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On the cover of the IMPACT guidebook are the six core beliefs of DCPS. They are:

- All children, regardless of background or circumstance, can achieve at the highest levels.
- Achievement is a function of effort, not innate ability.
- We have the power and responsibility to close the achievement gap.
- Our schools must be caring and supportive environments.
- It is critical to engage our students’ families and communities as valued partners.
- Our decisions at all levels must be guided by robust data.

These core beliefs are the foundation of our work as a school system. They speak to the incredibly powerful idea that, despite the challenges that many of our students face, we have the ability to make a dramatic, positive impact on their lives. Our hope is that this effectiveness assessment system will help us increase that impact and, in doing so, broaden the life opportunities of the children of the District of Columbia.
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IMPACT: THE DCPS EFFECTIVENESS ASSESSMENT SYSTEM FOR SCHOOL-BASED PERSONNEL
Dear DCPS Community,

Throughout my thirteen years working with DCPS, I have been continually humbled and inspired by our students’ talents, resilience, and potential. And I know that you, the educators in our schools, are the key to unleashing their brilliance and opening a world of possibilities for them.

Because so much depends on our ability to serve our students with excellence, we introduced the Teaching and Learning Framework and IMPACT in 2009 to focus us all on what it would take to make DCPS the highest performing district in the nation.

This year, we are working towards the same high expectations — but we are also committed to providing educators with better support. We are excited about the new curricular materials that we will put in teachers’ hands as we begin to implement the rigorous Common Core State Standards. Teachers will also receive more intensive classroom guidance from instructional coaches, and we will launch an extensive library of professionally-produced lesson videos — filmed in DCPS classrooms — that will show great teachers in action. We have worked hard to provide other school-based staff members with high-quality professional development, and we will continue our efforts to make this support even better.

To learn more about these and other ways we will support you, please see the Supporting Your Success section of this guidebook.

As educators, we have the responsibility to put our students on a path to success now and later in life. Let this year be a chance to embrace it with renewed energy, focus, and optimism.

Sincerely,

Kaya Henderson
Chancellor, District of Columbia Public Schools
How does IMPACT support my growth?

The primary purpose of IMPACT is to help you become more effective in your work. Our commitment to continuous learning applies not only to our students, but to you as well. IMPACT supports your growth by:

- **Clarifying Expectations** — IMPACT outlines clear performance expectations for all school-based employees. We have worked to ensure that the performance metrics and supporting rubrics are clear and aligned to your specific responsibilities.

- **Providing Feedback** — Quality feedback is a key element of the improvement process. This is why, during each assessment cycle, you will have a conference to discuss your strengths as well as your growth areas. You can also view written comments about your performance by logging into your IMPACT account at http://impactdcps.dc.gov.

- **Facilitating Collaboration** — By providing a common language to discuss performance, IMPACT helps support the collaborative process. This is essential, as we know that communication and teamwork create the foundation for student success.

- **Driving Professional Development** — The information provided by IMPACT helps DCPS make strategic decisions about how to use our resources to best support you. We can also use this information to differentiate our support programs by cluster, school, grade, job type, or any other category.

- **Retaining Great People** — Having highly effective teachers and staff members in our schools helps everyone improve. By mentoring and by serving as informal role models, these individuals provide a concrete picture of excellence that motivates and inspires us all. IMPACT helps retain these individuals by providing significant recognition for outstanding performance.

IMPACT reflects our belief that everyone in our system plays a critical role in improving student outcomes. With an outstanding teacher in every classroom and excellent staff members throughout our schools, our students will graduate prepared for success in college, the workforce, and life.

For further information about job-specific resources and professional development designed to help you grow, see the Supporting Your Success section at the end of this guidebook.
GROUP 1: OVERVIEW

Who is in Group 1?
Group 1 consists of all general education teachers for whom we can generate individual “value-added” student achievement data. Value-added is a measure of the impact you have on your students’ learning over the course of the school year, as evidenced by the DC CAS. To generate value-added data, we need both “before” and “after” DC CAS scores for your students. In other words, we need scores from before they entered your class as well as scores from after they spent a year learning with you.

We have this “before” and “after” DC CAS data for reading and math teachers in grades four through eight.

Even though we administer the DC CAS in the third and tenth grades, we do not calculate value-added data for teachers of these grades. This is because we have no “before” data for their students, as we do not test at the end of second grade and do not yet use data from the new ninth grade test.

Will more teachers move to Group 1 as DCPS adds standardized tests for more subjects and grades?
Yes. Over the next few years, we will be implementing developmentally appropriate standardized assessments for students in kindergarten, first grade, and second grade. We will also be adding end-of-course exams for secondary English, math, science, and social studies. As these assessments are rolled out, more teachers will be moved into Group 1.

What are the IMPACT components for members of Group 1?
There are five IMPACT components for members of Group 1. Each is explained in greater detail in the following sections of this guidebook.

- **Individual Value-Added Student Achievement Data (IVA)** — This is a measure of the impact you have on your students’ learning over the course of the school year, as evidenced by the DC CAS. This component makes up 50% of your IMPACT score.

- **Teaching and Learning Framework (TLF)** — This is a measure of your instructional expertise. This component makes up 35% of your IMPACT score.

- **Commitment to the School Community (CSC)** — This is a measure of the extent to which you support and collaborate with your school community. This component makes up 10% of your IMPACT score.
School Value-Added Student Achievement Data (SVA) — This is a measure of the impact your school has on student learning over the course of the school year, as evidenced by the DC CAS. This component makes up 5% of your IMPACT score.

Core Professionalism (CP) — This is a measure of four basic professional requirements for all school-based personnel. This component is scored differently from the others, which is why it is not represented in the pie chart. For more information, please see the Core Professionalism section of this guidebook.

**In the event that School Value-Added Student Achievement Data (SVA) cannot be generated for your school, the Commitment to the School Community (CSC) component will expand to replace the SVA portion of the pie.**
INDIVIDUAL VALUE-ADDED STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA (IVA)

What is IVA?
Measuring a teacher’s impact on student learning can be challenging. After all, students start the year at different skill levels, and they all face different factors outside the classroom that affect how they learn. At its core, IVA is a way of dealing with these challenges. It helps us estimate the teacher’s impact on student learning as opposed to the impact of other factors, such as students’ prior skill level, the resources they have at home, or any learning disabilities they may have. In short, IVA helps us understand what the teacher did, apart from everything else.

How does it work?
First, we calculate how a teacher’s students are likely to perform, on average, on our standardized assessment (the DC CAS) given their previous year’s scores and other relevant information. We then compare that likely score with the students’ actual average score. Teachers with above-average IVA scores are those whose students’ actual performance exceeds their likely performance. This process is explained in further detail on the following pages.

Who calculates IVA scores?
DCPS has contracted with Mathematica Policy Research, a nationally respected research firm, to conduct research on value-added methods, provide technical assistance to DCPS, and derive value-added scores for teachers and schools based on specifications determined by DCPS. Mathematica’s clients have included the U.S. Department of Education and many other federal, state, and local agencies. In addition, two independent value-added experts reviewed the methodology used to evaluate DCPS teachers and schools: Eric Hanushek of the Hoover Institution at Stanford University and Tim Sass of Florida State University.

Why do we use the DC CAS for IVA?
The DC CAS is the only assessment used in DCPS that is: 1) aligned to the DC content standards; 2) administered securely; and 3) standardized, meaning it is the same for all students in a given grade level. Though these tests do not capture everything taught in DCPS schools, they are reliable and valid measures of students’ mastery of essential reading and mathematics skills.

Is IVA the same as Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?
No. AYP only measures the percentage of students who score Proficient or Advanced on the DC CAS at the end of the year. It doesn’t take into account where students start the year. It also doesn’t take into account external factors that may affect student learning. IVA, on the other hand, does.

Do school systems in other states use value-added measures?
Yes. Many school systems — including those in Chicago, Dallas, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, New York City, and Pittsburgh — either already use value-added measures or are developing them.

Which DCPS teachers have value-added data as part of their annual IMPACT evaluations?
To answer this question, it is important to note that DCPS calculates two kinds of value-added data: Individual Value-Added (IVA), which measures a teacher’s individual contribution to her or his students’ learning, and School Value-Added (SVA), which measures a school’s collective contribution to student learning. Because education is a team effort, almost all school-based staff — not just teachers — have SVA as a small portion of their annual IMPACT evaluation.
Individual Value-Added (IVA), on the other hand, only applies to teachers of reading and math in grades four through eight. IVA is restricted to these grades because the calculation of value-added scores for teachers requires student DC CAS scores from both the prior and current year, which is true only of the teachers in these grades. These teachers are known as “Group 1” in IMPACT.

**Will IVA expand to other teachers in the future?**

Yes. Over the next few years, we will be implementing developmentally appropriate standardized assessments for students in kindergarten, first grade, and second grade. We will also be adding end-of-course exams for secondary English, math, science and social studies. As these assessments are rolled out, more teachers will receive IVA scores.

**How is IVA calculated?**

Before proceeding, it might be helpful to say a few words about our state assessment, the DC CAS. Scores on the DC CAS are reported on a 100-point scale. For example, fifth graders receive a score from 500 to 599. Similarly, sixth graders receive a score from 600 to 699. It is important to note that the hundreds digit of these scores is for naming purposes only. That is, the “5” in a score of 574 tells us that this is a fifth grade score. There is no other meaning to the hundreds digit. The remaining two digits (in this example, 74) explain the student’s performance. They are what we use to calculate IVA.

