Sheet (discussed later in this section) as indicated in Section VII of the Goal Setting for Learner/Program Progress Form to the assessor 35 calendar days prior to the last day of the school year for professionals. Accompanying data and other evidence of goal progress may be included in the summary and/or attached to the Documentation Cover Sheet. The assessor reviews the submission prior to the summative evaluation meeting and may request existing documentation that clarifies the submission. Goal attainment/progress results are indicated on the original goal setting form by the assessor.

The Observation of Standards Form-Teacher (see Pg. 53 Part III) is used to provide targeted feedback on professionals’ work relating to the performance
standards. Given the complexity of the job responsibilities of teachers, it is likely that an assessor will be able to observe multiple standards in a formal observation, particularly evidence of performance standards 2, 3, 4, and 8. An assessor may make notes (evidence may be positive or negative) regarding all performance standards on the form; however, the assessor may choose to defer notes to the Summative Performance Evaluation form and/or Documentation Cover Sheet—on some performance standards. For those performance standards where notes are made, the notes must be descriptive and detailed as related to the standard(s) observed. During the post-observation meeting, the professional and the assessor will discuss the observation. No ratings are given during the post-observation meeting as assessors use multiple data sources collected throughout the year to determine ratings at the end of the school year (see Pg. 27 “Making Summative Decisions”).

Assessors are to assess the performance standards by observing instruction, performance of students and other applicable indicators at various times throughout the evaluation cycle. The standards that are not directly observed during the formal observation may be discussed during the post-observation meeting. Observations may be scheduled or unscheduled but, must be consistent within the school. No formal observation/evaluation shall be conducted during an employee’s first ten (10) days of student attendance.

Documenting Observations

The minimum number of required observations varies by contract status (see Table 5). The professional and the assessor will meet to discuss the observation within ten (10) calendar days of the observation. The assessor may ask the professionals to bring a copy of the lesson plan/planning document to the meeting. Professionals will have the right to present additional information/documents about what was observed and notations summarized on the Observation of Standards Form-Teacher. Any written response(s) provided by the professional shall be attached to the form and placed in the personnel file. Professionals receive a copy of the completed form from their assessor at the conclusion of the post-observation meeting.

A required observation constitutes a minimum of twenty (20) consecutive minutes. Where appropriate, the observation could last longer. The observation should cover an appropriate sample of the professional’s work. Additionally, more than the minimum number of required observations may occur, as appropriate.
Table 5: Observation by Contract Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract Status</th>
<th>Required Number of Observations a Year</th>
<th>Timeframe*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Contract</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 per semester, concluding by the end of the third grading period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Service Contract</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>By the end of the third grading period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuing Contract</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>By the end of the third grading period</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 3100 and 3110 teachers should follow the observation schedule established for Annual Contract Status Teachers.

*If extreme extenuating circumstances exist for not meeting the observation timeframe, the site administrator must contact the appropriate Region Center and the Labor Relations office, prior to conducting the observation. Labor Relations will communicate this request to the UTD Educational Policy Department.

*Exceptions to the timeframe may exist; refer to the current Office of Professional Standards (OPS) Manual. (Relevant sections of the OPS Manual have been included in this notebook to facilitate the FDOE review.)

REQUIRED DOCUMENTATION

The purpose of the Required Documentation (see Part III) is to provide evidence of performance related to specific standards. Documentation is not required for all performance standards as other data sources may be used. The required items provide assessors with additional information they likely would not receive during an observation. Specifically, the collection of documentation provides the professional with an opportunity for self-reflection, demonstration of quality work, and a basis for two-way communication with an assessor. The emphasis is on the quality of work, not the quantity of materials presented. Specific items are required of all professionals to be submitted and stapled to the Documentation Cover Sheet, serving as the transmittal. They are:

- **Goal Setting for Learner/Program Progress Update** – Summarize the End-of-Year Data Results on the Documentation Cover Sheet for the completion of Section VII of the Goal Setting for Learner/Program Progress Form and attach, if any, accompanying data/evidence to the cover sheet.

- **Communication** – Provide evidence of how the professional communicates with stakeholders. A sample form is provided in Part III. Professionals who document contacts with stakeholders (e.g., colleagues, parents/guardians, administrators) in another format (e.g., bulleted list, narrative paragraph/well written summary or log) should share their method and/or documentation in that format. Professionals are not required to use the sample communication log. The key is for the professional to provide evidence to the assessor of effective communication.

- **Professional Development/Professional Growth Experiences** – Provide evidence of professional growth activities. To count as a professional development activity, Master Plan Points or credit should have been offered to the participating professional. Professionals must demonstrate that some of the professional growth experiences relate to the current work assignment/population. Additionally, professionals may provide evidence of other activities that result in professional growth. A sample form is
provided in Part III. Professionals who document professional development/professional growth experiences in another format (e.g., bulleted lists, narrative paragraph/well written summary or log) should share their method and/or documentation in that format. Professionals maintain their own documentation of professional development/growth using such items as: Center for Professional Learning record of inservice/PD History, workshop certificates, college/university transcripts, conference certificates, or National Board Certification.

Furthermore, the required documentation is used to organize the multiple data sources included in the summative evaluation. If additional information is requested for clarification, the format for that information remains at the discretion of the professional which may include examples of existing documentation.

The documents are submitted to the assessor 35 calendar days prior to the last day of the school year for professionals. Assessors review the required documentation items and make notes on the Documentation Cover Sheet. The assessor maintains the documentation cover sheets and returns the original documents submitted, along with a copy of the Documentation Cover Sheet to the professional, by the last day of the school year for professionals.

For reasons of confidentiality, any documents that contain personal information about individuals other than the employee are to be returned to the employee upon completion of the summative evaluation meeting or redacted, as appropriate.

The purpose of parental input is to collect information that will help teachers reflect on their practice (i.e., for formative evaluation); in other words, to provide feedback directly to the employee for professional growth and development.

Parental input is gathered through the use of the School Climate Survey, the Educational Excellence School Advisory Council (EESAC) participation and the Open House Parent Academy Survey in schools, as applicable. Professionals show evidence of communication with parents as reflected on their communication documentation.

Some performance standards are best documented through observation (e.g., Learning Environment); other standards may require additional documentation techniques (e.g., Learner Progress entails a review of the goal set and data). Therefore, multiple data sources are used.

Formal evaluation of performance quality typically occurs at the summative evaluation stage, which comes at the end of the evaluation cycle (e.g., school year). The ratings for each performance standard are based on multiple sources of information and are completed only after pertinent data from all sources have been reviewed. The integrated data constitute the evidence used to determine the performance ratings for the summative evaluation for professionals (see
Summative Performance Evaluation-Teacher, Part III). Further details on the rating process are provided in subsequent sections of this handbook.

Summative evaluation meetings are to be conducted by assessors no later than 7 calendar days prior to the last day of the school year for professionals. Table 6 (pg. 26) details the work plan to be followed. As illustrated, the procedures for evaluating the performance of professionals rely on multiple data sources, including, but not limited to, observations and goal setting.

Modifications to the evaluation process are made for instructional personnel in unique teaching conditions, such as semester teachers, teachers whose assignment changes and professionals going on leave/returning from leave. Observations should be completed as close to the established timeline as possible in the event the professional is going on leave/returning from leave. If assessors have completed the required formal observation(s) and a professional’s work assignment changes, assessors are not required to complete an additional formal observation. The following guidance is provided for special circumstances regarding the goal setting process.

- **Semester teachers** - The goal setting timeline is truncated such that they set their learner/program goals for the first or second semester. Section VII of the goal setting form is completed by the assessor at the end of the semester as opposed to the end of the school year. The completed goal setting form is retained by the assessor and included in the end-of-year documentation in preparation for the summative meeting.

- **Professionals whose assignment changes and/or who are transferred to a new position** – Within 25 calendar days of a new work assignment/position, the professional should submit a goal appropriate to the new work situation.

- **Professionals hired prior to the date of the second student interim progress reporting period** – Within 25 calendar days of beginning the position, the professional should submit a goal appropriate to the work situation.

The following professionals are exempt from completing the goal setting process for the current school year:

- Professionals on an approved leave for more than half of the year
- Professionals hired during the second grading period, after the second student interim progress reporting period

Assessors will 1) mark “exempt” on the Documentation Cover Sheet and 2) leave the goal setting box on the Summative Performance Evaluation-Teacher blank. Assessors must then consider other measures to rate Performance Standard 1, as applicable to the time period that the learners have been assigned to that professional. Examples of other measures may include authentic measures, criterion- or norm-referenced tests, etc.
Instructional Personnel New to M-DCPS

Annual 1 Contract instructional personnel participate in a district comprehensive orientation session at the beginning of the school year; otherwise, it is the responsibility of the site administrator to provide the orientation. The orientation consists of written and oral explanations of IPEGS.

If the professional transfers within M-DCPS, the documentation is to be forwarded to the receiving school/worksite administrator. At the end of an evaluation cycle, the site administrator retains the originals of the Goal Setting For Learner Program/Progress Form, Documentation Cover Sheet, Observation of Standards Form(s)-Teacher, and Summative Performance Evaluation-Teacher form at the school/worksite. Copies of these forms and all original attachments to the documentation cover sheet are returned to the professional by the last day of the school year for professionals.

Storage of Records

- Site personnel file: completed Goal Setting for Learner/Program Progress Form, Documentation Cover Sheets, Observation of Standards Form(s)-Teacher, Summative Performance Evaluation copy and any written response(s) provided by the professional.
- District Personnel Records Department: original Summative Performance Evaluation-Teacher form to be sent according to the District’s end-of-year calendar/procedures and any written response(s) provided by the professional.
- All other original material/documentation is to be returned to the professional.

“"All personnel must be fully informed of the criteria and procedures associated with the assessment process before the assessment takes place.”

Florida Statute §1012.34 (3)(b)
### Table 6: IPEGS Work Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Task or Document</th>
<th>Responsibility of (A) Assessor or (P) Professional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>By the last date of the first student interim progress reporting period</td>
<td>Establish and submit learner/program progress goal</td>
<td>Goal Setting for Learner/Program Progress Form</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By the end of the first grading period</td>
<td>Review and approve goals that meet the SMART criteria</td>
<td>Goal Setting for Learner/Program Progress Form</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By the end of the 4th week of the semester</td>
<td>Establish and submit learner/program progress goal for semester/semester teachers</td>
<td>Goal Setting for Learner/Program Progress Form</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By the end of the first grading period</td>
<td>Observation of new teachers to M-DCPS and to the school with post-observation meeting</td>
<td>Observation of Standards Form-Teacher</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During the 8th week of the trimester</td>
<td>Mid-year review of goal for trimester teachers</td>
<td>Goal Setting for Learner/Program Progress Form</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During the 9th and 10th week of the semester</td>
<td>Mid-year review of goal for semester teachers</td>
<td>Goal Setting for Learner/Program Progress Form</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By the end of the second grading period</td>
<td>First observation of annual contract teachers with post-observation meeting</td>
<td>Observation of Standards Form-Teacher</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By the end of the third grading period</td>
<td>Second observation of annual contract teachers with post-observation meeting; Observation of professional service contract and continuing contract teachers with post-observation meeting</td>
<td>Observation of Standards Form-Teacher</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After the second student interim progress reporting period and by the end of the second grading period</td>
<td>Mid-year review of goal</td>
<td>Goal Setting for Learner/Program Progress Form</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least 35 calendar days prior to the last day of the school year for professionals</td>
<td>Submission of the completed Documentation Cover Sheet</td>
<td>Documentation Cover Sheet and related documents (i.e., Communication, Professional Development/ Professional Growth Experiences)</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By no later than 7 calendar days prior to the last day of the school year for professionals</td>
<td>Complete all summative evaluation meetings</td>
<td>Summative Performance Evaluation Form and Documentation Cover Sheet</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: See Office of Professional Standards (OPS) Procedures Handbook for specific dates.*
MAKING SUMMATIVE DECISIONS

Two major considerations apply when assessing job performance during summative evaluation:
1) the performance standards and
2) the documentation of the actual performance of the standards (observations, goal setting, required documentation).

The performance appraisal rubric (see Part II) provides a description of well-defined teacher performance standards.

The rating scale describes four levels of how well the standards (i.e., duties/responsibilities) are performed on a continuum from “exemplary” to “unsatisfactory.” The use of the scale enables assessors to acknowledge teachers who exceed expectations (exemplary); note those who effectively meet the standard (proficient); those who need assistance/support to meet the standard in an effective manner (developing/needs improvement); and use the lowest level of feedback for teachers who consistently do not meet expectations (unsatisfactory).