One more preliminary note might be helpful. Most teachers are familiar with the proficiency levels on the DC CAS: Advanced, Proficient, Basic, and Below Basic. Proficiency levels are created from the scale scores. For example, in 2010, any fifth grade student who had a scale score from 56 to 72 was considered “Proficient.” Though the proficiency levels are well known to teachers, they are not used for the IVA calculation.

Rather, as noted above, we use the underlying scale scores. Doing so allows teachers to receive credit for their students’ progress whether or not their students move between proficiency levels from one year to the next.

The following five-step description provides an overview of how IVA scores are calculated.

**Step 1: Teachers confirm their student rosters.**

Each year, Group 1 teachers are invited to participate in a process called Roster Confirmation through which they indicate which subject(s) they taught, which students they taught within each subject, and what proportion of the time each student was actually instructed by the teacher compared to the total instructional time each student was assigned to that teacher.

The Roster Confirmation process allows teachers to note special circumstances that might not be apparent if we simply used the class rosters in our computer system to determine which students to include in a teacher’s IVA calculation. For example, it allows a teacher to note if a student transferred into or out of her or his class midway through the year. It also allows a teacher to note if a particular student is pulled out of class on a regular basis for special education services or other reasons. With this information, we are able to give teachers credit for the achievement of their students in proportion to the amount of time they spent instructing those students.

**Step 2: Based on specifications determined by DCPS, statisticians at Mathematica calculate the average likely DC CAS score for each teacher’s students.**

At the end of the year, after the DC CAS tests have been scored, statisticians at Mathematica calculate the average score that a teacher’s students were likely to have achieved.
by analyzing the performance of all students in DCPS. For example, if a student received a score of 20 on last year’s DC CAS, this student is likely to perform about as well as other students in the same grade who received a 20 last year. When determining a likely score for each student, Mathematica accounts not only for prior test scores, but also for her or his free and reduced-price lunch status, whether or not she or he receives special education services, whether she or he is classified as Limited English Proficient, and how frequently she or he was absent during the previous school year.

Figure 1 shows the average likely score for the students of a hypothetical reading teacher.

**Step 3: Statisticians calculate the average actual DC CAS score for each teacher’s students.**

This step is accomplished by averaging the actual scores of all of the students in a teacher’s class at the end of the year, with each student weighted according to the amount of instructional time spent in the teacher’s class. For example, a student who spent half the year with a teacher would have her or his test score weighted at half that of a full-time student.

In Figure 2 below, the actual class average for our hypothetical teacher is 65.
Step 4: Statisticians subtract the average likely score from the average actual score.

The difference between how students actually perform and how they were likely to perform is the teacher’s “value-added.” Figure 3 shows this comparison for our hypothetical teacher. The students in this class have an average actual score of 65, which exceeds the average likely score of 60 by 5 points. Thus, this teacher has an IVA score of +5 (65 – 60 = +5). In other words, having this particular teacher, as opposed to the average DCPS teacher, translates into five more DC CAS scale score points for the students in this class.

Step 5: Based on specifications determined by DCPS, statisticians convert the raw IVA score into an IMPACT score.

The raw IVA score (+5 in the example to the left) is then converted into an IMPACT score on the 1 to 4 scale we use for all the other IMPACT components. If the teacher is responsible for both reading and math instruction, the two IVA scores are averaged together.
Can a teacher calculate her or his students’ likely average DC CAS score?

No. Statisticians determine each student’s likely score at the end of the school year based on the DC CAS scores and on the background information of all DCPS students in grades four through eight. There is no formula for calculating the likely score available at the beginning or middle of the school year.

What factors are considered when calculating the likely average score?

Based on specifications determined by DCPS, statisticians at Mathematica consider each student’s:

- DC CAS score from the previous year in reading and math;
- Eligibility for free lunch;
- Eligibility for reduced-price lunch;
- Special education status;
- Limited English Proficiency status; and
- Attendance from the previous year.

Can a teacher calculate her or his students’ average actual DC CAS score?

No. Because each student contributes proportionally according to the amount of instructional time spent in a teacher’s class, one would need this information along with the test scores in order to calculate the average actual DC CAS score. For example, a student who spent half the year with a teacher would have her or his test score weighted at half that of a full-time student.

Can a teacher receive a high IVA score if her or his students start the year at a very low skill level?

Yes. IVA takes into account the starting skill level of the students in a teacher’s class(es). As Figures 4 and 5 demonstrate, two teachers can have the same IVA score even if their students start the year at different levels. The average likely DC CAS score of the students of the teacher represented by Figure 4 is 45, while the average likely score of the students of the teacher in Figure 5 is 60. Both teachers, however, would receive the same IVA score (+5).
Can a teacher receive a high IVA score if many of her or his students have IEPs or are classified as Limited English Proficient?

Yes. Statisticians account for these factors (and the others described above) when calculating the likely DC CAS score for a teacher’s students. What matters is the extent to which the students exceed their likely score.

Can a teacher receive a high IVA score if several students transferred into or out of her or his class in the middle of the year?

Yes. As noted above, the Roster Confirmation process allows each teacher to note when students transfer into or out of her or his class. Each student contributes proportionally according to the amount of instructional time spent in a teacher’s class.

Can a teacher receive a high IVA score if several students are pulled out for special education or other services during some part of the time they’re assigned to that teacher?

Yes. The Roster Confirmation process allows a teacher to note if a student is pulled out of class on a regular basis for special education services or for other reasons. These cases are taken into account when determining the proportion of time each student spent with a teacher and, thus, the weight of each student’s score.

Does a teacher need to have a minimum number of students to receive an IVA score?

Yes. DCPS requires that teachers have at least 15 students with DC CAS scores from the previous year and the current year in order to receive an IVA score. We require this minimum to help discount the effect of unexpected occurrences during the testing period. For example, a student might have a disruption at home the night before the test that affects her or his test score and thereby distorts her or his teacher’s IVA score. The effect of such an incident on a teacher’s IVA score is likely to be greatest for teachers with few students, so no teacher receives an IVA score who has fewer than 15 students.

If I have additional questions about IVA, whom should I contact?

Please contact the IMPACT team at 202-719-6553 or impactdcps@dc.gov.
TEACHING AND LEARNING FRAMEWORK (TLF)

What is the Teaching and Learning Framework?

The Teaching and Learning Framework is the school system’s definition of effective instruction. It outlines the key strategies that we believe lead to increased student achievement. As the graphic to the right illustrates, the Framework has three “domains,” or sections: Plan, Teach, and Increase Effectiveness.

Why do we need a Teaching and Learning Framework?

The Framework is essential to the work of increasing student achievement in two fundamental ways. First, it provides a common language for effective instruction, which enables us to align all of our professional development. Second, it provides clear expectations for teachers, thereby creating the foundation for a comprehensive assessment system like IMPACT.

Who initially developed the Teaching and Learning Framework?

Teachers, administrators, instructional staff from the DCPS central office, and many others participated in the development of the Framework during the 2008–2009 school year. As part of that process, we consulted numerous sources, including:

- California’s Standards for the Teaching Profession
- Carol Dweck’s Mindset
- Charlotte Danielson’s Framework for Teachers
- Colorado’s Performance Based Standards
- Connecticut’s Common Core of Teaching
- Doug Reeves’ Unwrapping the Standards
- Grant Wiggins & Jay McTighe’s Understanding by Design
- Insight Education Group’s Strategic Design for Student Achievement
- Martin Haberman’s Star Teacher
- Massachusetts’ Principles for Effective Teaching
- Mike Schmoker’s Results Now
- National Board’s Professional Teaching Standards
- New Teacher Center’s Developmental Continuum
- New York State’s Teacher Certification Framework
- North Star Academy’s Teacher Evaluation Rubric
- Research for Better Teaching’s Skillful Teacher
- Robert Marzano’s Classroom Instruction that Works
- Robert Pianta’s Classroom Assessment Scoring System
- Teach for America’s Teaching as Leadership
- Texas’ TxBess Framework
All children, regardless of background or circumstance, can achieve at the highest levels.

- Achievement is a function of effort, not innate ability.
- We have the power and responsibility to close the achievement gap.
- Our schools must be caring and supportive environments.
- It is critical to engage our students’ families and communities as valued partners.

**TEACH**

1. Lead well-organized, objective-driven lessons
2. Explain content clearly
3. Engage students at all learning levels in rigorous work
4. Provide students multiple ways to engage with content
5. Check for student understanding
6. Respond to student misunderstandings
7. Develop higher-level understanding through effective questioning
8. Maximize instructional time
9. Build a supportive, learning-focused classroom community

**PLAN**

- INSTRUCTION
  1. Develop annual student achievement goals
  2. Create standards-based unit plans and assessments
  3. Create objective-driven lesson plans
- LEARNING ENVIRONMENT
  4. Adopt a classroom behavior management system
  5. Develop classroom procedures and routines
  6. Organize classroom space and materials

**INCREASE EFFECTIVENESS**

1. Assess student progress
2. Track and analyze student progress data
3. Improve practice and re-teach in response to data
How will my proficiency in the Teaching and Learning Framework be assessed?

Your proficiency will be assessed through formal classroom observations according to the rubric at the conclusion of this section.

Will I be assessed on the entire Teaching and Learning Framework this year?

No. We are only assessing teachers on the Teach domain during the 2011–2012 school year.

How many formal observations will I have?

You will normally have five formal observations: three by an administrator (principal or assistant principal) and two by an impartial, third-party observer called a master educator. Some exceptions are described later in this guidebook in the Putting It All Together section.

How will teachers who have earned Highly Effective ratings two years in a row be assessed this year?

Teachers who have earned Highly Effective ratings during both of the last two school years will receive two observations by December 1 — one conducted by an administrator and one conducted by a master educator (see the next page for more information). If the average score from these two observations is 3.5 or higher (on the 1.0 to 4.0 scale), the teacher will have the opportunity to waive observations for the rest of the year. If the average score is below 3.5, the teacher will continue on the normal observation schedule.

Please note that teachers who are shared between two schools will receive an observation by each of their administrators by December 1. These scores will then be averaged together, along with the score from the first master educator observation, to determine whether shared teachers are eligible for a reduced number of observations this year.
What is a master educator?
A master educator is an expert practitioner in a particular content area who will serve as an impartial observer of your practice. The master educators are not school-based. Instead, they travel from school to school to conduct their observations. Though we make a concerted effort to ensure that the master educators who observe you have expertise in your particular subject area, please understand that a perfect pairing cannot always be achieved.

Where did the idea for the master educators come from?
The master educator role was born out of the focus groups we held with DCPS teachers during the 2008–2009 school year when we first designed IMPACT. In over 50 focus groups, DCPS teachers consistently said they wanted an objective, expert teacher, who was familiar with their content area, to be a part of the assessment process.

When will my formal observations occur?
Over the course of the year, your administrator (principal or assistant principal) will conduct three formal observations and a master educator will conduct two. The first administrator observation will occur between September 12 and December 1, the second between December 1 and March 1, and the third between March 1 and June 1. The first master educator observation will occur between September 12 and February 1. The second will occur between February 1 and June 1.

Will the formal observations be announced or unannounced?
The first administrator observation will be announced. All other observations will be unannounced.

How long will the formal observations last?
Each formal observation will be at least 30 minutes.

Can I provide my master educator with additional information about my class?
Yes. There may be contextual information that you wish to share with your master educator. For example, you may mention a particular student’s IEP, provide clarification on the curricular model you are using, or share other information about your class, students, or lesson that would allow your master educator to give you more helpful comments and suggestions.

To provide this additional information, visit http://impactdcpss.dc.gov. You may submit it at any time, but we encourage you to do so no later than 24 hours following your observation, so that your master educator has an opportunity to review it prior to writing your observation report and meeting with you during the post-observation conference.
**Will there be a conference after the formal observations?**

Yes. Within 15 calendar days following the observation, the observer (administrator or master educator) will meet with you to share her/his ratings, provide feedback, and discuss next steps for professional growth.

Please note that your final post-observation conferences (Cycle 2 for master educator observations and Cycle 3 for administrator observations) must be completed by June 14.

**Will I receive written feedback based on my formal observations?**

Yes. You will receive written comments through a web-based portal. You can log into your account by going to http://impactdcps.dc.gov.

**How will my formal observations be scored?**

For each formal observation, you will receive a 4 (highest) to 1 (lowest) rating for each standard of the Teach domain of the Teaching and Learning Framework. Your standard scores will then be averaged together to form an overall score of 4.0 (highest) to 1.0 (lowest) for the observation. At the end of the year, your five observation scores will be averaged together to calculate an overall score of 4.0 (highest) to 1.0 (lowest) for this component of your IMPACT assessment. See the sample score chart to the right.

**Will I have any informal observations?**

Administrators are encouraged to conduct informal observations to help provide you with ongoing support and guidance. You should also feel free to invite an instructional coach or your colleagues to conduct informal observations in an effort to help you improve your practice.

**If I have additional questions about the Teaching and Learning Framework, whom should I contact?**

Please contact the IMPACT team at 202-719-6553 or impactdcps@dc.gov.
### Sample Score Chart

**Teaching and Learning Framework (TLF)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teaching and Learning Framework (TLF)</th>
<th>Admin Cycle Ends 12/1</th>
<th>Admin Cycle Ends 3/1</th>
<th>Admin Cycle Ends 6/1</th>
<th>ME Cycle Ends 2/1</th>
<th>ME Cycle Ends 6/1</th>
<th>Overall (Average of Cycles)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TLF Score (Average of Teach 1 to Teach 9)</strong></td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teach 1: Lead Well-Organized, Objective-Driven Lessons</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teach 2: Explain Content Clearly</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teach 3: Engage Students at All Learning Levels</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teach 4: Provide Students Multiple Ways to Engage</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teach 5: Check for Student Understanding</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teach 6: Respond to Student Misunderstandings</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teach 7: Develop Higher-Level Understanding</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teach 8: Maximize Instructional Time</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teach 9: Build a Supportive, Learning-Focused Classroom</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TEACHING AND LEARNING FRAMEWORK (TLF) RUBRIC: PLAN

**NOTE:** In 2011–2012, only the Teach domain of the Teaching and Learning Framework will be assessed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>TLF P1: DEVELOP ANNUAL STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT GOALS</strong></th>
<th><strong>LEVEL 4 (HIGHEST)</strong></th>
<th><strong>LEVEL 3</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TLF P1A</strong> Teacher develops an <strong>ambitious and measurable</strong> annual student achievement goal for her/his class that is <strong>aligned</strong> to the DCPS content standards.</td>
<td>Teacher develops a <strong>measurable</strong> annual student achievement goal for her/his class that is <strong>aligned</strong> to the DCPS content standards.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TLF P1B</strong> <strong>All or nearly all</strong> students can communicate (in a developmentally appropriate manner) the goal and how it will be assessed.</td>
<td><strong>Most</strong> students can communicate (in a developmentally appropriate manner) the goal and how it will be assessed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>TLF P2: CREATE STANDARDS-BASED UNIT PLANS AND ASSESSMENTS</strong></th>
<th><strong>LEVEL 4 (HIGHEST)</strong></th>
<th><strong>LEVEL 3</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TLF P2A</strong> Based on the annual student achievement goal, the teacher plans units by: 1) <strong>identifying</strong> the DCPS content standards that her/his students will master in each unit; 2) <strong>articulating</strong> well-designed essential questions for each unit; 3) <strong>creating</strong> well-designed assessments before each unit begins (“beginning with the end in mind”); and 4) <strong>allocating</strong> an instructionally appropriate amount of time for each unit.</td>
<td>Based on the annual student achievement goal, the teacher plans units by: 1) <strong>identifying</strong> the DCPS content standards that her/his students will master in each unit; 2) <strong>articulating</strong> well-designed essential questions for each unit; and 3) <strong>creating</strong> well-designed assessments before each unit begins (“beginning with the end in mind”).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TLF P2B</strong> For any given unit, <strong>all or nearly all</strong> students can communicate (in a developmentally appropriate manner) the essential question(s) of the unit.</td>
<td>For any given unit, <strong>most</strong> students can communicate (in a developmentally appropriate manner) the essential question(s) of the unit.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>TLF P3: CREATE OBJECTIVE-DRIVEN LESSON PLANS</strong></th>
<th><strong>LEVEL 4 (HIGHEST)</strong></th>
<th><strong>LEVEL 3</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TLF P3</strong> Based on the unit plan, the teacher plans daily lessons by: 1) <strong>identifying</strong> lesson objectives that are aligned to the DCPS content standards and connected to prior learning; 2) <strong>matching</strong> instructional strategies to the lesson objectives; and 3) <strong>designing</strong> daily assessments that measure progress towards mastery.</td>
<td>Based on the unit plan, the teacher plans daily lessons by: 1) <strong>identifying</strong> lesson objectives that are aligned to the DCPS content standards and connected to prior learning; and 2) <strong>matching</strong> instructional strategies to the lesson objectives.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEVEL 2</td>
<td>LEVEL 1 (LOWEST)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher develops a <strong>measurable</strong> annual student achievement goal for her/his class.</td>
<td>Teacher develops a <strong>general</strong> annual student achievement goal for her/his class <strong>OR does not develop</strong> a goal at all.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Half</strong> of the students can communicate (in a developmentally appropriate manner) the goal and how it will be assessed.</td>
<td><strong>Less than half</strong> of the students can communicate (in a developmentally appropriate manner) the goal and how it will be assessed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Based on the annual student achievement goal, the teacher plans units by: 1) <strong>identifying</strong> the DCPS content standards that her/his students will master in each unit; and 2) <strong>articulating</strong> well-designed essential questions for each unit.</td>
<td>Teacher <strong>does not plan units by identifying</strong> the DCPS content standards that her/his students will master in each unit <strong>OR does not articulate</strong> well-designed essential questions for each unit.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For any given unit, <strong>half</strong> of the students can communicate (in a developmentally appropriate manner) the essential question(s) of the unit.</td>
<td>For any given unit, <strong>less than half</strong> of the students can communicate (in a developmentally appropriate manner) the essential question(s) of the unit.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Based on the long-term plan, the teacher plans daily lessons by <strong>identifying</strong> lesson objectives that are aligned to the DCPS content standards.</td>
<td>Teacher has <strong>little or no evidence</strong> of daily lesson planning based on the DCPS content standards.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Teaching and Learning Framework (TLF) Rubric: Teach