The following sections define the four levels, provide detailed information about the performance of expectations for improvement purposes, and describe the decision-making process for assessing performance. PLEASE NOTE: Ratings are applied to individual performance standards, NOT performance indicators. Performance indicators only inform assessors as to examples of performance relevant to the standards. Further, the assessor determines the degree to which the performance standard is being performed based on the evidence provided.

The site administrator uses four levels when assessing performance of standards (i.e., “exemplary,” “proficient,” “developing/needs improvement,” “unsatisfactory”). Table 7 (Pg. 28) offers general descriptions of those ratings.

Who Decides on the Ratings?

The site administrator has the ultimate responsibility for ensuring that IPEGs is executed faithfully and effectively in the school/worksite. For an evaluation system to be meaningful, it must provide its users with relevant and timely feedback. Administrators, such as assistant principals, may be designated as the assessors to supervise, monitor, and assist with the multiple data source collection.
Table 7: Definitions of Terms Used in Rating Scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Performance Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exemplary</strong></td>
<td>The professional performs at level that consistently models initiative, raises performance through expanding knowledge, and improves individual and/or school effectiveness in a manner that is consistent with the school district’s mission and goals.</td>
<td>High-quality performance: ♦ exceeds the requirements contained in the standard as expressed in the evaluation criteria ♦ consistently seeks opportunities to learn and apply new skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proficient</strong></td>
<td>The professional performs in a manner that demonstrates competence and expertise in meeting the standard in a manner that is consistent with the school district’s mission and goals.</td>
<td>Effective performance: ♦ meets the requirements contained in the job description as expressed in the evaluation criteria ♦ demonstrates willingness to learn and apply new skills ♦ exhibits behaviors that have a positive impact on learners and the school climate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Developing/Needs Improvement</strong></td>
<td>The professional needs assistance/support to meet the standard in an effective manner that is consistent with the school district’s mission and goals.</td>
<td>Improving performance: ♦ requires support/assistance in meeting the standard ♦ results in performance that needs improvement ♦ leads to areas for professional improvement being jointly identified and planned between the professional and assessor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unsatisfactory</strong></td>
<td>The professional consistently performs below the established standard or in a manner that is inconsistent with the school district’s mission and goals.</td>
<td>Poor-quality performance: ♦ fails to meet the requirements contained in the standard as expressed in the evaluation criteria ♦ may result in the employee not being recommended for continued employment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The performance rubric is a tool to guide the site administrators’ rating of professional performance for the summative evaluation.

A performance rubric is provided for each of the eight (8) standards. Part II of the handbook includes rubrics related to each performance standard. The performance rubric is a behavioral summary scale that describes performance levels for each performance standard. It states the measure of performance expected of professionals for each standard and provides a description of what a rating entails. The rating scale is applied for the summative evaluation. Please note: The rating description for “proficient” is the actual performance standard.

Site administrators make decisions about performance of the eight (8) performance standards based on all available evidence. The site administrator rates a professional’s performance for the summative evaluation after collecting information through multiple data sources (e.g., observation, goal setting, required documentation, submissions by the professional and other relevant sources).

In preparation for the summative evaluation meeting, the site administrator, in collaboration with the assessor(s), applies the four-level rubric to evaluate performance on all professional standards (see Summative Performance Evaluation form in Part III). The results of the evaluation are discussed with the professional at a summative evaluation meeting. The performance rubrics guide assessors in assessing how well a standard is performed. They are provided to increase reliability among assessors and to help teachers to focus on ways to enhance their professional practice. An example of the rubric for Performance Standard 7 is found below.

**PERFORMANCE STANDARD 7: PROFESSIONALISM**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exemplary</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Developing/Needs Improvement</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In addition to meeting the standard …</td>
<td>The teacher demonstrates behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and engages in continuous professional growth.</td>
<td>The teacher often does not display professional judgment or only occasionally participates in professional growth.</td>
<td>The teacher fails to adhere to legal, ethical, or professional standards, including all requirements for professional growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The teacher consistently demonstrates a high level of professionalism, contributes to the professional growth of others, and/or assumes a leadership role within the learning community.</td>
<td>The teacher demonstrates behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and engages in continuous professional growth.</td>
<td>The teacher often does not display professional judgment or only occasionally participates in professional growth.</td>
<td>The teacher fails to adhere to legal, ethical, or professional standards, including all requirements for professional growth.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Completing the Summative Report

Prior to the summative evaluation meeting with the professional, the assessor reviews the multiple data sources that have been collected (e.g., observation form) and submitted (e.g., items specified as required documentation). The assessor checks the appropriate boxes on the Summative Performance Evaluation form to indicate which items were reviewed. Additionally, the assessor may consider additional data sources provided by the professional. When other data sources are used, the assessor may note their use either by writing the data source in the line next to “Other” on the first page of the form and/or in the “Comments” section under a particular performance standard. During the summative evaluation meeting, the results of the evaluation are discussed with the professional.

The professional and the assessor conducting the summative evaluation meeting initial each page and sign the Summative Performance Evaluation-Teacher form to indicate that the meeting occurred. The site administrator determines the ratings and indicates whether the professional is recommended or not recommended for continued employment by signing the form. A copy of this form is provided to the professional. According to the established district calendar, the site administrator submits the original form to the Personnel Records Department.

Steps for the Summative Performance Evaluation Process

Step 1: Professional submits required end-of-year documentation by the submission date.

Step 2: Assessor reviews submitted documentation.

Step 3: Site Administrator, in collaboration with the assessor(s), applies the four-level rubric to evaluate performance on all performance standards based on multiple data sources to prepare for the Summative Performance Evaluation Meeting.

Step 4: A summative evaluation meeting between the assessor and the professional is held to discuss and determine if the results of the evaluation accurately reflect the professional’s performance. The professional and the assessor initial each page, and sign and date the evaluation form, unless the following exists: During the discussion, if clarification of a rating(s) is needed, the professional may present additional information. Additional information, as presented during the summative evaluation meeting, is shared with the site administrator. The assessor, if not the site administrator, and the professional neither initial nor sign the Summative Performance Evaluation form.

Step 5: The site administrator makes the final determination of the professional’s rating(s) and recommendation for continued employment. The site administrator signs and dates the evaluation form. When additional information is submitted it is reviewed by the site administrator prior to the determination of the final rating(s). If the professional still disagrees with the rating(s), a written response may be provided by the instructional professional and attached to the Summative Performance Evaluation form of the professional. All parties initial each page, sign and date the Summative Performance Evaluation form which denotes that a summative evaluation meeting occurred. For
procedural appeals to the IPEGS process refer to the M-DCPS/UTD collective bargaining agreement.

**Step 6:** The original *Summative Performance Evaluation* form and the written response, if applicable, is/are submitted to the Personnel Records Department.

**Step 7:** The professional will receive a completed copy of all forms and documents related to the IPEGS Summative Performance Evaluation by the last day of the school year for the professional. These include:

- *Goal Setting for Learner/Program Progress Form*,
- *Documentation Cover Sheet* (original documents attached to the *Documentation Cover Sheet* are returned to the professional), and
- *Summative Performance Evaluation* form.

**Note:** A copy of the *Observation of Standards Form* is to be provided following the post-observation conference.

### IMPROVING PROFESSIONAL PERFORMANCE

One of the primary purposes of IPEGS, as identified in the Introduction of this handbook, is to provide a collaborative process that promotes self-growth, instructional effectiveness, and improvement of overall job performance. Both informal and formal supports within the IPEGS process are available for professionals to foster this collaborative process of growth and improvement in instructional effectiveness.

**Professional Support and Assistance**

During the school year, when there is collective evidence that indicates a professional is in need of assistance and support to meet one or more of the performance standards in an effective manner (i.e., identified as developing/needs improvement), informal support is made available to the professional. It is incumbent upon the assessor to clearly communicate such to the professional at any time during the evaluation cycle when a professional’s performance is deemed to require assistance and support. The assessor will communicate this need for improvement on the *Observation of Standards Form* through the delineation of documented evidence and checking the “Professional Support and Assistance Required” box. The assessor may also indicate the need for improvement through other progressive communication methods. Through a collegial and supportive process, the professional and the assessor discuss these specific standards and what appropriate assistance and support will be provided.

In addition, the professional may seek other professional development/growth opportunities. Once supportive activities are identified and implemented, both parties must communicate, at least every thirty (30) days to determine the effectiveness of the activities regarding the identified performance standard(s). The discussion will include whether the professional is effectively meeting the standard. If the professional is successfully meeting the standard, no further support and assistance is required. Otherwise, the same or additional supportive activities will continue, with both parties communicating every thirty (30) days to assess progress. This communication is documented on the same *Observation of Standards Form* by indicating the date and status. Initial identification of
professionals requiring supportive assistance must take place by the end of the third grading period.

Professionals develop and improve their job performance over time and with support and assistance. Some professionals will need more time to develop and improve their performance to ensure it reaches an effective standard of performance (i.e., proficient). Therefore, a professional may be considered “developing/needs improvement” for more than one evaluation cycle. However, to assess a professional as “developing/needs improvement” for more than one evaluation cycle, the professional must have been provided evidence in a timely manner throughout the evaluation cycle to allow for the professional to seek and take advantage of opportunities to receive assistance and support for the purpose of improving his/her performance.

Two (2) formal tools are provided in IPEGs to improve performance. The first is the Support Dialogue, a school/worksite-level discussion between the administrator and the professional. A Support Dialogue begins the formal process of providing support and assistance when a professional’s performance is unsatisfactory. However, Support Dialogue is neither required nor appropriate to address compliance issues pertaining to Performance Standard 7: Professionalism regarding rules, punctuality and attendance, after appropriate progressive discipline has been applied. The second is the Improvement Plan, which is more structured and meets the requirements of the Florida Statute related to notifying a professional of unsatisfactory performance. The Improvement Plan follows a Support Dialogue when the professional’s job performance has not improved within the Support Dialogue time frame.

The Support Dialogue (SD) is initiated by the assessor at any point during the school year when the professional’s performance is unsatisfactory. Support Dialogue is designed to facilitate discussion about identified performance standard(s) and to identify ways to address improvement. During the SD, both parties share what each will do to support the professional’s growth. Mutually agreed upon supportive assistance activities and resources, including responsible parties who will provide support, will be identified.

If as a result of an observation, the collective evidence indicates that the professional requires support in meeting the standard(s) a SD is held within ten (10) calendar days of the observation. The professional is notified of a scheduled SD via the Support Dialogue (SD) Meeting Notification Form which must be issued no later than forty-eight (48) hours prior to the SD meeting. At this SD meeting, the professional has the right, to union representation and/or may request a peer support professional who is mutually agreed upon by the professional and the assessor. The SD process is intended to be completed within a twenty-one (21) calendar day period, while the professional receives support and implements changes in his/her performance. After the twenty-one (21) calendar day period has elapsed, the same assessor must observe the professional again.
The following are sample guiding questions for the SD conversation.

Sample Prompts for the SD Conversation
1. Tell me about your instructional setting.
2. What challenges have you encountered in addressing ________ (tell specific concern)?
3. What strategies have you tried to implement to address the concern of ________ (tell specific concern)?
4. What support can I or others provide you? (may include the following types of assistance to the professional: professional development/ professional growth activities, shadowing, mentoring, peer review and/or modeling, support from the school site/regional center and/or district curriculum specialists)

The assessor shares some support ideas and asks,
1. What do you think of these ideas?
2. Do you have any new suggestions for change?

Any subsequent observation to an unsatisfactory observation must start at the beginning of the class and last for the complete lesson. However, for classes extending beyond the standard elementary/secondary scheduled class/subject (e.g., block schedules, 3 hour auto mechanics, etc.), the assessor must have observed a lesson from the beginning of the class and remained for a minimum of one (1) hour.
### Step 1 to Improve Professional Performance

**AC/PSC/CC**

#### Support Dialogue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>For professionals who are in need of additional support, SD is initiated.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Initiator | Assessor  
**Site Administrator** must contact the Region Center and the Office of Professional Standards. |
| Documentation | Observation of Standards Form (OSF)  
° Examples/Evidence *that clearly describe unsatisfactory deficiencies*  
° The specific standards that *are unsatisfactory* and require assistance/support must be identified.  
° The SD box must be checked “yes.” |
| Assistance | Assistance that may be offered, but is not limited to:  
° The use of sample prompts for initial conversation  
° Professional growth activities  
° Shadowing, mentoring, peer review, and/or modeling |
| Outcomes | • Professional improves *and no additional support is required* or support continues through the informal professional assistance and support process, or  
• Professional has demonstrated some progress and the assessor may extend the time of the SD, or  
• No progress *and performance is unsatisfactory* — the professional is placed on an Improvement Plan (IP). |

The desired outcome would be that the professional’s practice has improved. However, in the event that improvements in performance have been made, the assessor may extend the timeline of the Support Dialogue *for an additional ten (10) work days*. If the professional’s performance is unsatisfactory, the professional must be placed on an Improvement Plan (IP). Once placed on an IP the professional will be provided with a 90-Calendar Day Probation period to demonstrate that identified deficiencies have been corrected.