**NOTE:** In 2011–2012, only the Teach domain of the Teaching and Learning Framework will be assessed.

### Level 4 (Highest)

**TEACH 1: LEAD WELL-ORGANIZED, OBJECTIVE-DRIVEN LESSONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teacher is <strong>highly effective</strong> at leading well-organized, objective-driven lessons.</th>
<th>Teacher is <strong>effective</strong> at leading well-organized, objective-driven lessons.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For Level 4, nearly all of the evidence listed under Level 3 is present, as well as some of the following:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Students can authentically explain <em>what</em> they are learning, beyond simply repeating back the stated or posted objective.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Students can authentically explain <em>why</em> they are learning is important, beyond simply repeating the teacher’s explanation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Students understand how the objective fits into the broader unit and course goals. For example, this might be shown through an effective teacher explanation of how the lesson connects to the unit’s essential questions or structure, or reflected in students demonstrating through their comments that they understand how the lesson fits into the broader goals of the unit.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The teacher actively and effectively engages students in the process of connecting the lesson to their prior knowledge. For example, the teacher might ask students to connect concepts to their own experiences or to what they have learned in other courses.</td>
<td>The following best describes what is observed:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The lesson objective is specific, measurable, and aligned to standards; it conveys what students are learning and what they will be able to do by the end of the lesson.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The objective of the lesson is clear to students. For example, the teacher might clearly state and explain the objective, or students might demonstrate through their actions that they understand what they will be learning and doing.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The teacher ensures that students understand the importance of the objective. For example, the teacher might effectively explain its importance, or students might demonstrate through their comments that they understand the importance of what they are learning.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The lesson builds on students’ prior knowledge in a significant and meaningful way, as appropriate to the objective.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The lesson is well-organized: All parts of the lesson are connected to each other and aligned to the objective, and each part significantly moves students toward mastery of the objective.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes:

1. One way in which an observer could effectively gather information to score this standard is through brief conversations with students (when appropriate).

2. In all classes, objectives should be written in a student-friendly manner, using developmentally appropriate language. In early childhood classes, posting a written objective is not necessary.

3. In rare cases, it is not appropriate to state an objective for a lesson (for example, this might be true in an inquiry-based lesson or in an early childhood class that uses a Montessori or Reggio Emilia model). In these cases, an observer should assess the teacher based on whether the students are engaged in work that moves them toward mastery of an objective, even if this is not stated to students.
### LEVEL 2

Teacher is **minimally effective** at leading well-organized, objective-driven lessons.

*The following best describes what is observed:*

- The lesson objective may be missing one component (for example, it might not be specific, or it might not be aligned to standards), but it does convey what students are learning and what they will be able to do by the end of the lesson.
- The teacher may state the objective of the lesson but may do so in a way that does not effectively lead to student understanding. For example, the objective might not be in developmentally appropriate language.
- The teacher may explain the importance of the objective but may do so in a way that does not effectively lead to student understanding. For example, the explanation might be too general to be effective.
- The teacher may state how the lesson connects to students’ prior knowledge, but the lesson generally does not build on students’ prior knowledge in a significant and meaningful way. For example, the teacher might simply make a reference to what students were doing in the previous lesson.
- Some parts of the lesson may not be closely connected to each other or aligned to the objective, or some parts may not significantly move students toward mastery of the objective.

### LEVEL 1 (LOWEST)

Teacher is **ineffective** at leading well-organized, objective-driven lessons.

*The following best describes what is observed:*

- The lesson objective may be missing more than one component, the objective may not convey what students are learning or what they will be able to do by the end of the lesson, there may not be a clear objective to the lesson, or the objective stated or posted may not connect to the lesson taught.
- The teacher may not state the objective, or students may be unclear or confused about what they will be learning and doing.
- The teacher may not explain the importance of the objective, or students may not understand its importance.
- The teacher may make no effort to have the lesson build on or connect to students’ prior knowledge, or the teacher may make an effort that is ineffective.
- The lesson may be generally disorganized. Different parts of the lesson may have no connection to each other, students may be confused about what to do, most parts of the lesson may not be aligned to the objective, or most parts of the lesson may not significantly move students toward mastery of the objective.

---

4. In some lessons (for example, center time in an early childhood or elementary class), different groups of students might be working toward a variety of different objectives. In these cases, it is not always necessary to have distinct objectives posted for each center or different activity. However, observers should assess whether each center or activity is designed intentionally to move students toward mastery of an objective. Similarly, in lessons like these, different groups of students might be working on a variety of activities that do not clearly build on each other or on what happened previously in the lesson. In these cases, observers should assess the extent to which these activities are themselves well-organized.

5. For some parts of a lesson (for example, a morning meeting in an early childhood class or a skill-building warm-up), it may be appropriate for a teacher not to have a distinct objective or to have an objective that does not align with the objective for the rest of the lesson. In these cases, an observer should assess this standard for the remainder of the lesson. Furthermore, an observer in these situations should not lower the teacher’s score for lesson organization, but instead should assess the connection of the other parts of the lesson to each other.
TEACHING AND LEARNING FRAMEWORK (TLF) RUBRIC: TEACH

NOTE: In 2011–2012, only the Teach domain of the Teaching and Learning Framework will be assessed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVEL 4 (HIGHEST)</th>
<th>LEVEL 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TEACH 2: EXPLAIN CONTENT CLEARLY</strong></td>
<td><strong>LEVEL 3</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher is highly effective at explaining content clearly.</td>
<td>Teacher is effective at explaining content clearly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Level 4, nearly all of the evidence listed under Level 3 is present, as well as some of the following:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Explanations are concise, fully explaining concepts in as direct and efficient a manner as possible.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The teacher effectively makes connections with other content areas, students’ experiences and interests, or current events in order to make the content relevant and build student understanding and interest.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- When appropriate, the teacher explains concepts in a way that actively involves students in the learning process, such as by facilitating opportunities for students to explain concepts to each other.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Explanations provoke student interest in and excitement about the content.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Students ask higher-order questions and make connections independently, demonstrating that they understand the content at a higher level.</td>
<td>The following best describes what is observed:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Explanations of content are clear and coherent, and they build student understanding of content.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The teacher uses developmentally appropriate language and explanations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The teacher gives clear, precise definitions and uses specific academic language as appropriate.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The teacher emphasizes key points when necessary.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- When an explanation is not effectively leading students to understand the content, the teacher adjusts quickly and uses an alternative way to effectively explain the concept.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Students ask relatively few clarifying questions because they understand the explanations. However, they may ask a number of extension questions because they are engaged in the content and eager to learn more about it.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**

1. If the teacher presents information with any mistake that would leave students with a significant misunderstanding at the end of the lesson, the teacher should be scored a Level 1 for this standard.
### LEVEL 2

**Teacher is minimally effective at explaining content clearly.**

*The following best describes what is observed:*

- Explanations are generally clear and coherent, with a few exceptions, but they may not be entirely effective in building student understanding of content.
- Some language and explanations may not be developmentally appropriate.
- The teacher may sometimes give definitions that are not completely clear or precise, or sometimes may not use academic language when it is appropriate to do so.
- The teacher may only sometimes emphasize key points when necessary, so that students are sometimes unclear about the main ideas of the content.
- When an explanation is not effectively leading students to understand the concept, the teacher may sometimes move on or re-explain in the same way rather than provide an effective alternative explanation.
- Students may ask some clarifying questions showing that they are confused by the explanations.

### LEVEL 1 (LOWEST)

**Teacher is ineffective at explaining content clearly.**

*The following best describes what is observed:*

- Explanations may be unclear or incoherent, and they are generally ineffective in building student understanding of content.
- Much of the teacher’s language may not be developmentally appropriate.
- The teacher may frequently give unclear or imprecise definitions, or frequently may not use academic language when it is appropriate to do so.
- The teacher may rarely or never emphasize key points when necessary, such that students are often unclear about the main ideas of the content.
- The teacher may frequently adhere rigidly to the initial plan for explaining content even when it is clear that an explanation is not effectively leading students to understand the concept.
- Students may frequently ask clarifying questions showing that they are confused by the explanations, or students may be consistently frustrated or disengaged because of unclear explanations.
**TEACHING AND LEARNING FRAMEWORK (TLF) RUBRIC: TEACH**

*NOTE: In 2011–2012, only the Teach domain of the Teaching and Learning Framework will be assessed.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVEL 4 (HIGHEST)</th>
<th>LEVEL 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TEACH 3: ENGAGE STUDENTS AT ALL LEARNING LEVELS IN RIGOROUS WORK</strong></td>
<td><strong>LEVEL 3</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher is <strong>highly effective</strong> at engaging students at all learning levels in rigorous work.</td>
<td>Teacher is <strong>effective</strong> at engaging students at all learning levels in rigorous work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Level 4, nearly all of the evidence listed under Level 3 is present, as well as both of the following:</td>
<td>The following best describes what is observed:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The teacher makes the lesson accessible to all students at different learning levels.</td>
<td>• The teacher makes the lesson accessible to almost all students; there is evidence that the teacher knows each student’s level and ensures that the lesson meets almost all students where they are. For example, if necessary, the teacher might differentiate content, process, or product (using strategies that might include, for example, flexible grouping, leveled texts, or tiered assignments) in order to ensure that students are able to access the lesson.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The teacher makes the lesson challenging to all students at different learning levels.</td>
<td>• The teacher makes the lesson challenging to almost all students; there is evidence that the teacher knows each student’s level and ensures that the lesson pushes almost all students forward from where they are. For example, the teacher might ask more challenging questions, assign more demanding work, or provide extension assignments in order to ensure that all students are challenged by the lesson.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TLF T3**

**IMPACT: THE DCPS EFFECTIVENESS ASSESSMENT SYSTEM FOR SCHOOL-BASED PERSONNEL**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVEL 2</th>
<th>LEVEL 1 (LOWEST)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teacher is <strong>minimally effective</strong> at engaging students at all learning levels in rigorous work.</td>
<td>Teacher is <strong>ineffective</strong> at engaging students at all learning levels in rigorous work.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The following best describes what is observed:*
- The teacher makes the lesson accessible to most students; some students may not be able to access certain parts of the lesson.
- The teacher makes the lesson challenging to most students; some students may not be challenged by certain parts of the lesson.
- While students have some opportunities to meaningfully practice, apply, and demonstrate what they are learning, there is more teacher-directed instruction than appropriate.