If an assessor and a professional have completed Step 1 Support Dialogue, and performance is unsatisfactory, Step 2 is initiated. Step 2 is defined when a professional’s performance is unsatisfactory in any standard and the professional is placed on a 90-Calendar Day Probation and an Improvement Plan (IP). (See *Improvement Plan* form in Part III). *Ideally*, the desired outcome of an IP is to improve the professional’s performance to a proficient level. If the professional’s performance does not improve to a developing or proficient level, the result will constitute a professional not being recommended for continued employment.

---

**IMPROVEMENT PLAN (IP)/90-Calendar Day Probation**
### Improvement Plan

**Purpose**
For professionals whose performance is unsatisfactory on one or more performance standards an IP is initiated.

**Initiator**
- Site Administrator
- Site Administrator must notify the Region Center and Office of Professional Standards.

**Documentation**
- Minimum of two (2) Observation of Standards Forms (OSF);
  - Examples/Evidence *that clearly describe(s) unsatisfactory deficiencies*;
  - The specific standards that are unsatisfactory must be identified;
  - The IP box must be checked "yes for the second subsequent observation".
- Conference for the Record (CFR)-Notification and Summary; and
- Improvement Plan (IP).

**Assistance**
Assistance may include, but is not limited to:
- support from school site/ regional center and/or district curriculum specialist;
- continued support and assistance;
- peer/mentor assistance;
- professional development and/or other professional growth activities on specific topics; and/or
- other resources to be identified.

**Outcomes**
- Performance improves to proficient – recommended for continued employment, or
- Performance improves to developing – recommended for continued employment, or
- Performance is unsatisfactory – not recommended for continued employment.

AIP may be implemented at any point during the year provided that the professional has had an SD and a minimum of two (2) observations. The IP is designed to guide a professional in addressing areas of concern through targeted assistance with additional resources. If a professional’s performance is being observed by the site administrator designee, he/she consults with the site administrator on the need for an IP. During the Conference-for-the-Record (CFR), the site administrator, the assessor (if different), the professional, and the union representative (if applicable) may advance suggestions to the IP. At a subsequent meeting, when the summary of the CFR is signed, the IP will be explained and signed. (The CFR meeting, CFR Summary meeting, and the IP initiation must be completed with signatures within ten (10) calendar days). The day after the IP is signed by the site administrator and the professional, the official start of the 90-Calendar Day Probation begins.

Florida Statute §1012.34 provides guidance on the activities that occur in conjunction with the IP (See summary in Appendix A).

#### 90-Calendar Day Probation/Improvement Plan (IP)

The following chart, which delineates the procedures as a result of unsatisfactory performance on one or more standard(s), is used for professional service contract and annual contract professionals. In accordance with Florida Statute §1012.33, the first 97 calendar days of initial employment constitute a probationary period during which the annual contract employee may resign without breach of contract or be dismissed without cause.
## 90-Calendar Day Probation/Improvement Plan (IP) for AC and PSC Professionals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTRACT STATUS</th>
<th>PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE</th>
<th>PROCEDURES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Annual Contract (AC) Professionals or Professional Service Contract (PSC) Professionals | Site administrator | If the examples/evidence of the subsequent observation conducted by the same assessor of the current school year results in unsatisfactory performance, an Observation of Standards Form (OSF) is completed and given to the professional at a Conference for the Record (CFR), which must take place within 10 calendar days excluding employee absence(s), holidays and recess. The professional has a right to union representation. In the event that a professional is absent on authorized leave in excess of 10 consecutive workdays, the 90-Calendar Day Probation is suspended until the professional returns to active duty, at which time it resumes. At the CFR, the following occurs:  
  - The site administrator and professional discuss the results of the observation in terms of all performance standards.  
  - The site administrator and the professional shall sign the Observation of Standards Form (OSF), and a copy must be provided to the professional.  
  - The site administrator develops the Improvement Plan (IP). During the development and review of the IP, the professional and the union representative, if applicable, may advance suggestions. Any changes resulting from clarifications made at the meeting must be reflected in the completed IP.  
  - At a subsequent meeting, the summary of the CFR is signed and the completed IP is explained and signed. The site administrator advises the professional of specific support and resources in order to assist the professional to complete IP requirements, prior to the next observation. The site administrator then issues the IP.  
  - The professional’s signature on the OSF and IP merely signifies receipt and does not necessarily indicate agreement with its contents.  
  - The site administrator and the professional shall discuss an approximate date for the next observation, which must be no later than 30 calendar days from the CFR.  
  - Two (2) observations during the 90-Calendar Day Probation are required. After each additional observation, if deficiencies continue, a post-observation meeting must be held within (10) calendar days, excluding employee absence(s), and a revised/new IP is developed and provided to the professional. The same procedures apply to all subsequent IPs. If the 90-Calendar Day Probation cannot be completed before the end of the school year, the probation will be continued into the next school year and the summative evaluation withheld until the process is concluded. In this case, the professional is ineligible for summer employment and salary increases until deficiencies have been corrected.  
  - Prior to the site administrator making an employment recommendation, the site administrator conducts a final observation within fourteen (14) calendar days of the end of the 90-Calendar Day Probation. The recommendation must be forwarded to the Superintendent, who within fourteen (14) calendar days of receipt of the site administrator’s recommendations notifies the professional of the final recommendation by certified mail. The final recommendation will be one of the following:  
    a) The performance deficiencies have been satisfactorily corrected: The professional is no longer on an Improvement Plan (IP)/probationary status.  
    b) The deficiencies were not corrected: The professional is recommended for dismissal for just cause or non-renewal of contract.  
  - Professionals may use provisions specified in Article XXI, of the M-DCPS/ UTD contract to address compliance issues. At any time during the 90 calendar days, the professional may request a transfer to another appropriate position with a different site administrator. However, a transfer does not extend the period for correcting performance standard(s) deficiencies. If the professional wishes to contest the Superintendent's recommendation, the professional must, within fifteen (15) calendar days after receipt of the Superintendent's recommendation, submit a written request for a hearing.  
  - The Union, upon the professional’s request, may meet with personnel from the Office of Professional Standards to review all pertinent documents and administrative actions relative to the observation(s) and IP procedures. |
| Professional | | |
| Office of Professional Standards/UTD/ Professional | | |
90-Calendar Day Probation
Annual Contract/Professional Service Contract

Result of Second
Unsatisfactory Observation of Standard(s)
(in the same evaluation cycle)

Site administrator’s checklist to be completed within 10 Calendar Days
- Complete OSF
- Notify the Regional Center and the Office of Professional Standards
- Draft IP
- Notify professional of CFR
- Conduct CFR, give copy of OSF to professional and develop IP
- Complete CFR Summary
- IP and summary given to Professional for signature
- Probation begins the day after the professional signs the IP

90-Calendar Day Probation begins (excluding holidays and school vacations)

Observation

Observation

Post-observation meetings held to discuss and apprise professional of OSF and IP progress

Day 90
Probation Ends

Final Observation Conducted Within 14-Calendar Days By the Site Administrator

Site Administrator’s Recommendation to Superintendent For Employment Action

Within 14 calendar days, written notification by certified mail from the Superintendent to employee indicating either:

Deficiencies Corrected (Developing, Proficient and/or Exemplary)

Summative Performance Evaluation Indicates Recommended for Continued Employment

Deficiencies Not Corrected

Summative Performance Evaluation Indicates NOT Recommended for Continued Employment

DOAH and Recommended Order

Final Order of the Board

Court of Appeals

Legend
OSF: Observation of Standards Form
CFR: Conference for the Record
IP: Improvement Plan
DOAH: Division of Administrative Hearing
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## Improvement Plan (IP) for CC Professionals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTRACT STATUS</th>
<th>PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE</th>
<th>PROCEDURES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuing Contract (CC) Professionals</td>
<td>Site administrator</td>
<td>If the examples/evidence of the second observation conducted by the same assessor of the current school year results in unsatisfactory performance, an Observation of Standards Form (OSF) is completed and a Conference for the Record (CFR) must take place within ten (10) calendar days excluding employee absence(s), holidays and recess. The professional has a right to union representation. At that meeting, the following occurs:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The site administrator and professional discuss the results of the observation in terms of all performance standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The site administrator and the professional shall sign the Observation of Standards Form (OSF), and a copy must be provided to the professional.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The site administrator develops the Improvement Plan (IP). During the development and review of the IP, the professional and the union representative, if applicable, may advance suggestions. Any changes resulting from clarifications made at the meeting must be reflected in the completed IP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• <em>At a subsequent meeting, the summary of the CFR is signed and the completed IP is explained and signed. The site administrator advises the professional of specific support and resources in order to assist the professional to complete IP requirements, prior to the next observation. The site administrator then issues the IP</em>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The professional’s signature on the OSF and IP merely signifies receipt and does not necessarily indicate agreement with its contents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The site administrator and the professional shall discuss an approximate date for the next observation, which must be no later than 30 calendar days from the CFR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The professional takes corrective action to correct deficiencies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The site administrator must conduct the first observation prior to the third quarter and a minimum of three (3) observations with examples and evidence of unsatisfactory performance standards required for the Summative Evaluation in order to not meet recommendation for continued employment. However, if only two (2) observations with unsatisfactory performance standard(s) are conducted by the end of the school year, the Summative Evaluation is withheld and carried over pending completion of the observation process the following school year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>Site administrator</td>
<td>The “Carry Over” Process (CC Professionals)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The site administrator must conduct one (1) additional subsequent observation required to complete the process, and this observation must be conducted during the first thirty (30) work days with student contact, excluding the first ten (10) working days with student contact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Upon completion of the carry-over observation a Summative Evaluation for the previous school year is rendered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• In the subsequent year, the assessor must conduct two (2) observations within the first sixty (60) work days with student contact, excluding the first ten (10) working days with students. Three (3) additional observations with examples and evidence of unsatisfactory performance standards are required to render a decision on an accelerated summative evaluation in order to not meet recommendation for continued employment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The site administrator must forward a recommendation to the superintendent upon completion of the summative evaluation, who within fourteen (14) calendar days of receipt of the site administrator’s recommendations, notifies the employee of the final recommendation. The final recommendation will be one of the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>a) The performance deficiencies have been satisfactorily corrected: The professional is no longer on an Improvement Plan (IP).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b) The deficiencies were not corrected: The professional is recommended for dismissal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Improvement Plan (IP) for CC Professionals continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTRACT STATUS</th>
<th>PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE</th>
<th>PROCEDURES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>Office of Professional Standards/UTD/Professional</td>
<td>Professionals may use provisions specified in Article XXI of the M-DCPS/UTD contract to address compliance issues. The professional may request a transfer to another appropriate position with a different supervising administrator. However, a transfer does not extend the period for correcting performance standard(s) deficiencies. If the professional wishes to contest the Superintendent's recommendation, the professional must, within fifteen (15) calendar days after receipt of the Superintendent's recommendation, submit to the School Board clerk a written request for a hearing. The Union, upon the professional’s request, may meet with personnel from the Office of Professional Standards to review all pertinent documents and administrative actions relative to the observation(s) and IP procedures.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observation Procedures – Interim Teacher Incumbents (3100s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EMPLOYMENT STATUS</th>
<th>ASSESSOR(S) RESPONSIBLE</th>
<th>OBSERVATION SCHEDULE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interim Teacher Incumbents – 3100s</td>
<td>Employed in one assignment and one work location for 45 consecutive workdays</td>
<td>Assessor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interim Teacher Incumbents – 3100s</td>
<td>Employed in one assignment and one work location for 46 – 99 consecutive workdays</td>
<td>Assessor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interim Teacher Incumbents – 3100s</td>
<td>Employed in one assignment and one work location for 100 or more consecutive workdays</td>
<td>Assessor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interim Teacher Incumbents – 3110s</td>
<td>Employed in one assignment and one work location for 100 or more consecutive workdays</td>
<td>Assessor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Teachers are evaluated on the performance standards using the performance appraisal rubrics applicable to the standard on each page in this section. The performance indicators are provided as samples of activities that may address the standard.

**Ratings are made at the performance standard level, NOT the performance indicator level.**

**PERFORMANCE STANDARD 1: LEARNER PROGRESS**

The work of the teacher results in acceptable and measurable learner progress based on state/local standards, district goals, school goals and/or the teacher’s goals.

**SAMPLE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS** of teacher work may include, but are not limited to:

- Demonstrates an understanding of the concepts, principles, and strategies that enable students to progress and be academically successful on local assessments and state tests as referenced in Florida Statute §1012.34 (e.g., FCAT)
- Helps learners meet or progress toward state and local achievement requirements as compared to learners’ prior achievement levels, as applicable
- Establishes student achievement goals
- Provides evidence of goal attainment as demonstrated by IPEGS goal setting
- Provides evidence of timely and appropriate intervention strategies for individual students not making adequate progress
- Tracks reading progress, as applicable (Florida Statute §1003.4156)

**PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL RUBRIC**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exemplary</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Developing/Needs Improvement</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The professional’s work is exceptional, in addition to meeting the standard ...</td>
<td>The description is the actual performance standard.</td>
<td>The work of the teacher results in some student progress, but more progress is often needed to meet state/local standards, district goals, school goals and/or the teacher’s goals.</td>
<td>The work of the teacher consistently fails to result in acceptable student progress.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**The assessment must primarily use data and indicators of improvement in student performance assessed annually...** Florida Statute §1012.34(3)(a)

**CONTEMPORARY EFFECTIVE TEACHER RESEARCH**

Contemporary research has found that an effective teacher:

- Knows the students’ abilities and sets realistic goals.¹
- Raises the achievement levels for all groups of students in the classroom.²
- Identifies and establishes additional means of support for students, such as peer study groups, to advance toward learning goals.³
Ratings are made at the performance standard level, NOT the performance indicator level.

**Performance Standard 2: Knowledge of Learners**

The teacher identifies and addresses the needs of learners by demonstrating respect for individual differences, cultures, backgrounds, and learning styles.

**Sample Performance Indicators** of teacher work may include, but are not limited to:

- Presents concepts at different levels of complexity for students of varying developmental stages
- Provides a range of activities to meet the various students’ learning styles and cultural and linguistic backgrounds
- Uses appropriate school, family, and community resources to help meet all students’ learning needs

**Performance Appraisal Rubric**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exemplary</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Developing/Needs Improvement</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The professional’s work is exceptional, in addition to meeting the standard ...</td>
<td>The teacher identifies and addresses the needs of learners by demonstrating respect for individual differences, cultures, backgrounds, and learning styles.</td>
<td>The teacher attempts, but is often ineffective in demonstrating knowledge and understanding of the needs of the target learning community.</td>
<td>The teacher consistently demonstrates a lack of awareness of the needs of the target learning community or fails consistently to make appropriate accommodations to meet those needs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Contemporary Effective Teacher Research**

Contemporary research has found that an effective teacher:

- Cares about students as individuals and makes them feel valued.
- Adapts teaching to address student learning styles.
- Acknowledges his or her perspective and is open to hearing the students’ worldviews.
- Is culturally competent.
- Seeks to know about the cultures and communities from which students come.
PERFORMANCE STANDARD 3: INSTRUCTIONAL PLANNING

The teacher uses appropriate curricula (including state reading requirements, if applicable), instructional strategies, and resources to develop lesson plans that include goals and/or objectives, learning activities, assessment of student learning, and home learning in order to address the diverse needs of students.

SAMPLE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS of teacher work may include, but are not limited to:
- Applies the scope and sequence to the curriculum and needs of students
- Ensures that teaching materials, resources, and texts used are aligned to the curriculum
- Uses an established curriculum as a framework
- Develops plans that are logical, sequential, and relevant
- Plans instruction to achieve intended learning outcomes
- Demonstrates current knowledge of field/subject matter in planning
- Identifies and plans for the instructional and developmental needs of diverse learners
- Gathers, evaluates, and/or creates appropriate instructional materials

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL RUBRIC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exemplary</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Developing/Needs Improvement</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The professional’s work is exceptional, in addition to meeting the standard...</td>
<td>The teacher uses appropriate curricula (including state reading requirements, if applicable), instructional strategies, and resources to develop lesson plans that include goals and/or objectives, learning activities, assessment of student learning, and home learning in order to address the diverse needs of students.</td>
<td>The teacher attempts to use appropriate curricula, instructional strategies, and/or resources to address the diverse needs of students during the planning process, but is often ineffective; and/or the teacher attempts to develop lesson plans but lacks one or more of the four basic components.</td>
<td>The teacher consistently demonstrates a lack of planning or fails to properly address the curriculum in meeting the diverse needs of all learners.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONTEMPORARY EFFECTIVE TEACHER RESEARCH

Contemporary research has found that an effective teacher:
- Constructs a blueprint of how to address the curriculum during the instructional time.9
- Facilitates planning units in advance to make intra- and interdisciplinary connections.10
- Plans for the context of the lesson to help students relate, organize, and make knowledge become a part of their long-term memory.11
- Identifies instructional objectives and activities12 to promote students’ cognitive and developmental growth.13
- Uses knowledge of available resources to determine what resources s/he needs to acquire or develop.14
Ratings are made at the performance standard level, NOT the performance indicator level.

**PERFORMANCE STANDARD 4: INSTRUCTIONAL DELIVERY AND ENGAGEMENT**

The teacher promotes learning by demonstrating accurate content knowledge and by addressing academic needs through a variety of appropriate instructional strategies and technologies that engage learners.

**SAMPLE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS** of teacher work may include, but are not limited to:

- Engages students in individual work, cooperative learning, and whole-group activities
- Remains current in content/subject area and professional practices
- Delivers instruction in a culturally, linguistically, and gender-sensitive manner
- Establishes positive and timely interactions that are focused upon learning
- Paces instruction according to appropriate curriculum and needs of students
- Adjusts instruction to meet students’ needs
- Integrates available technology in the classroom, as appropriate (Florida Statute §1012.34(3)(a)4
- Connects students’ prior knowledge, life experiences, and interests, as appropriate, to learning goals

**PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL RUBRIC**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exemplary</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Developing/Needs Improvement</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The professional’s work is exceptional, in addition to meeting the standard ...</td>
<td>The teacher promotes learning by demonstrating accurate content knowledge and by addressing academic needs through a variety of appropriate instructional strategies and technologies that engage learners.</td>
<td>The teacher attempts to use instructional strategies or technology to engage students, but is often ineffective or needs additional content knowledge.</td>
<td>The teacher lacks content knowledge or fails consistently to implement instructional strategies to academically engage learners.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONTEMPORARY EFFECTIVE TEACHER RESEARCH**

Contemporary research has found that an effective teacher:

- Stays involved with the lesson at all stages.15
- Uses a variety of instructional strategies.16
- Uses research-based strategies to make instruction student-centered.17
- Involves students in cooperative learning to enhance higher-order thinking skills.18
- Uses students’ prior knowledge to facilitate student learning.19
- Differentiates for students’ needs using remediation, skills-based instruction, and individualized instruction.20
- Uses multiple levels of questioning aligned with students’ cognitive abilities with appropriate techniques.21
PERFORMANCE STANDARD 5: ASSESSMENT
The teacher gathers, analyzes, and uses data (including FCAT state assessment data, as applicable) to measure learner progress, guide instruction, and provide timely feedback.

SAMPLE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS of teacher work may include, but are not limited to:
- Uses assessment data, including those from state and local assessments, to design instruction that meets students’ current needs and documents students’ learning progress
- Uses a variety of formal and informal assessment strategies to guide and adjust instruction for remediation as well as enrichment
- Measures and documents learner progress of prior achievement compared to the current achievement with informal and formal state and local assessments, as applicable
- Provides ongoing, timely, and specific feedback
- Helps students assess, monitor, and reflect on their work
- Collects and maintains a record of sufficient assessment data to support accurate reporting of student progress
- Maintains official records (e.g., grade book, work folders) of student learning

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL RUBRIC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exemplary</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Developing/Needs Improvement</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The professional’s work is exceptional, in addition to meeting the standard ...</td>
<td>The teacher gathers, analyzes, and uses data (including FCAT state assessment data, if applicable) to measure learner progress, guide instruction, and provide timely feedback.</td>
<td>The teacher attempts to use a selection of assessment strategies to link assessment to learning outcomes, or uses assessment to plan/modify instruction, but is often ineffective.</td>
<td>The teacher consistently fails to use baseline data to make instructional decisions and/or fails to provide feedback on learner progress in a timely manner.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONTEMPORARY EFFECTIVE TEACHER RESEARCH
Contemporary research has found that an effective teacher:
- Offers regular, timely, and specific feedback and reinforcement.
- Gives homework (home learning assignments) and offers feedback on the homework (home learning assignments).
- Uses open-ended performance assignments.
- Analyzes student assessments to determine the degree to which the intended learning outcomes align with the test items and student understanding of objectives.
- Interprets information from teacher-made tests and standardized assessments to guide instruction and gauge student progress by examining questions missed to determine if the student has trouble with the content or the test structure.
**Performance Standard 6: Communication**

The teacher communicates effectively with students, their parents or families, staff, and other members of the learning community.

**Sample Performance Indicators** of teacher work may include, but are not limited to:

- Explains directions, concepts, and lesson content to students in a logical, sequential, and age-appropriate manner
- Communicates with and challenges students in a positive and supportive manner
- Encourages students’ desire to receive and accept constructive feedback on individual work and behavior
- Communicates with colleagues from other fields/content areas in the integration of instruction and/or services
- Collaborates with stakeholders when appropriate; such as with students, colleagues, administrators, other school personnel, community members, and families
- Uses technology (e.g., e-mail) to support and enhance communication as appropriate
- Supports, promotes, and communicates the mission, vision, and goals of the school and M-DCPS
- Maintains “positive collaborative relationships with students’ families to increase student achievement.” Florida Statute §1012.34(3)(a)6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exemplary</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Developing/Needs Improvement</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The professional’s work is exceptional, in addition to meeting the standard ...</td>
<td>The teacher communicates effectively with students, their parents or families, staff, and other members of the learning community.</td>
<td>The teacher often communicates with students, staff, and other members of the learning community in an inconsistent or ineffective manner.</td>
<td>The teacher consistently fails to communicate effectively with students, staff, and other members of the learning community.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Contemporary Effective Teacher Research**

Contemporary research has found that an effective teacher:

- Possesses strong communication skills, offering clear explanations and directions.
- Recognizes the levels of involvement, ranging from networking to collaboration.
- Uses multiple forms of communication between school and home.
Ratings are made at the performance standard level, NOT the performance indicator level.

**PERFORMANCE STANDARD 7: PROFESSIONALISM**

The teacher demonstrates behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and engages in continuous professional growth.

**SAMPLE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS** of teacher work may include, but are not limited to:
- Follows all applicable legal and procedural requirements (Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA), Code of Ethics, State Statutes and Board Rules, etc.)
- Demonstrates knowledge of the School Improvement Plan
- Engages in ongoing professional development
- Provides evidence of professional growth experiences
- Contributes professionally to the school community
- Participates in professional activities
- Maintains accurate records (e.g., attendance records, IEPs)
- Reflects on professional practices

**PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL RUBRIC**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exemplary</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Developing/Needs Improvement</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The professional’s work is exceptional, in addition to meeting the standard …</td>
<td>The teacher demonstrates behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and engages in continuous professional growth.</td>
<td>The teacher often does not display professional judgment or only occasionally participates in professional growth.</td>
<td>The teacher fails to adhere to legal, ethical, or professional standards, including all requirements for professional growth.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONTEMPORARY EFFECTIVE TEACHER RESEARCH**

*Contemporary research has found that an effective teacher:*
- Links professional growth goals to professional development opportunities.32
- Is empowered to make changes to enhance learning experiences, resulting in better student retention, attendance, and academic success.33
- Selects professional development offerings that relate to the content area or population of students taught, resulting in higher levels of student academic success.34
- Is cognizant of the legal issues associated with educational records and respects and maintains confidentiality.35
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**Performance Standard 8: Learning Environment**

The teacher creates and maintains a safe learning environment while encouraging fairness, respect, and enthusiasm.