*The following best describes what is observed:*
- The lesson is not accessible to most students.
- The lesson is not challenging to most students.
- The lesson is almost entirely teacher-directed, and students have few opportunities to meaningfully practice, apply, and demonstrate what they are learning.
## Teaching and Learning Framework (TLF) Rubric: Teach

**Note:** In 2011–2012, only the Teach domain of the Teaching and Learning Framework will be assessed.

### Level 4 (Highest)

#### Teach 4: Provide Students Multiple Ways to Engage with Content

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TLF T4</th>
<th>Level 4 (Highest)</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teacher is <strong>highly effective</strong> at providing students multiple ways to engage with content.</td>
<td>Teacher is <strong>effective</strong> at providing students multiple ways to engage with content.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Level 4, nearly all of the evidence listed under Level 3 is present, as well as the following:
- The ways students are provided to engage with content all significantly promote student mastery of the objective; students respond positively and are actively involved in the work.

The following best describes what is observed:
- The teacher provides students more than one way to engage with content, as appropriate, and all ways are matched to the lesson objective. For particular types of lessons, this may only entail giving students two ways to engage with content (for example, a Socratic seminar might involve verbal/linguistic and interpersonal ways), while for many lessons, this may involve three or more.
- The ways students engage with content all promote student mastery of the objective.

### Notes:

1. Teachers should receive credit for providing students with ways of engaging with content that target different learning modalities (auditory, visual, kinesthetic/tactile) or multiple intelligences (spatial, linguistic, logical-mathematical, kinesthetic, musical, interpersonal, intrapersonal, naturalistic), or for using other effective teaching strategies.

2. A teacher can also be given credit for giving students multiple ways of engaging with content even when all of the ways target the same modality or intelligence. For example, a teacher may show a short video clip, then use a graphic organizer. Though both of these target the visual learning modality, they provide students with different ways of engaging with the same content and should be credited as such.

3. For a teacher to receive credit for providing students a way of engaging with content, students must be engaged in that part of the lesson. For example, a teacher should not receive credit for providing a way of engaging with content if the teacher shows a visual illustration but most students are not paying attention, or if the teacher asks students to model parallel and perpendicular lines with their arms but most students do not participate.
### LEVEL 2

**Teacher is minimally effective at providing students multiple ways to engage with content.**

- The teacher provides students more than one way to engage with content, but not all of these may be well matched to the lesson objective; or, the teacher may only give students two ways to engage with content when using an additional way would have been more appropriate to the objective (for example, a lesson introducing fractions that involves only auditory and interpersonal but not visual or tactile/kinesthetic ways).
- Some ways provided do not promote student mastery of the objective.

### LEVEL 1 (LOWEST)

**Teacher is ineffective at providing students multiple ways to engage with content.**

- The following best describes what is observed:
  - The teacher provides students with more than one way to engage with content, but most of these may not be well matched to the lesson objective; or, the teacher may only give students one way to engage with the content.
  - Most or all ways provided do not promote student mastery of the objective; or, some ways may detract from or impede student mastery.
**TEACHING AND LEARNING FRAMEWORK (TLF) RUBRIC: TEACH**

*NOTE: In 2011–2012, only the Teach domain of the Teaching and Learning Framework will be assessed.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>LEVEL 4 (HIGHEST)</strong></th>
<th><strong>LEVEL 3</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TEACH 5: CHECK FOR STUDENT UNDERSTANDING</strong></td>
<td><strong>Teacher is effective at checking for student understanding.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TLF T5</strong></td>
<td><strong>The following best describes what is observed:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher is highly effective at checking for student understanding.</td>
<td>• The teacher checks for understanding at all key moments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Level 4, nearly all of the evidence listed under Level 3 is present, as well as some of the following:</td>
<td>• Every check gets an accurate “pulse” of the class’s understanding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The teacher checks for understanding at all key moments.</td>
<td>• The teacher uses a variety of methods of checking for understanding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The teacher uses a variety of methods of checking for understanding.</td>
<td>• The teacher seamlessly integrates information gained from the checks by making adjustments to the content or delivery of the lesson, as appropriate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• If a check reveals a need to make a whole-class adjustment to the lesson plan (for example, because most of the students did not understand a concept just taught), the teacher makes the appropriate adjustment in an effective way.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. A teacher does not necessarily have to check with every student in order to gauge the understanding of the class (get the “pulse”). As long as the teacher calls on students who raise their hands and on those who do not, a series of questions posed to the entire class can enable a teacher to get the “pulse” of the class. Or, if the teacher checks the understanding of a number of students, finds that most of them did not understand some part of the lesson, and immediately re-teaches that part to the entire class, this should count as effectively getting the “pulse” of the class because the teacher gained enough information to be able to adjust subsequent instruction.

2. For some lessons, checking the “pulse” of the class may not be an appropriate standard. For example, if students are spending the majority of the period working on individual essays and the teacher is conferencing with a few students, it may not be necessary for the teacher to check the understanding of the entire class. In these cases, the teacher should be judged based on how deeply and effectively s/he checks for the understanding of the students with whom s/he is working.

3. In some lessons, it can be appropriate to give credit for checking for understanding of directions, in addition to checking for understanding of content. However, a teacher who only checks for understanding of directions and rarely or never checks for understanding of content should not receive a high score on this standard.

4. All of the techniques in the list of examples to the right can be effective checks for understanding if they are well-executed and appropriate to the lesson objective. However, each of these techniques can also be used ineffectively. A teacher should not receive credit simply for using a technique on the list. In order to be credited as an effective check for understanding, the technique must be appropriate to the objective and yield information that can inform instruction and thus succeed in getting the “pulse” of the class’s understanding.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVEL 2</th>
<th>LEVEL 1 (LOWEST)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teacher is <strong>minimally effective</strong> at checking for student understanding.</td>
<td>Teacher is <strong>ineffective</strong> at checking for student understanding.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The following best describes what is observed:*

- The teacher sometimes checks for understanding of content, but misses several key moments.
- The teacher gets an accurate “pulse” of the class’s understanding from most checks.
- If a check reveals a need to make a whole-class adjustment to the lesson plan, the teacher attempts to make the appropriate adjustment but may not do so in an effective way.

*The following best describes what is observed:*

- The teacher rarely or never checks for understanding of content, or misses nearly all key moments.
- The teacher does not get an accurate “pulse” of the class’s understanding from most checks. For example, the teacher might neglect some students or ask very general questions that do not effectively assess student understanding.
- If a check reveals a need to make a whole-class adjustment to the lesson plan, the teacher does not attempt to make the appropriate adjustment, or attempts to make the adjustment but does not do so in an effective way.

**Examples of checks for understanding:**

- Asking clarifying questions
- Asking reading comprehension questions
- Asking students to rephrase material
- Conferencing with individual students
- Drawing upon peer conversations/explanations
- Having students respond on white boards
- Having students vote on answer choices

- Moving around to look at each group’s work
- Observing student work in a structured manner
- Scanning progress of students working independently
- Using constructed responses
- Using exit slips
- Using role-playing
- Using “think-pair-share”
### TEACHING AND LEARNING FRAMEWORK (TLF) RUBRIC: TEACH

**NOTE:** In 2011–2012, only the Teach domain of the Teaching and Learning Framework will be assessed.

#### LEVEL 4 (HIGHEST)

**TEACH 6: RESPOND TO STUDENT MISUNDERSTANDINGS**

- Teacher is **highly effective** at responding to student misunderstandings.
- For Level 4, nearly all of the evidence listed under Level 3 is present, as well as some of the following:
  - The teacher responds to almost all student misunderstandings with effective scaffolding.
  - The teacher anticipates student misunderstandings and preemptively addresses them, either directly or through the design of the lesson.
  - The teacher is able to address student misunderstandings effectively without taking away from the flow of the lesson or losing the engagement of students who do understand.

#### LEVEL 3

- Teacher is **effective** at responding to student misunderstandings.
- The following best describes what is observed:
  - The teacher responds to most student misunderstandings with effective scaffolding.
  - When possible, the teacher uses scaffolding techniques that enable students to construct their own understandings (for example, by asking leading questions) rather than simply re-explaining a concept.
  - If an attempt to address a misunderstanding is not succeeding, the teacher, when appropriate, responds with another way of scaffolding.