**Sample Performance Indicators** of teacher work may include, but are not limited to:
- Establishes and maintains effective classroom rules and procedures
- Maintains appropriate discipline and a safe physical setting
- Models caring, fairness, equity, courtesy, respect, active listening, and enthusiasm for learning
- Promotes respectful interactions that challenge and engage students within the learning environment
- Creates an environment that is appropriate, stimulating, and academically challenging
- Cultivates and promotes a climate of trust and teamwork
- Encourages student participation, inquiry, and intellectual risk-taking
- Respects and promotes the appreciation of diversity

**Performance Appraisal Rubric**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exemplary</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Developing/Needs Improvement</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The professional’s work is exceptional, in addition to meeting the standard ...</td>
<td>The teacher creates and maintains a safe learning environment while encouraging fairness, respect, and enthusiasm.</td>
<td>The teacher attempts to address student behavior and needs required for a safe, positive, social, and academic environment, but is often ineffective.</td>
<td>The teacher consistently addresses student behavior in an ineffective manner and/or fails to maintain a safe, equitable learning environment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Contemporary Effective Teacher Research**

Contemporary research has found that an effective teacher:
- Is adept at organizing and maintaining an effective classroom environment.  
- Has a sense of “with-it-ness,” being aware of when routines need to be altered or an intervention may be necessary to prevent behavior problems.
- Fosters relationships where respect and learning are central so students feel safe in taking risks that are associated with learning; believes in the students.
- Is culturally competent and attuned to students’ interests, both in and out of school.
- Establishes good discipline, effective routines, smooth transitions, and ownership of the environment as components of establishing a supportive and collaborative climate.
PART III
EVALUATION FORMS

Part III contains copies of forms used during the evaluation cycle for teachers. The assessor and the professional use the forms to provide evidence of the quality of work performed. The assessor maintains the forms and provides copies to the professional. The assessor retains originals of the completed goal setting form, documentation cover sheets, observation form(s), and summative form at the school/worksite. Appendix E is a table providing a quick reference between the required form and section of the handbook with a description for its use.

Table 10: Items Used as Evidence of Quality Work Performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Form</th>
<th>Documentation Completed by</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assessor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal Setting for Learner/Program Progress Form</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation of Standards Form</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documentation Cover Sheet and Artifacts (attachments)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summative Performance Evaluation-Teacher</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement Plan (if applicable)</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Ongoing Goal Setting Dialogue Directions

---

### Action | Response

**Setting the Goal**

Professionals complete sections I through V and submit the form to their assessors by the last date of 1st interim progress reporting period or within 25 calendar days of assignment.

Assessors review the goal using the SMART criteria (see page 19). Goals meeting the SMART criteria are approved. The assessor and professional sign the form. Assessor retains the original form and a copy is given to the professional.

**Mid-year Review**

The assessor determines the forum for the mid-year review and notifies the professional.

The professional must review progress made toward the goal with formal/informal data and/or a summary.

The assessor indicates in the box on the goal setting form in section VI if data were received. During the mid-year review, progress toward the goal and possible strategy adjustments are discussed.

Upon completion of the mid-year review meeting, the professional and assessor sign the original goal setting form. The assessor attaches the documentation provided by the professional to the original goal setting form. A copy of the form is given to the professional.

**End-of-Year Goal Attainment/Progress**

The assessor notifies the professional of when the summative performance evaluation meeting will be held.

The professional completes a summary of the data on the Documentation Cover Sheet. Accompanying data and other evidence of goal progress may be included in the summary and/or attached to the Documentation Cover Sheet.

The documentation is submitted to the assessor 35 calendar days prior to the last day of the school year for professionals.

The assessor reviews the end-of-year data and goal attainment/progress toward the goal on the goal setting form. The assessor indicates in the boxes on the goal setting form in Section VII if formal data were received, if there was progress towards the goal, and if the goal was attained. During the summative performance evaluation meeting, the assessor and professional discuss goal progress and sign the original goal setting form. A copy of the form is given to the professional.

### Form Completion

I. **Setting**: Describe the population and special learning circumstances.

II. **Content/Subject/Field Area**: Describe the area/topic addressed based on learner achievement/program progress, data analysis, or observational data.

III. **Baseline data**: Describe what the current data show(s).
   A. Review data sources, or if necessary, administer assessment to collect data
   B. Analyze the data
   C. Interpret the data
   D. Determine needs
Examples of Data Sources for Learner Achievement

Criterion- and Norm-Referenced Tests

FAIR (Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading), for grades K – 12
District Interim Assessments in Reading, Mathematics, and Science for grades 3-11

Other Possible External Measures

Textbook publisher-developed assessments
District or school-developed assessments
FAAR (Florida Alternative Assessment Report)
Fitnessgram Tests
District-administered criterion- and norm-referenced tests
Learner performance rubrics
  • District, state, and national subject area competitions
  • Choir and band, regional and district competitions
  • Art competitions
  • Science fair
Evidence of success with student outcome measures (e.g., college admittance rates, student
scholarship acquisition, dual enrollment credits acquired)
Student-related outcome measures (e.g., student attendance reports, student behavior records)
Program-related outcome measures (e.g., summer outreach, participation rate in industry-related
student internships)
Individualized Education Plan (IEP) data

IV. Goal statement: Describe what you want learners/programs to accomplish.
   A. Select an emphasis for your goal focusing on content area and the learners’ levels.
   B. Develop annual goal using SMART criterion.

V. Means for attaining the goal: Describe activities to accomplish the goal.
   
   Mark boxes on the form to indicate to which items the strategies apply (i.e., Sunshine State
   Standards, technology, assessment, learning environment/climate, school safety, family
   involvement, literacy, professional development activity).

Examples of Strategies for Improvement

♦ Modified teaching/work arrangement
♦ Cooperative planning with master teachers, team members, department members
♦ Demonstration lessons/service delivery by colleagues, curriculum specialists, teacher mentors
♦ Visits to other classrooms
♦ Use of available technology
♦ Use of instructional strategies (e.g., CRISS, differentiated instruction, interactive planning)
♦ Focused classroom observation
♦ Development of curricular supplements
♦ Completion of professional development workshops, conferences, coursework
♦ Co-leading; collaborative teaching

The assessor reviews sections I through V of the goal setting form.

Both the assessor and professional sign the form next to “Initial Goal.”
VI. **Mid-year review:** Accomplishments after the second student interim progress reporting period and before the end of the second grading period are summarized. If needed, adjustments to the professional development strategies, etc. are also noted. Professionals may decide how best to present their progress. Common ways include, but are not limited to:

- a reflective paragraph
- data presentation in a graph or table with accompanying explanation
- bulleted list
- work samples

The assessor indicates, in the box provided, if data were received.

**Both the assessor and professional sign the form next to “Mid Year.”**

VII. **End-of-year data results:** Accomplishments at the end of the year are submitted on the Documentation Cover Sheet. The assessor reviews the submission and indicates goal attainment/progress on the Goal Setting for Learner/Program Progress Form and makes appropriate notes on the Documentation Cover Sheet.

The assessor determines goal attainment/progress.

**Both the assessor and professional sign the form next to “End of Year.”**

The Goal Setting for Learner/Program Progress Form follows.
## INSTRUCTIONAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AND GROWTH SYSTEM
### GOAL SETTING FOR LEARNER/PROGRAM PROGRESS FORM

**Professional’s Name _______________________________  Employee # _____________________
Worksite ____________________ Job Title  ____________ School Year _________ - __________

This form is a tool to assist professionals in setting a goal that results in measurable learner/program progress.

**Direction:**

**Professionals** – When applicable, learner achievement/progress should be the focus of the goal. Enter information electronically into the cells for sections I-V and save your document.

**Assessors** – Review sections I-V using the SMART criteria. Maintain original forms and provide copies to the professionals. The highlighted cells contain boxes for assessors to check, if applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I. Setting: Describe the population and special learning circumstances.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>II. Content/Subject/Field Area: Describe the area/topic addressed based on learner achievement, data analysis, or observational data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. Baseline Data: Describe what the current data show(s). □ Data attached (Optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. Goal Statement: Describe what you want learners/program to accomplish.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| V. Means for Attaining Goal: Describe strategies to accomplish the goal. **Strategies relate to the following (check all that apply):**
- Sunshine State Standards
- Technology
- Assessment
- Literacy
- Learning Environment/Climate
- School Safety
- Family Involvement
- Professional Development Activity* |

*One strategy must address a professional development activity that supports the goal.
*To count as a professional development activity, master plan points/credit should be offered to the professional.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Measurable By</th>
<th>Target Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VI. Mid-Year Review: □ Data Received (Optional)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**The professional should bring a description of goal progress and strategy adjustments, if any to the mid-year review. The assessor attaches the documentation to the original goal setting form.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VII. End-of-Year Data Results:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**The professional should submit a summary on the Documentation Cover Sheet-Teacher.**

Progress Toward Goal: yes □ no □ Goal Attainment: yes □ no □

The initial goal should be submitted by the date of the first interim progress report or within 25 calendar days of assignment.

**Signatures**

**Initial Goal:** Assessor __________ Date ________ Professional __________ Date ________

**Mid Year:** Assessor __________ Date ________ Professional __________ Date ________

**End of Year:** Assessor __________ Date ________ Professional __________ Date ________
INSTRUCTIONAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AND GROWTH SYSTEM
OBSERVATION OF STANDARDS FORM-TEACHER

Teacher: _____________________________ Employee No. ____________
School/worksite: ___________________________

Contract Status: □ Annual 1 □ Annual 2 □ Annual 3 □ Annual ___ □ Professional Service □ Continuing
Observation: □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 □ _____ Interim Teacher Incumbent Yes □ No □

Grade/Subject Observed: ___________________ Date: ____________
Time: From ______ To ______

Assessors use this form to document the required annual formal observation of the teacher. The form may also be used to document a targeted performance standard, in which case “NA” is noted for the other standards. Evidence may be positive and/or negative examples. (For further explanation in completion of this form, refer to the IPEGS Teacher Handbook).

PERFORMANCE STANDARD 1: LEARNER PROGRESS
The work of the teacher results in acceptable and measurable learner progress based on state/local standards, district goals, school goals and/or the teacher’s goals.

PERFORMANCE STANDARD 2: KNOWLEDGE OF LEARNERS
The teacher identifies and addresses the needs of learners by demonstrating respect for individual differences, cultures, backgrounds, and learning styles.

PERFORMANCE STANDARD 3: INSTRUCTIONAL PLANNING
The teacher uses appropriate curricula (including state reading requirements, if applicable), instructional strategies, and resources to develop lesson plans that include goals and/or objectives, learning activities, assessment of student learning, and home learning in order to address the diverse needs of students.

PERFORMANCE STANDARD 4: INSTRUCTIONAL DELIVERY AND ENGAGEMENT
The teacher promotes learning by demonstrating accurate content knowledge and by addressing academic needs through a variety of appropriate instructional strategies and technologies that engage learners.

PERFORMANCE STANDARD 5: ASSESSMENT
The teacher gathers, analyzes, and uses data (including FCAT state assessment data, as applicable) to measure learner progress, guide instruction, and provide timely feedback.

PERFORMANCE STANDARD 6: COMMUNICATION
The teacher communicates effectively with students, their parents or families, staff, and other members of the learning community.

PERFORMANCE STANDARD 7: PROFESSIONALISM
The teacher demonstrates behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and engages in continuous professional growth.

PERFORMANCE STANDARD 8: LEARNING ENVIRONMENT
The teacher creates and maintains a safe learning environment while encouraging fairness, respect, and enthusiasm.

Professional Support and Assistance Required (If additional conversations are needed, document as appropriate.)

[ ] Conversation Date: ____________ Professional Support and Assistance Status: □ Completed □ Continued

[ ] Conversation Date: ____________ Professional Support and Assistance Status: □ Completed □ Continued

[ ] Conversation Date: ____________ Professional Support and Assistance Status: □ Completed □ Continued

Comments/Specific Suggestions

If performance is unsatisfactory complete this section by marking the appropriate boxes.

Deficiencies noted in the following performance standard(s): 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
Assessor Action: Support Dialogue □ Improvement Plan □

Signatures acknowledge the occurrence of the post-observation meeting and receipt of a copy of the observation form by the professional.

Assessor’s Signature ___________________________ Date ___________________________
Teacher’s Signature ___________________________ Date ___________________________
REQUIRED DOCUMENTATION DESCRIPTION

What is “Required Documentation”?
Required documentation:
♦ is a packet of evidence stapled to the Documentation Cover Sheet in the upper-left-hand corner and submitted to assessor 35 calendar days prior to the last day of the school year for professionals.
♦ should be available as reference at the summative performance evaluation meeting.
♦ is one component of a multi-source evaluation and complements the observation components of IPEGs.
♦ is limited to the required documentation listed on the cover sheet.
♦ is a work in progress; it is to be continually developed throughout the evaluation period.
♦ should be user-friendly (neat, organized).
♦ is returned to the professional after reviewed by your assessor.
♦ belongs to the employee (even if the employee changes schools or leaves the school district).

For how long is documentation kept?
For the current evaluation year.

What items are required for the summative performance evaluation meeting?
The cover sheet and items listed in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Standard</th>
<th>Required Item at the Summative Evaluation Meeting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Learner Progress  | ♦ Goal Setting for Learner/Program Progress Update  
♦ A summary of the goal progress is written on the cover sheet.  
♦ Accompanying data and other evidence of goal progress may be included in the summary and/or attached to the Documentation Cover Sheet. |
| 2. Knowledge of Learners | No item is required as knowledge of learners is observed during the classroom observation. |
| 3. Instructional Planning | None. Lesson plans are available before, during and after the formal observation. |
| 4. Instructional Delivery and Engagement | None, as instructional materials are observed during a formal observation. |
| 5. Assessment | None. See appropriate evidence of assessment data (e.g., state and local assessments, student work folder, electronic data, IEP). |
| 6. Communication | Communication – sample form provided (e.g., teachers may print records or provide their own documentation). |
| 7. Professionalism | Professional Development/Professional Growth Experiences – sample form provided (e.g., Center for Professional Learning record of inservice, professional development, workshop certificates, college transcripts, conferences, National Board Certification) from the current evaluation period. |
| 8. Learning Environment | None, as the learning environment is observed during the classroom observation. |
Directions: Professionals will place required items in sequential order behind this cover sheet and staple in the upper left hand corner. Submit the packet to your assessor 35 calendar days prior to the last day of the school year for professionals. Assessors will review the submission and make evaluative notes in the appropriate section of this cover sheet.

Check if submitted

**Required Item**

- Goal Setting for Learner/Program Progress
  - Summarize the End-of-Year Data Results here, for the completion of Section VII of the goal setting form. Accompanying data and other evidence of goal progress may be included in the summary and/or attached.

- Data Attached (Optional)

**Assessor Evaluative Notes**

Professional exempt from goal setting due to:
- date hired
- approved leave
- assignment change/transferred

**Communication**

Provide evidence of how the professional communicates with stakeholders (e.g., families, staff, faculty, and students).

**Assessor Evaluative Notes**

**Professional Development/Professional Growth Experiences**

Provide evidence of the successful completion of professional development that result in the accumulation of Master Plan Points during the evaluation year. Additionally, professionals may provide evidence of other professional growth experiences.

**Assessor Evaluative Notes**

Reviewed by:

- Assessor’s Signature: __________________________ Date: ____________

*Professionals hired in second grading period, after the second student interim progress reporting period are exempt from goal setting for the current year.

†Professionals whose job assignment changes and/or who are transferred to a new position in the second grading period, after the second student interim progress reporting period, are exempt from goal setting for the current year.
Sample Communication Log

Professional’s Name__________________________________________ School Year ____________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Person</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mtg./Conf.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note/Letter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mtg./Conf.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note/Letter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mtg./Conf.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note/Letter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mtg./Conf.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note/Letter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mtg./Conf.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note/Letter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mtg./Conf.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note/Letter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mtg./Conf.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note/Letter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mtg./Conf.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note/Letter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mtg./Conf.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note/Letter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mtg./Conf.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note/Letter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mtg./Conf.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note/Letter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mtg./Conf.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note/Letter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mtg./Conf.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note/Letter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mtg./Conf.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note/Letter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mtg./Conf.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note/Letter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mtg./Conf.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note/Letter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mtg./Conf.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note/Letter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Documentation should be maintained by the professional of communication with stakeholders (e.g., families, staff, faculty, students).
### Sample Professional Development/Professional Growth Experiences Log

**Professional’s Name_____________________________**  **School Year________________**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professional Development Activity or Professional Growth Experience</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Evidence of Satisfactory Completion Received</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade/MPP’s Certificate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA (professional growth)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Documentation should be maintained by the professional.*
INSTRUCTIONAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AND GROWTH SYSTEM
SUMMATIVE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION-TEACHER

Teacher ___________________________ Employee Number __________________________

School/Worksite ________________________ School Year __________ Current Assignment ___________________

Area(s) of Certification __________________________ Date(s) of Observation ___________________

Contract Status: □ Annual 1 □ Annual 2 □ Annual 3 □ Annual _____ □ Professional Service □ Continuing Contract

Documentation Reviewed: □ Required Documentation □ Goal Setting □ Observation □ Other __________________________

Directions
Assessors use this form at the end of the school year to provide the teacher with an assessment of performance. The actual performance standard appears in bold on the rubric. The assessor and the professional initials each page of this form. The teacher receives a copy of the form. A comment must be provided for any rating below proficient. The signed form is submitted to the district office as indicated by the district calendar/procedures.

PERFORMANCE STANDARD 1: LEARNER PROGRESS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exemplary</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Developing/Needs Improvement</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The work of the teacher consistently results in a high level of student achievement and/or progress.</td>
<td>The work of the teacher results in acceptable and measurable learner progress based on state/local standards, district goals, school goals and/or the teacher’s goals.</td>
<td>The work of the teacher results in some student progress, but more progress is often needed to meet state/local standards, district goals, school goals and/or the teacher’s goals.</td>
<td>The work of the teacher consistently fails to result in acceptable student progress.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments

PERFORMANCE STANDARD 2: KNOWLEDGE OF LEARNERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exemplary</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Developing/Needs Improvement</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The teacher consistently meets the individual and diverse needs of learners in a highly effective manner.</td>
<td>The teacher identifies and addresses the needs of learners by demonstrating respect for individual differences, cultures, backgrounds, and learning styles.</td>
<td>The teacher attempts, but is often ineffective in demonstrating knowledge and understanding of the needs of the target learning community.</td>
<td>The teacher consistently demonstrates a lack of awareness of the needs of the target learning community or fails consistently to make appropriate accommodations to meet those needs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments

Assessor Initials: __________________________
Professional Initials: __________________________
**PERFORMANCE STANDARD 3: INSTRUCTIONAL PLANNING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exemplary</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Developing/Needs Improvement</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The teacher consistently creates, evaluates and modifies, as appropriate, instructional strategies during the planning process.</td>
<td>The teacher uses appropriate curricula (including state reading requirements, if applicable), instructional strategies, and resources to develop lesson plans that include goals and/or objectives, learning activities, assessment of student learning, and home learning in order to address the diverse needs of students.</td>
<td>The teacher attempts to use appropriate curricula, instructional strategies, and/or resources to address the diverse needs of students during the planning process, but is often ineffective; and/or the teacher attempts to develop lesson plans but lacks one or more of the four basic components.</td>
<td>The teacher consistently demonstrates a lack of planning or fails to properly address the curriculum in meeting the diverse needs of all learners.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments

**PERFORMANCE STANDARD 4: INSTRUCTIONAL DELIVERY AND ENGAGEMENT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exemplary</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Developing/Needs Improvement</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The teacher consistently optimizes learning by engaging all groups of students in higher-order thinking and by effectively implementing a variety of appropriate instructional strategies and technologies.</td>
<td>The teacher promotes learning by demonstrating accurate content knowledge and by addressing academic needs through a variety of appropriate instructional strategies and technologies that engage learners.</td>
<td>The teacher attempts to use instructional strategies or technology to engage students, but is often ineffective or needs additional content knowledge.</td>
<td>The teacher lacks content knowledge or fails consistently to implement instructional strategies to academically engage learners.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments
### PERFORMANCE STANDARD 5: ASSESSMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exemplary</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Developing/Needs Improvement</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The teacher consistently demonstrates expertise in using a variety of formal and informal assessments based on intended learning outcomes to assess learning. Also teaches learners how to monitor and reflect on their own academic progress.</td>
<td>The teacher gathers, analyzes, and uses data (including FCAT state assessment data, as applicable) to measure learner progress, guide instruction, and provide timely feedback.</td>
<td>The teacher attempts to use a selection of assessment strategies to link assessment to learning outcomes, or uses assessment to plan/modify instruction, but is often ineffective.</td>
<td>The teacher consistently fails to use baseline data to make instructional decisions and/or fails to provide feedback on learner progress in a timely manner.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments**

### PERFORMANCE STANDARD 6: COMMUNICATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exemplary</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Developing/Needs Improvement</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The teacher consistently uses a variety of communication techniques to inform, collaborate with, and/or respond to students and other stakeholders in a highly effective manner.</td>
<td>The teacher communicates effectively with students, their parents or families, staff, and other members of the learning community.</td>
<td>The teacher often communicates with students, staff, and other members of the learning community in an inconsistent or ineffective manner.</td>
<td>The teacher consistently fails to communicate effectively with students, staff and other members of the learning community.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments**

Assessor Initials: ____________  Professional Initials: ____________
### PERFORMANCE STANDARD 7: PROFESSIONALISM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exemplary</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Developing/Needs Improvement</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The teacher consistently demonstrates a high level of professionalism, contributes to the professional growth of others, and/or assumes a leadership role within the learning community.</td>
<td>The teacher demonstrates behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and engages in continuous professional growth.</td>
<td>The teacher often fails to display professional judgment or only occasionally participates in professional growth.</td>
<td>The teacher fails to adhere to legal, ethical, or professional standards, including all requirements for professional growth.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

- [ ]
- [ ]
- [ ]
- [ ]

### PERFORMANCE STANDARD 8: LEARNING ENVIRONMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exemplary</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Developing/Needs Improvement</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The teacher consistently provides a well-managed, stimulating, student-centered environment that is academically challenging and respectful.</td>
<td>The teacher creates and maintains a safe learning environment while encouraging fairness, respect, and enthusiasm.</td>
<td>The teacher attempts to address student behavior and needs required for a safe, positive, social, and academic environment, but is often ineffective.</td>
<td>The teacher consistently addresses student behavior in an ineffective manner and/or fails to maintain a safe, equitable learning environment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

- [ ]
- [ ]
- [ ]
- [ ]

---

**Signatures of Record**

Assessor’s Signature /Date
Signature denotes assessor conducting the summative evaluation meeting.

Professional’s Signature/Date
Signature denotes the meeting occurred.

☐ Written Response by Professional attached, if applicable. Date: __________

**Recommendation by the Site Administrator**

☐ Recommended for continued employment
☐ Not recommended for continued employment

Principal/Site Administrator’s Signature/Date
Signature denotes final determination of the ratings and recommendation for continued employment.

Pursuant to Florida Statute §1012.31 (3) (a) 2: An employee evaluation “shall be confidential … until the end of the school year immediately following the school year in which the evaluation was made.”
Instructional Performance Evaluation and Growth System (IPEGS)
Support Dialogue (SD) Meeting Notification Form

Professional's Name: _______________________________________ Professional's Employee Number: ________________________
Assessor's Name: _________________________________________ Assessor's Title/Position: ______________________________
School/Work Location Name: _________________________________ School/Work Location Number: ________________________

As a result of the observation conducted on (day, date), an IPEGS Support Dialogue meeting has been scheduled to discuss supportive actions that should assist you in instructional performance improvement. You may bring union representation and/or a mutually agreed upon peer support professional to the meeting. The location, date and time of your Support Dialogue meeting are as follows:

Location: ________________________________________________
Date: ___________________________________________________
Time: ____________________________________________________

My signature indicates that I have received a two day (48 hours) notice of a Support Dialogue meeting and I am aware that I am entitled to have union representation and/or a peer support professional, who is mutually agreed upon by the assessor and me, at this meeting.

Professional's Signature: _________________________________ Date: __________________________
(Your signature confirms receipt of the SD notification)
INSTRUCTIONAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION and GROWTH SYSTEM
IPEGS

IMPROVEMENT PLAN (IP)

Professional: ______________________________________________________________ Employee Number: ______________  Date:_________
Work Location Name and Number: ____________________________________________ Contract Status: AC___ PSC ___ CC___ Other_______
Grade Observed: ___________________________ Subject Observed: ___________________________
Date of Observation(s): ___________________________ Observation Number: 1 * 2 3 4 5
Deficient Performance Standard(s): 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Date of Post-Observation Meeting(s):____________________
Assessor: ___________________________________________ Title: ___________________________________________
Site Administrator: ___________________________ Title: ___________________________

IP Review:
☐ Activities completed by due date
☐ Activities not completed by due date
☐ Other ___________________________
IP Review Date: ___________________________

It is recommended that:
☐ The professional is no longer on an IP. The performance deficiencies have been corrected.
☐ The professional is issued a revised/new IP. The performance deficiencies were not corrected.

*Indicates Support Dialogue was completed.
INSTRUCTIONAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION and GROWTH SYSTEM (IPEGS) IMPROVEMENT PLAN (IP)

Professional ___________________________ Employee # ___________________________ Date _______________

Provide the performance standard that is the focus of the IP (Only one performance standard per form):

___________________________________________________________________________________________________________________

Deficiency(s) Observed:


Resource(s):


Activity(s)/Responsible Party(s):


Date Due:

Professional’s Signature: ___________________________________________ Date: __________________

Site Administrator’s Signature: ______________________________________ Date: __________________

*Professional’s signature signifies receipt and does not necessarily indicate agreement with its contents.
Appendix A

From the Florida Statute §1012.34 (3)(d) Assessment procedures and criteria

(1) For the purpose of improving the quality of instructional, administrative, and supervisory services in the public schools of the state, the district school superintendent shall establish procedures for assessing the performance of duties and responsibilities of all instructional, administrative, and supervisory personnel employed by the school district. The Department of Education must approve each district's instructional personnel assessment system.