**Notes:**

1. At some points in a lesson, it is not appropriate to immediately respond to student misunderstandings (for example, at the beginning of an inquiry-based lesson, or when stopping to respond to a single student’s misunderstanding would be an ineffective use of instructional time for the rest of the class). In such cases, an effective teacher might wait until later in the lesson to respond and scaffold learning. Observers should be sensitive to these situations and not penalize a teacher for failing to respond to misunderstandings immediately when it would be more effective to wait, provided that the teacher makes some arrangement to address the misunderstandings later and makes this clear to the students.

2. All of the techniques in the list of examples to the right can be effective techniques for scaffolding learning if they are well-executed and appropriate to the lesson objective. However, each of these techniques can also be used ineffectively. A teacher should not receive credit simply for using a technique on the list. In order to be credited as an effective scaffold, the technique must be well-executed and appropriate to the objective, and thus succeed in addressing the student’s misunderstanding.

3. If there are no evident student misunderstandings during the 30-minute observation, this category should be scored as “Not Applicable.”
## LEVEL 2

Teacher is **minimally effective** at responding to student misunderstandings.

The following best describes what is observed:

- The teacher responds to some student misunderstandings with effective scaffolding.
- The teacher may primarily respond to misunderstandings by using scaffolding techniques that are teacher-driven (for example, re-explaining a concept) when student-driven techniques could have been effective.
- The teacher may sometimes persist in using a particular technique for responding to a misunderstanding, even when it is not succeeding.

### Examples of techniques for scaffolding learning:
- Activating background knowledge
- Asking leading questions
- Breaking the task into smaller parts
- Giving hints or cues with a mnemonic device
- Having students verbalize their thinking processes
- Modeling

## LEVEL 1 (LOWEST)

Teacher is **ineffective** at responding to student misunderstandings.

The following best describes what is observed:

- The teacher responds to few student misunderstandings with effective scaffolding.
- The teacher may only respond to misunderstandings by using scaffolding techniques that are teacher-driven when student-driven techniques could have been effective.
- The teacher may frequently persist in using a particular technique for responding to a misunderstanding, even when it is not succeeding.

### Examples of techniques for scaffolding learning:
- Using cue cards
- Providing visual cues
- Suggesting strategies or procedures
- Using analogies
- Using manipulatives or a hands-on model
- Using “think-alouds”
TEACHING AND LEARNING FRAMEWORK (TLF) RUBRIC: TEACH

LEVEL 4 (HIGHEST)  |  LEVEL 3

**TEACH 7: DEVELOP HIGHER-LEVEL UNDERSTANDING THROUGH EFFECTIVE QUESTIONING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T7</th>
<th>For Level 4, nearly all of the evidence listed under Level 3 is present, as well as some of the following:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The teacher asks higher-level questions at multiple levels of Bloom’s taxonomy, if appropriate to the lesson.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Students are able to answer higher-level questions with meaningful responses, showing that they are accustomed to being asked these kinds of questions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Students pose higher-level questions to the teacher and to each other, showing that they are accustomed to asking these questions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Teacher is highly effective at developing higher-level understanding through effective questioning.

Teacher is effective at developing higher-level understanding through effective questioning.

**Notes:**

1. A teacher may ask higher-level questions in response to students’ correct answers, as part of the delivery of content, or in another context. All of these uses of questioning should be included in the assessment of this standard.

2. A teacher should receive credit for developing higher-level understanding by posing a more difficult problem or setting up a more challenging task, even if these are not necessarily phrased as questions.

3. At some points in a lesson, it is not appropriate to immediately ask questions to develop higher-level understanding (for example, if students are rehearsing a basic skill). A teacher should not be penalized for failing to probe for higher-level understanding in these cases. However, over the course of a 30-minute observation, there should be some opportunities to probe for higher-level understanding. As a result, this category cannot be scored as “Not Applicable.”

4. The frequency with which a teacher should use questions to develop higher-level understanding will vary depending on the topic and type of lesson. For example, in a high school history lesson on the Industrial Revolution, a teacher should be asking questions to develop higher-level understanding much of the time. In contrast, in a part of a lesson on the appropriate use of punctuation, a teacher might not do so quite as frequently. Still, questioning to promote higher-level understanding should be present in every lesson.

5. All of the techniques in the list of examples to the right can be effective types of questions to develop higher-level understanding if they are well-executed and appropriate to the lesson objective. However, each of these techniques can also be used ineffectively. A teacher should not receive credit simply for using a technique on the list. In order to be credited as effective, the question must be well-executed and appropriate to the objective and thus succeed in developing higher-level understanding.
### Level 2

Teacher is **minimally effective** at developing higher-level understanding through effective questioning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The following best describes what is observed:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• The teacher sometimes develops higher-level understanding through effective questioning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Some of the questions used may not be effective in developing higher-level understanding. For example, the teacher might ask questions that are unnecessarily complex or confusing to students.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The teacher may repeatedly use two or three questions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Level 1 (Lowest)

Teacher is **ineffective** at developing higher-level understanding through effective questioning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The following best describes what is observed:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• The teacher rarely or never develops higher-level understanding through effective questioning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Most of the questions used may not be effective in developing higher-level understanding. For example, the teacher might ask questions that do not push students’ thinking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The teacher may only use one question repeatedly. For example, the teacher might always ask students “Why?” in response to their answers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Examples of types of questions that can develop higher-level understanding:

- Activating higher levels of inquiry on Bloom’s taxonomy (using words such as “analyze,” “classify,” “compare,” “decide,” “evaluate,” “explain,” or “represent”)
- Asking students to explain their reasoning
- Asking students to explain why they are learning something or to summarize the main idea
- Asking students to apply a new skill or concept in a different context
- Posing a question that increases the rigor of the lesson content
- Prompting students to make connections to previous material or prior knowledge
## TEACHING AND LEARNING FRAMEWORK (TLF) RUBRIC: TEACH

### NOTE: In 2011–2012, only the Teach domain of the Teaching and Learning Framework will be assessed.

### LEVEL 4 (HIGHEST)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TLF T8</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TEACH 8: MAXIMIZE INSTRUCTIONAL TIME</strong></td>
<td>Teacher is highly effective at maximizing instructional time through well-executed routines, procedures, and transitions; efficient instructional pacing; and effective classroom management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Level 4, nearly all of the evidence listed under Level 3 is present, as well as some of the following:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Routines and procedures run smoothly with minimal prompting from the teacher; students know their responsibilities and do not have to ask questions about what to do.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Transitions are orderly, efficient, and systematic, and require little teacher direction.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Students are never idle while waiting for the teacher (for example, while the teacher takes attendance or prepares materials).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Students share responsibility for the operations and routines in the classroom.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The lesson progresses at a rapid pace such that students are never disengaged, and students who finish assigned work early have something else meaningful to do.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The flow of the lesson is never impeded by inappropriate or off-task student behavior, either because no such behavior occurs or because when such behavior occurs the teacher efficiently addresses it.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>The following best describes what is observed:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teacher is effective at maximizing instructional time through well-executed routines, procedures, and transitions; efficient instructional pacing; and effective classroom management.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Routines and procedures run smoothly with some prompting from the teacher; students generally know their responsibilities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Transitions are generally smooth with some teacher direction.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Students are only idle for very brief periods of time while waiting for the teacher (for example, while the teacher takes attendance or prepares materials).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The teacher spends an appropriate amount of time on each part of the lesson.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The lesson progresses at a quick pace, such that students are almost never disengaged or left with nothing meaningful to do (for example, after finishing the assigned work, or while waiting for one student to complete a problem in front of the class).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Inappropriate or off-task student behavior rarely interrupts or delays the lesson.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEVEL 2</td>
<td>LEVEL 1 (LOWEST)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher is <strong>minimally effective</strong> at maximizing instructional time through well-executed routines, procedures, and transitions; efficient instructional pacing; and effective classroom management.</td>
<td>Teacher is <strong>ineffective</strong> at maximizing instructional time through well-executed routines, procedures, and transitions; efficient instructional pacing; and effective classroom management.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**The following best describes what is observed:**

- Routines and procedures are in place but require significant teacher prompting and direction; students may be unclear about what they should be doing and may ask questions frequently.
- Transitions are fully directed by the teacher and may be less orderly and efficient.
- Students may be idle for short periods of time while waiting for the teacher.
- The teacher may spend too much time on one part of the lesson (for example, may allow the opening to continue longer than necessary).
- The lesson progresses at a moderate pace, but students are sometimes disengaged or left with nothing meaningful to do.
- Inappropriate or off-task student behavior sometimes interrupts or delays the lesson.