(2) The following conditions must be considered in the design of the district's instructional personnel assessment system:

(a) The system must be designed to support district and school level improvement plans.

(b) The system must provide appropriate instruments, procedures, and criteria for continuous quality improvement of the professional skills of instructional personnel.

(c) The system must include a mechanism to give parents an opportunity to provide input into employee performance assessments when appropriate.

(d) In addition to addressing generic teaching competencies, districts must determine those teaching fields for which special procedures and criteria will be developed.

(e) Each district school board may establish a peer assistance process. The plan may provide a mechanism for assistance of persons who are placed on performance probation as well as offer assistance to other employees who request it.

(f) The district school board shall provide training programs that are based upon guidelines provided by the Department of Education to ensure that all individuals with evaluation responsibilities understand the proper use of the assessment criteria and procedures.

(3) The assessment procedure for instructional personnel and school administrators must be primarily based on the performance of students assigned to their classrooms or schools, as appropriate. Pursuant to this section, a school district's performance assessment is not limited to basing unsatisfactory performance of instructional personnel and school administrators upon student performance, but may include other criteria approved to assess instructional personnel and school administrators' performance, or any combination of student performance and other approved criteria. The procedures must comply with, but are not limited to, the following requirements:

(a) An assessment must be conducted for each employee at least once a year. The assessment must be based upon sound educational principles and contemporary research in effective educational practices. The assessment must primarily use data and indicators of improvement in student performance assessed annually as specified in s. 1008.22 and may consider results of peer reviews in evaluating the employee's performance. Student performance must be measured by state assessments required under s. 1008.22 and by local assessments for subjects and grade levels not measured by the state assessment program. The assessment criteria must include, but are not limited to, indicators that relate to the following:
1. Performance of students.

2. Ability to maintain appropriate discipline.

3. Knowledge of subject matter. The district school board shall make special provisions for evaluating teachers who are assigned to teach out-of-field.

4. Ability to plan and deliver instruction and the use of technology in the classroom.

5. Ability to evaluate instructional needs.

6. Ability to establish and maintain a positive collaborative relationship with students' families to increase student achievement.

7. Other professional competencies, responsibilities, and requirements as established by rules of the State Board of Education and policies of the district school board.

(b) All personnel must be fully informed of the criteria and procedures associated with the assessment process before the assessment takes place.

(c) The individual responsible for supervising the employee must assess the employee's performance. The evaluator must submit a written report of the assessment to the district school superintendent for the purpose of reviewing the employee's contract. The evaluator must submit the written report to the employee no later than 10 days after the assessment takes place. The evaluator must discuss the written report of assessment with the employee. The employee shall have the right to initiate a written response to the assessment, and the response shall become a permanent attachment to his or her personnel file.

(d) If an employee is not performing his or her duties in a satisfactory manner, the evaluator shall notify the employee in writing of such determination. The notice must describe such unsatisfactory performance and include notice of the following procedural requirements:

1. Upon delivery of a notice of unsatisfactory performance, the evaluator must confer with the employee, make recommendations with respect to specific areas of unsatisfactory performance, and provide assistance in helping to correct deficiencies within a prescribed period of time.

2. a. If the employee holds a professional service contract as provided in s. 1012.33, the employee shall be placed on performance probation and governed by the provisions of this section for 90 calendar days following the receipt of the notice of unsatisfactory performance to demonstrate corrective action. School holidays and school vacation periods are not counted when calculating the 90-calendar-day period. During the 90 calendar days, the employee who holds a professional service contract must be evaluated periodically and appraised of progress achieved and must be provided assistance and inservice training opportunities to help correct the noted performance deficiencies. At any time during the 90 calendar days, the employee who holds a professional service contract may request a transfer to another appropriate position with a different supervising administrator; however, a transfer does not extend the period for correcting performance deficiencies.
b. Within 14 days after the close of the 90 calendar days, the evaluator must assess whether the performance deficiencies have been corrected and forward a recommendation to the district school superintendent. Within 14 days after receiving the evaluator's recommendation, the district school superintendent must notify the employee who holds a professional service contract in writing whether the performance deficiencies have been satisfactorily corrected and whether the district school superintendent will recommend that the district school board continue or terminate his or her employment contract. If the employee wishes to contest the district school superintendent's recommendation, the employee must, within 15 days after receipt of the district school superintendent's recommendation, submit a written request for a hearing. The hearing shall be conducted at the district school board's election in accordance with one of the following procedures:

(I) A direct hearing conducted by the district school board within 60 days after receipt of the written appeal. The hearing shall be conducted in accordance with the provisions of ss. 120.569 and 120.57. A majority vote of the membership of the district school board shall be required to sustain the district school superintendent's recommendation. The determination of the district school board shall be final as to the sufficiency or insufficiency of the grounds for termination of employment; or

(II) A hearing conducted by an administrative law judge assigned by the Division of Administrative Hearings of the Department of Management Services. The hearing shall be conducted within 60 days after receipt of the written appeal in accordance with chapter 120. The recommendation of the administrative law judge shall be made to the district school board. A majority vote of the membership of the district school board shall be required to sustain or change the administrative law judge's recommendation. The determination of the district school board shall be final as to the sufficiency or insufficiency of the grounds for termination of employment.

(4) The district school superintendent shall notify the department of any instructional personnel who receive two consecutive unsatisfactory evaluations and who have been given written notice by the district that their employment is being terminated or is not being renewed or that the district school board intends to terminate, or not renew, their employment. The department shall conduct an investigation to determine whether action shall be taken against the certificate holder pursuant to s. 1012.795(1)(b).

(5) The district school superintendent shall develop a mechanism for evaluating the effective use of assessment criteria and evaluation procedures by administrators who are assigned responsibility for evaluating the performance of instructional personnel. The use of the assessment and evaluation procedures shall be considered as part of the annual assessment of the administrator's performance. The system must include a mechanism to give parents and teachers an opportunity to provide input into the administrator's performance assessment, when appropriate.

(6) Nothing in this section shall be construed to grant a probationary employee a right to continued employment beyond the term of his or her contract.
(7) The district school board shall establish a procedure annually reviewing instructional personnel assessment systems to determine compliance with this section. All substantial revisions to an approved system must be reviewed and approved by the district school board before being used to assess instructional personnel. Upon request by a school district, the department shall provide assistance in developing, improving, or reviewing an assessment system.

(8) The State Board of Education shall adopt rules pursuant to ss. 120.536(1) and 120.54, that establish uniform guidelines for the submission, review, and approval of district procedures for the annual assessment of instructional personnel and that include criteria for evaluating professional performance.
Appendix B

Parental Input
Parental input is gathered through the use of the School Climate Survey, the Educational Excellence School Advisory Council (EESAC) participation and the Open House Parent Academy Survey in schools, as applicable. Professionals must submit evidence of communication with parents as reflected on their communication log and on occasion specific parental input may be appropriate. The communication log data is compiled in the format preferred by the professional to document contact with parents/guardians. For evaluation consideration, professionals may include parental feedback to demonstrate positive collaborative relationships with students’ families to increase student achievement, reflect on their performance, and/or show support of quality work.

Climate Survey Information
M-DCPS uses three climate surveys to solicit feedback from learners, parents, and staff. All three surveys request demographic information. Respondents read a phrase and indicate their level of agreement (i.e., strongly agree, agree, undecided/unknown, disagree, strongly disagree). The last question on each form asks the respondent to give the school a letter grade (i.e., A, B, C, D, F) for the overall quality of the school. School Climate Survey – Parent Form has 35 items. Below are sample questions from the parent survey (the actual item number from the sample survey precedes each statement):

My child’s school…
1. …is safe and secure.
4. …maintains high academic standards.

My child’s teachers…
9. …are friendly and easy to work with.
13. …are knowledgeable and understand their subject matter.
15. …do their best to include me in matters directly affecting my child’s progress in school.

A PDF sample M-DCPS School Climate Survey Parent-Form is available at ipegs.dadeschools.net

Participation by the Public Parental Involvement Board Rule 6Gx13-1B.012
A Home School-District Partnership: Excerpts
The School Board of Miami-Dade County recognizes that strong continuing family and community involvement in all aspects of school programs and activities provides support for measurable improvement in student achievement. This school board policy creates a collaborative environment in which the parents and families of our students are invited and encouraged to be involved stakeholders in the school community.

I. Parent Responsibilities
B. Parents as Advisors, Advocates and Participants in Decision Making
   • Parents must be elected to serve as active members of Education Excellence School Advisory Councils (EESAC) and other important decision-making bodies, where required by state and federal statutes.

II. School Level Strategies and Responsibilities
H. Education Excellence School Advisory Councils. With the support of the EESAC, principals will develop and support strategies that facilitate opportunities for all parents to be involved in at least one support activity during the course of the year.

The complete School Board Rule (6Gx13-1B.012) is available at dadeschools.net

Open House
Schools will conduct orientation meetings that provide information about school procedures and programs. Schools explain the rights of parents to be involved and provide parents opportunities for active participation. As a part of the School Operations Toolkit for Open House night, the Parent Academy Survey is disseminated to parents to access how schools can help parents. Below are sample inquiries from the parent survey:

• Information on how I can get involved on school or district advisory committees
• I want to meet with my child’s teacher, please contact me
• Other suggestions, comments or questions: __________________________________________

The complete Open House Parent Survey is available at ipegs.dadeschools.net
Appendix C

From the Florida Statute §1003.4156 General requirements for middle grades promotion

(1) Beginning with students entering grade 6 in the 2006-2007 school year, promotion from a school composed of middle grades 6, 7, and 8 requires that:

(a) The student must successfully complete academic courses as follows:

1. Three middle school or higher courses in English. These courses shall emphasize literature, composition, and technical text.

2. Three middle school or higher courses in mathematics. Each middle school must offer at least one high school level mathematics course for which students may earn high school credit.

3. Three middle school or higher courses in social studies, one semester of which must include the study of state and federal government and civics education.

4. Three middle school or higher courses in science.

5. One course in career and education planning to be completed in 7th or 8th grade. The course may be taught by any member of the instructional staff; must include career exploration using CHOICES for the 21st Century or a comparable cost-effective program; must include educational planning using the online student advising system known as Florida Academic Counseling and Tracking for Students at the Internet website FACTS.org; and shall result in the completion of a personalized academic and career plan.

Each school must hold a parent meeting either in the evening or on a weekend to inform parents about the course curriculum and activities. Each student shall complete an electronic personal education plan that must be signed by the student; the student's instructor, guidance counselor, or academic advisor; and the student's parent. By January 1, 2007, the Department of Education shall develop course frameworks and professional development materials for the career exploration and education planning course. The course may be implemented as a stand-alone course or integrated into another course or courses. The Commissioner of Education shall collect longitudinal high school course enrollment data by student ethnicity in order to analyze course-taking patterns.

(b) For each year in which a student scores at Level 1 on FCAT Reading, the student must be enrolled in and complete an intensive reading course the following year. Placement of Level 2 readers in either an intensive reading course or a content area course in which reading strategies are delivered shall be determined by diagnosis of reading needs. The department shall provide guidance on appropriate strategies for diagnosing and meeting the varying instructional needs of students reading below grade level. Reading courses shall be designed and offered pursuant to the comprehensive reading plan required by s. 1011.62(8).

(c) For each year in which a student scores at Level 1 or Level 2 on FCAT Mathematics, the student must receive remediation the following year, which may be integrated into the student's required mathematics course.

(2) Students in grade 6, grade 7, or grade 8 who are not enrolled in schools with a middle grades configuration are subject to the promotion requirements of this section.

(3) The State Board of Education may adopt rules pursuant to ss. 120.536(1) and 120.54 to implement the provisions of this section and may enforce the provisions of this section pursuant to s. 1008.32.
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Appendix D

From the Florida Statute §1008.22 Student assessment program for public schools

(1) PURPOSE.--The primary purposes of the student assessment program are to provide information needed to improve the public schools by enhancing the learning gains of all students and to inform parents of the educational progress of their public school children. The program must be designed to:

(a) Assess the annual learning gains of each student toward achieving the Sunshine State Standards appropriate for the student's grade level.

(b) Provide data for making decisions regarding school accountability and recognition.

(c) Identify the educational strengths and needs of students and the readiness of students to be promoted to the next grade level or to graduate from high school with a standard high school diploma.

(d) Assess how well educational goals and performance standards are met at the school, district, and state levels.

(e) Provide information to aid in the evaluation and development of educational programs and policies.

(f) Provide information on the performance of Florida students compared with others across the United States.

(2) NATIONAL EDUCATION COMPARISONS.--It is Florida's intent to participate in the measurement of national educational goals. The Commissioner of Education shall direct Florida school districts to participate in the administration of the National Assessment of Educational Progress, or a similar national assessment program, both for the national sample and for any state-by-state comparison programs which may be initiated. Such assessments must be conducted using the data collection procedures, the student surveys, the educator surveys, and other instruments included in the National Assessment of Educational Progress or similar program being administered in Florida. The results of these assessments shall be included in the annual report of the Commissioner of Education specified in this section. The administration of the National Assessment of Educational Progress or similar program shall be in addition to and separate from the administration of the statewide assessment program.

(3) STATEWIDE ASSESSMENT PROGRAM.--The commissioner shall design and implement a statewide program of educational assessment that provides information for the improvement of the operation and management of the public schools, including schools operating for the purpose of providing educational services to youth in Department of Juvenile Justice programs. The commissioner may enter into contracts for the continued administration of the assessment, testing, and evaluation programs authorized and funded by the Legislature. Contracts may be initiated in 1 fiscal year and continue into the next and may be paid from the appropriations of either or both fiscal years. The commissioner is authorized to negotiate for the sale or lease of tests, scoring protocols, test scoring services, and related materials developed pursuant to law. Pursuant to the statewide assessment program, the commissioner shall:
(a) Submit to the State Board of Education a list that specifies student skills and competencies to which the goals for education specified in the state plan apply, including, but not limited to, reading, writing, science, and mathematics. The skills and competencies must include problem-solving and higher-order skills as appropriate and shall be known as the Sunshine State Standards as defined in s. 1000.21. The commissioner shall select such skills and competencies after receiving recommendations from educators, citizens, and members of the business community. The commissioner shall submit to the State Board of Education revisions to the list of student skills and competencies in order to maintain continuous progress toward improvements in student proficiency.

(b) Develop and implement a uniform system of indicators to describe the performance of public school students and the characteristics of the public school districts and the public schools. These indicators must include, without limitation, information gathered by the comprehensive management information system created pursuant to s. 1008.385 and student achievement information obtained pursuant to this section.

(c) Develop and implement a student achievement testing program known as the Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) as part of the statewide assessment program, to be administered annually in grades 3 through 10 to measure reading, writing, science, and mathematics. Other content areas may be included as directed by the commissioner. The testing program must be designed so that:

1. The tests measure student skills and competencies adopted by the State Board of Education as specified in paragraph (a). The tests must measure and report student proficiency levels in reading, writing, mathematics, and science. The commissioner shall provide for the tests to be developed or obtained, as appropriate, through contracts and project agreements with private vendors, public vendors, public agencies, postsecondary educational institutions, or school districts. The commissioner shall obtain input with respect to the design and implementation of the testing program from state educators and the public.

2. The testing program will include a combination of norm-referenced and criterion-referenced tests and include, to the extent determined by the commissioner, questions that require the student to produce information or perform tasks in such a way that the skills and competencies he or she uses can be measured.

3. Each testing program, whether at the elementary, middle, or high school level, includes a test of writing in which students are required to produce writings that are then scored by appropriate methods.

4. A score is designated for each subject area tested, below which score a student's performance is deemed inadequate. The school districts shall provide appropriate remedial instruction to students who score below these levels.

5. Except as provided in s. 1003.43(11)(b), students must earn a passing score on the grade 10 assessment test described in this paragraph or on an alternate assessment as described in subsection (9) in reading, writing, and mathematics to qualify for a regular high school diploma. The State Board of Education shall designate a passing score for each part of the grade 10 assessment test. In establishing passing scores, the state board shall consider any possible negative impact of the test on minority students. All students who took the grade 10 FCAT during the 2000-2001 school year shall be required to earn the passing scores in reading and mathematics established by the State Board of Education for the March 2001 test administration.
Such students who did not earn the established passing scores and must repeat the grade 10 FCAT are required to earn the passing scores established for the March 2001 test administration. All students who take the grade 10 FCAT for the first time in March 2002 shall be required to earn the passing scores in reading and mathematics established by the State Board of Education for the March 2002 test administration. The State Board of Education shall adopt rules which specify the passing scores for the grade 10 FCAT. Any such rules, which have the effect of raising the required passing scores, shall only apply to students taking the grade 10 FCAT for the first time after such rules are adopted by the State Board of Education.

6. Participation in the testing program is mandatory for all students attending public school, including students served in Department of Juvenile Justice programs, except as otherwise prescribed by the commissioner. If a student does not participate in the statewide assessment, the district must notify the student's parent and provide the parent with information regarding the implications of such nonparticipation. If modifications are made in the student's instruction to provide accommodations that would not be permitted on the statewide assessment tests, the district must notify the student's parent of the implications of such instructional modifications. A parent must provide signed consent for a student to receive instructional modifications that would not be permitted on the statewide assessments and must acknowledge in writing that he or she understands the implications of such accommodations. The State Board of Education shall adopt rules, based upon recommendations of the commissioner, for the provision of test accommodations and modifications of procedures as necessary for students in exceptional education programs and for students who have limited English proficiency. Accommodations that negate the validity of a statewide assessment are not allowable.

7. A student seeking an adult high school diploma must meet the same testing requirements that a regular high school student must meet.

8. District school boards must provide instruction to prepare students to demonstrate proficiency in the skills and competencies necessary for successful grade-to-grade progression and high school graduation. If a student is provided with accommodations or modifications that are not allowable in the statewide assessment program, as described in the test manuals, the district must inform the parent in writing and must provide the parent with information regarding the impact on the student's ability to meet expected proficiency levels in reading, writing, and math. The commissioner shall conduct studies as necessary to verify that the required skills and competencies are part of the district instructional programs.

9. The Department of Education must develop, or select, and implement a common battery of assessment tools that will be used in all juvenile justice programs in the state. These tools must accurately measure the skills and competencies established in the Florida Sunshine State Standards.

The commissioner may design and implement student testing programs, for any grade level and subject area, necessary to effectively monitor educational achievement in the state.

(d) Conduct ongoing research to develop improved methods of assessing student performance, including, without limitation, the use of technology to administer tests, score, or report the results of, the use of electronic transfer of data, the development of work-product assessments, and the development of process assessments.
(e) Conduct ongoing research and analysis of student achievement data, including, without limitation, monitoring trends in student achievement, identifying school programs that are successful, and analyzing correlates of school achievement.

(f) Provide technical assistance to school districts in the implementation of state and district testing programs and the use of the data produced pursuant to such programs.

(4) DISTRICT TESTING PROGRAMS.--Each district school board shall periodically assess student performance and achievement within each school of the district. The assessment programs must be based upon local goals and objectives that are compatible with the state plan for education and that supplement the skills and competencies adopted by the State Board of Education. All school districts must participate in the statewide assessment program designed to measure annual student learning and school performance. All district school boards shall report assessment results as required by the state management information system.

(5) SCHOOL TESTING PROGRAMS.--Each public school shall participate in the statewide assessment program, unless specifically exempted by state board rule based on serving a specialized population for which standardized testing is not appropriate. Student performance data shall be analyzed and reported to parents, the community, and the state. Student performance data shall be used in developing objectives of the school improvement plan, evaluation of instructional personnel, evaluation of administrative personnel, assignment of staff, allocation of resources, acquisition of instructional materials and technology, performance-based budgeting, and promotion and assignment of students into educational programs. The analysis of student performance data also must identify strengths and needs in the educational program and trends over time. The analysis must be used in conjunction with the budgetary planning processes developed pursuant to s. 1008.385 and the development of the programs of remediation.

(6) REQUIRED ANALYSES.--The commissioner shall provide, at a minimum, for the following analyses of data produced by the student achievement testing program:

(a) The statistical system for the annual assessments shall use measures of student learning, such as the FCAT, to determine teacher, school, and school district statistical distributions, which shall be determined using available data from the FCAT, and other data collection as deemed appropriate by the Department of Education, to measure the differences in student prior year achievement compared to the current year achievement for the purposes of accountability and recognition.

(b) The statistical system shall provide the best estimates of teacher, school, and school district effects on student progress. The approach used by the department shall be approved by the commissioner before implementation.

(c) The annual testing program shall be administered to provide for valid statewide comparisons of learning gains to be made for purposes of accountability and recognition. The commissioner shall establish a schedule for the administration of the statewide assessments. In establishing such schedule, the commissioner is charged with the duty to accomplish the latest possible administration of the statewide assessments and the earliest possible provision of the results to the school districts feasible within available technology and specific appropriation. District school boards shall not establish school calendars that jeopardize or limit the valid testing and comparison of student learning gains.
(7) LOCAL ASSESSMENTS.--Measurement of the learning gains of students in all subjects and grade levels other than subjects and grade levels required for the state student achievement testing program is the responsibility of the school districts.

(8) APPLICABILITY OF TESTING STANDARDS.--A student must meet the testing requirements for high school graduation that were in effect at the time the student entered 9th grade, provided the student's enrollment was continuous.

(9) EQUIVALENCIES FOR STANDARDIZED TESTS.--

(a) The Commissioner of Education shall approve the use of the SAT and ACT tests as alternative assessments to the grade 10 FCAT for the 2003-2004 school year. Students who attain scores on the SAT or ACT which equate to the passing scores on the grade 10 FCAT for purposes of high school graduation shall satisfy the assessment requirement for a standard high school diploma as provided in s. 1003.429(6)(a) or s. 1003.43(5)(a) for the 2003-2004 school year if the students meet the requirement in paragraph (b).

(b) A student shall be required to take the grade 10 FCAT a total of three times without earning a passing score in order to use the scores on an alternative assessment pursuant to paragraph (a). This requirement shall not apply to a student who is a new student to the public school system in grade 12.

(10) RULES.--The State Board of Education shall adopt rules pursuant to ss. 120.536(1) and 120.54 to implement the provisions of this section.

### Appendix E

**IPEGS QUICK REFERENCE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IPEGS Documentation Source</th>
<th>Page Number</th>
<th>Person Responsible for Maintaining Documentation Once It Is Completed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Explanation</td>
<td>Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal Setting</strong></td>
<td>17-20; 49-51</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Goal Development and Submission</em> (Sections I-V)</td>
<td>19-20; 49-50</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Develops the goal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Signs the goal setting form</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Submits the goal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reviews the goal using SMART criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Approves the goal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Signs the goal setting form</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mid-Year Review</strong> (Section VI)</td>
<td>20; 49; 51</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Summarizes goal progress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Participates in the mid-year review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Signs that the mid-year review occurred</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Determines the forum for the mid-year review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Indicates if summary/data was received and attaches submission to the form</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Signs that the mid-year review occurred</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>End-Of-Year Data Results</strong> (Section VII)</td>
<td>20; 49; 51</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Assesses goal progress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Submits assessment on the Documentation Cover Sheet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Signs that the end-of-year review of data occurred</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Determines the degree of goal attainment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• <strong>Reviews and completes Goal Setting for Learner/Program Progress Form</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Makes notes on the Documentation Cover Sheet</td>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Signs that the end-of-year review of data occurred</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The assessor is responsible for making copies.

** Assessor is the term being used for the administrator who is responsible for facilitating the IPEGS process
## IPEGS QUICK REFERENCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IPEGS Documentation Source</th>
<th>Page Number</th>
<th>Person Responsible for Maintaining Documentation Once It Is Completed</th>
<th>Copy*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Observation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Is observed</td>
<td>20-22</td>
<td>Assessor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Participates in a post-meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td>Professional</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Signs <em>Observation of Standards Form</em> (OSF) to acknowledge that a post-observation meeting occurred</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Professional</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Observes the professional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Notes evidence related to performance standards on the OSF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Schedules &amp; conducts the post-observation meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Indicates deficiencies, <em>if any</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Signs the OSF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Required Documentation – Cover Sheet</strong></td>
<td>22-23; 54</td>
<td>Assessor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Collects the documentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Completes the <em>Documentation Cover Sheet</em></td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Professional</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Submits the documentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reviews the documentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Completes the <em>Documentation Cover Sheet</em></td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Professional</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provides feedback to professional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Summative Evaluation Meeting</strong></td>
<td>27-30</td>
<td>Assessor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Participates in meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Signs <em>Summative Performance Evaluation</em> form</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Evaluates the professional and provides input regarding the ratings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Schedules and conducts the meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Signs the <em>Summative Performance Evaluation</em> form</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Administrator</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Determines the final ratings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Makes recommendation for continued employment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Signs the <em>Summative Performance Evaluation</em> form</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Submits form to district office</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The assessor is responsible for making copies.*
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