**The following best describes what is observed:**

- There are no evident routines and procedures, so the teacher directs every activity; students are unclear about what they should be doing and ask questions constantly or do not follow teacher directions.
- Transitions are disorderly and inefficient.
- Students may be idle for significant periods of time while waiting for the teacher.
- The teacher may spend an inappropriate amount of time on one or more parts of the lesson (for example, spends 20 minutes on the warm-up).
- The lesson progresses at a notably slow pace, and students are frequently disengaged or left with nothing meaningful to do.
- Inappropriate or off-task student behavior constantly interrupts or delays the lesson.
**TEACHING AND LEARNING FRAMEWORK (TLF) RUBRIC: TEACH**

*NOTE: In 2011–2012, only the Teach domain of the Teaching and Learning Framework will be assessed.*

### TEACH 9: BUILD A SUPPORTIVE, LEARNING-FOCUSED CLASSROOM COMMUNITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>LEVEL 4 (HIGHEST)</strong></th>
<th><strong>LEVEL 3</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teacher</strong></td>
<td>Teacher is <strong>highly effective</strong> at building a supportive and learning-focused classroom community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>For Level 4, nearly all of the evidence listed under Level 3 is present, as well as some of the following:</strong></td>
<td>Teacher is <strong>effective</strong> at building a supportive and learning-focused classroom community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>• Students are invested in the success of their peers. For example, they can be seen collaborating with and helping each other without prompting from the teacher.</strong></td>
<td><strong>The following best describes what is observed:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>• Students may give unsolicited praise or encouragement to their peers for good work, when appropriate.</strong></td>
<td><strong>• Students are invested in their work and value academic success. For example, students work hard, remain focused on learning without frequent reminders, and persevere through challenges.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>• Student comments and actions demonstrate that students are excited about their work and understand why it is important.</strong></td>
<td><strong>• The classroom is a safe environment for students to take on challenges and risk failure. For example, students are eager to answer questions, feel comfortable asking the teacher for help, and do not respond negatively when a peer answers a question incorrectly.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>• There is evidence that the teacher has strong, individualized relationships with students in the class. For example, the teacher might demonstrate personal knowledge of students’ lives, interests, and preferences.</strong></td>
<td><strong>• Students are always respectful of the teacher and their peers. For example, students listen and do not interrupt when their peers ask or answer questions.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>• Students may demonstrate frequent positive engagement with their peers. For example, they might show interest in other students’ answers or work.</strong></td>
<td><strong>• The teacher meaningfully reinforces positive behavior and good academic work as appropriate.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>• The teacher has a positive rapport with students, as demonstrated by displays of positive affect, evidence of relationship building, and expressions of interest in students’ thoughts and opinions.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. If there are one or more instances of disrespect by the teacher toward students, the teacher should be scored a Level 1 for this standard.
2. Brief interruptions due to student excitement (for example, when a student accidentally shouts out an answer because s/he is excited to respond to the question) should not be counted against a teacher unless they occur constantly and significantly interfere with the lesson or with the ability of other students to respond.
### LEVEL 2

**Teacher is minimally effective** at building a supportive and learning-focused classroom community.

The following best describes what is observed:

- Students are generally engaged in their work but are not highly invested in it. For example, students might spend significant time off-task or require frequent reminders; students might give up easily; or the teacher might communicate messages about the importance of the work, but there is little evidence that students have internalized them.
- Some students are willing to take academic risks, but others may not be. For example, some students might be reluctant to answer questions or take on challenging assignments; some students might be hesitant to ask the teacher for help even when they need it; or some students might occasionally respond negatively when a peer answers a question incorrectly.
- Students are generally respectful of the teacher and their peers, but there are some exceptions. For example, students might occasionally interrupt, or might be respectful and attentive to the teacher, but not to their peers.
- The teacher may rarely reinforce positive behavior and good academic work, may do so for some students but not for others, or may not do so in a meaningful way.
- The teacher may have a positive rapport with some students but not others, or may demonstrate little rapport with students.

### LEVEL 1 (LOWEST)

**Teacher is ineffective** at building a supportive and learning-focused classroom community.

The following best describes what is observed:

- Students may demonstrate disinterest or lack of investment in their work. For example, students might be unfocused and not working hard, be frequently off-task, or refuse to attempt assignments.
- Students are generally not willing to take on challenges and risk failure. For example, most students might be reluctant to answer questions or take on challenging assignments, most students might be hesitant to ask the teacher for help even when they need it, or students might discourage or interfere with the work of their peers or criticize students who give incorrect answers.
- Students may frequently be disrespectful to the teacher or their peers. For example, they might frequently interrupt or be clearly inattentive when the teacher or their peers are speaking.
- The teacher may never reinforce positive behavior and good academic work, or s/he may do so for only a few students.
- There may be little or no evidence of a positive rapport between the teacher and the students, or there may be evidence that the teacher has a negative rapport with students.
## TEACHING AND LEARNING FRAMEWORK (TLF) RUBRIC: INCREASE EFFECTIVENESS

**NOTE:** In 2011–2012, only the Teach domain of the Teaching and Learning Framework will be assessed.

### LEVEL 4 (HIGHEST)

#### TLF IE1: ASSESS STUDENT PROGRESS

**Teacher:** 1) routinely **uses assessments** to measure student mastery of content standards; 2) provides students with **multiple ways** of demonstrating mastery (for example, selected response, constructed response, performance task, and personal communication); and 3) provides students with **multiple opportunities** during the unit to demonstrate mastery.

### LEVEL 3

**Teacher:** 1) routinely **uses assessments** to measure student mastery of content standards; and 2) provides students with **multiple ways** of demonstrating mastery (for example, selected response, constructed response, performance task, and personal communication).

#### TLF IE2: TRACK AND ANALYZE STUDENT PROGRESS DATA

**Teacher:** 1) routinely **records** the student progress data gathered in IE 1; 2) **uses a system** (for example, gradebooks, spreadsheets, charts) that allows for easy analysis of student progress toward mastery; and 3) **at least half** of the students know their progress toward mastery.

**Teacher:** 1) routinely **records** the student progress data gathered in IE 1; and 2) **uses a system** (for example, gradebooks, spreadsheets, charts) that allows for easy analysis of student progress toward mastery.

#### TLF IE3: IMPROVE PRACTICE AND RE-TEACH IN RESPONSE TO DATA

In response to IE 2, the teacher: 1) **re-teaches**, as appropriate; 2) **modifies long-term plans**, as appropriate; and 3) **modifies practice**, as appropriate.

In response to IE 2, the teacher: 1) **re-teaches**, as appropriate; and 2) **modifies long-term plans**, as appropriate.
**LEVEL 2**

Teacher routinely **uses assessments** to measure student mastery of content standards.

**LEVEL 1 (LOWEST)**

Teacher **does not routinely use assessments** to measure student mastery of content standards.

Teacher routinely **records** the student progress data gathered in IE 1.

**LEVEL 1 (LOWEST)**

Teacher **does not routinely record** student progress data gathered in IE 1.

In response to IE 2, the teacher **re-teaches, as appropriate.**

**LEVEL 1 (LOWEST)**

Teacher **does not re-teach.**

Michael DeAngelis
COMMITMENT TO THE SCHOOL COMMUNITY (CSC)

What is Commitment to the School Community?

This component measures several aspects of your work as a member of a school community: 1) your support of your school’s local initiatives; 2) your support of the Special Education and English Language Learner programs at your school; and 3) your efforts to promote high academic and behavioral expectations. For teachers, this component also measures two other aspects: 4) your partnership with your students’ families; and 5) your instructional collaboration with your colleagues.

Why is this one of my IMPACT components?

This component was included because we believe that our students’ success depends on the collective efforts of everyone in our schools.

How will my Commitment to the School Community be assessed?

Your administrator will assess you according to the rubric at the conclusion of this section. S/he will assess you formally two times during the year. The first assessment will occur by December 1 and the second by June 14.

As part of each assessment cycle, you will have a conference with your administrator. At this conference you will receive feedback based on the Commitment to the School Community rubric and discuss next steps for professional growth.

How will my Commitment to the School Community be scored?

For each assessment cycle, you will receive a 4 (highest) to 1 (lowest) rating for each standard of the rubric. Your standard scores will then be averaged together to form an overall score of 4.0 (highest) to 1.0 (lowest) for the assessment cycle.

At the end of the year, your assessment cycle scores will be averaged together to calculate an overall score of 4.0 (highest) to 1.0 (lowest) for this component of your IMPACT assessment. See the sample score chart below.

Please note that, if you are shared between two schools, you will receive scores at each of them. These scores will then be averaged together to determine your final score for this component.

If I have additional questions about Commitment to the School Community, whom should I contact?

Please contact the IMPACT team at 202-719-6553 or impactdcps@dc.gov.

SAMPLE SCORE CHART

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMMITMENT TO THE SCHOOL COMMUNITY (CSC)</th>
<th>CYCLE ENDS 12/1</th>
<th>CYCLE ENDS 6/14</th>
<th>OVERALL (Average of Cycles)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSC SCORE (Average of CSC 1 to CSC 5)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSC 1: Support of the Local School Initiatives</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSC 2: Support Special Education and ELL Programs</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSC 3: High Expectations</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSC 4: Partnership with Families (for Teachers Only)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSC 5: Instructional Collaboration (for Teachers Only)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**COMMITMENT TO THE SCHOOL COMMUNITY (CSC) RUBRIC**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CSC 1: SUPPORT OF THE LOCAL SCHOOL INITIATIVES</th>
<th>CSC 2: SUPPORT OF THE SPECIAL EDUCATION AND ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER PROGRAMS*</th>
<th>CSC 3: HIGH EXPECTATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual <em>meets Level 3</em> expectations AND <em>extends impact</em> by finding new and innovative ways to help the local school initiatives succeed and/or by dedicating a truly exceptional amount of time and energy in support of the initiatives.</td>
<td>Individual <em>consistently</em> supports the local school initiatives in an effective manner.</td>
<td>Individual <em>meets Level 3</em> expectations AND <em>extends impact</em> by finding new and innovative ways to help promote high expectations and/or by dedicating a truly exceptional amount of time and energy towards developing a culture of high expectations in the school.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examples of local school initiatives include: increasing the student attendance rate, reducing the suspension rate, and expanding a “reading across the curriculum” program.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Examples of how one might promote high expectations include: promoting achievement through rigorous academic work and challenging extracurricular opportunities, modeling high personal standards, and emphasizing pride in self, school, and community.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CSC 1</th>
<th>CSC 2</th>
<th>CSC 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual <em>meets Level 3</em> expectations AND <em>extends impact</em> by finding new and innovative ways to help the special education and English language learner programs, the student support team, and all students with 504 plans succeed and/or by dedicating a truly exceptional amount of time and energy in support of these programs and students.</td>
<td>Individual <em>consistently</em> supports, in an effective manner, the school’s special education and English language learner programs, the school’s student support team, and all students with 504 plans.</td>
<td>Individual <em>meets Level 3</em> expectations AND <em>extends impact</em> by finding new and innovative ways to help promote high expectations and/or by dedicating a truly exceptional amount of time and energy towards developing a culture of high expectations in the school.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examples of how one might support these programs and students include: submitting necessary documentation for an IEP meeting, proactively offering assistance and support to a special education teacher, and helping ensure that facilities are available for the provision of services.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Examples of how one might promote high expectations include: promoting achievement through rigorous academic work and challenging extracurricular opportunities, modeling high personal standards, and emphasizing pride in self, school, and community.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This standard may be scored as “Not Applicable” if a school has no students who receive special education or English language learner services, no students who need assistance from a student support team, and no students with 504 plans.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVEL 2</th>
<th>LEVEL 1 (LOWEST)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual <em>sometimes</em> supports the local school initiatives in an effective manner.</td>
<td>Individual <em>rarely or never</em> supports the local school initiatives in an effective manner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual <em>sometimes</em> supports, in an effective manner, the school's Special Education and English Language Learner programs, the school's Student Support Team, and all students with 504 plans.</td>
<td>Individual <em>rarely or never</em> supports, in an effective manner, the school's Special Education and English Language Learner programs, the school's Student Support Team, and all students with 504 plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual <em>sometimes</em> promotes high academic and behavioral expectations, in an effective manner, for all students.</td>
<td>Individual <em>rarely or never</em> promotes high academic and behavioral expectations, in an effective manner, for all students.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Examples of local school initiatives include: increasing the student attendance rate, reducing the suspension rate, and expanding a "reading across the curriculum" program.

Examples of how one might support these programs and students include: submitting necessary documentation for an IEP meeting, proactively offering assistance and support to a special education teacher, and helping ensure that facilities are available for the provision of services.

Examples of how one might promote high expectations include: promoting achievement through rigorous academic work and challenging extracurricular opportunities, modeling high personal standards, and emphasizing pride in self, school, and community.
### COMMITMENT TO THE SCHOOL COMMUNITY (CSC) RUBRIC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CSC 4: PARTNERSHIP WITH FAMILIES (FOR TEACHERS ONLY)</th>
<th>CSC 5: INSTRUCTIONAL COLLABORATION (FOR TEACHERS ONLY)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CSC 4</strong></td>
<td><strong>CSC 5</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher meets Level 3 expectations AND extends impact by finding new and innovative ways to foster engagement with students’ families and/or by dedicating a truly exceptional amount of time and energy towards partnering with them.</td>
<td>Teacher meets Level 3 expectations AND extends impact by proactively seeking out collaborative opportunities with other teachers and/or by dedicating a truly exceptional amount of time and energy towards promoting effective instructional collaboration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher consistently engages students’ families as valued partners in an effective manner.</td>
<td>Teacher consistently collaborates with colleagues to improve student achievement in an effective manner.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples of how one might engage students’ families include:**
- Making regular phone calls or home visits to communicate with parents/guardians, including families in class projects, and creating a welcoming classroom environment for families.

**Examples of how one might collaborate to improve student achievement include:**
- Active participation in the Thirty-Minute Morning Block.
- Active participation in grade-level and departmental meetings.
- Active participation in mentoring relationships (formal or informal).

---

Simona Monnatti

Morgan Gay
## COMMITMENT TO THE SCHOOL COMMUNITY (CSC) RUBRIC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVEL 2</th>
<th>LEVEL 1 (LOWEST)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CSC 4: PARTNERSHIP WITH FAMILIES (FOR TEACHERS ONLY)</strong>&lt;br&gt;Teacher <em>sometimes</em> engages students’ families as valued partners in an effective manner.</td>
<td><strong>Teacher rarely or never</strong> engages students’ families as valued partners in an effective manner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CSC 5: INSTRUCTIONAL COLLABORATION (FOR TEACHERS ONLY)</strong>&lt;br&gt;Teacher <em>sometimes</em> collaborates with colleagues to improve student achievement in an effective manner.</td>
<td><strong>Teacher rarely or never</strong> collaborates with colleagues to improve student achievement in an effective manner.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SCHOOL VALUE-ADDED STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA (SVA)

What is SVA?
School Value-Added measures a school’s collective contribution to student learning, similar to the way in which Individual Value-Added measures a teacher’s individual contribution to student learning. Because education is a team effort, almost all school-based staff — not just teachers — have SVA as a small portion of their annual IMPACT evaluation.

How does SVA differ from IVA?
The main difference is that instead of comparing the average actual score to the average likely score for the students of one teacher, SVA does so for the students of one school. Figure 1 illustrates an SVA score of +3 for a hypothetical school.

Can a school receive a high SVA score even if it fails to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?
Yes. AYP measures how many students in a school score Proficient or Advanced. SVA is a very different measure. It helps us estimate the school’s impact on student learning as opposed to the impact of other factors, such as the students’ prior skill level, the resources they have at home, or any learning disabilities they may have.

Can a school receive a high SVA score if its students start the year at a very low skill level, or if many of them have IEPs or are classified as Limited English Proficient?
Yes. Like IVA, SVA takes into account the students’ starting skill level as well as other factors such as the special education status and LEP status of the students in the school.

Does a school need to have a minimum number of students to receive an SVA score?
Yes. DCPS requires that a school has at least 50 students with DC CAS scores from the previous year and the current year in order for it to receive an SVA score.

If I have additional questions about SVA, whom should I contact?
Please contact the IMPACT team at 202-719-6553 or impactdcps@dc.gov.
CORE PROFESSIONALISM (CP)

What is Core Professionalism?
This component measures four basic tenets of professionalism: 1) having no unexcused absences; 2) having no unexcused late arrivals; 3) following the policies and procedures of your school (or program) and the school system; and 4) interacting with colleagues, students, families, and community members in a respectful manner.

How will my Core Professionalism be assessed?
Your administrator (or program supervisor) will assess your Core Professionalism according to the rubric at the conclusion of this section. S/he will assess you formally two times during the year. The first assessment will occur by December 1 and the second by June 14.

As part of each assessment cycle, you will have a conference with your administrator (or program supervisor). At this conference you will receive feedback based on the Core Professionalism rubric and discuss next steps for professional growth.

How will my Core Professionalism be scored?
Unlike the other rubrics in IMPACT, there are only three levels for Core Professionalism: Meets Standard, Slightly Below Standard, and Significantly Below Standard.

If you consistently receive a Core Professionalism rating of Meets Standard (and you receive no ratings of Slightly Below Standard or Significantly Below Standard), your overall score for this component will be Meets Standard and you will see no change in your final IMPACT score. This is the case in the sample score chart to the right.

If you receive a rating of Slightly Below Standard on any part of the Core Professionalism rubric during a cycle (and you receive no ratings of Significantly Below Standard), you will receive an overall rating of Slightly Below Standard for that cycle, and ten points will be deducted from your final IMPACT score. An additional ten points will be deducted if you earn an overall rating of Slightly Below Standard again the next cycle.

If you receive a rating of Significantly Below Standard on any part of the Core Professionalism rubric during a cycle, you will receive an overall rating of Significantly Below Standard for that cycle, and twenty points will be deducted from your final IMPACT score. An additional twenty points will be deducted if you earn an overall rating of Significantly Below Standard again the next cycle.

Please note that, if you are shared between two schools, the lower of your two Core Professionalism scores for each cycle will be used for your final IMPACT score.

For more information about the scoring process, please see the Putting It All Together section of this guidebook.

If I have additional questions about Core Professionalism, whom should I contact?
Please contact the IMPACT team at 202-719-6553 or impactdcp@dc.gov.
### SAMPLE SCORE CHART
### CORE PROFESSIONALISM (CP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CORE PROFESSIONALISM (CP)</th>
<th>CYCLE ENDS 12/1</th>
<th>CYCLE ENDS 6/14</th>
<th>OVERALL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CP SCORE (Lowest of CP 1 to CP 4)</td>
<td>MEETS STANDARD</td>
<td>MEETS STANDARD</td>
<td>MEETS STANDARD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP 1: Attendance</td>
<td>MEETS STANDARD</td>
<td>MEETS STANDARD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP 2: On-Time Arrival</td>
<td>MEETS STANDARD</td>
<td>MEETS STANDARD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP 3: Policies and Procedures</td>
<td>MEETS STANDARD</td>
<td>MEETS STANDARD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP 4: Respect</td>
<td>MEETS STANDARD</td>
<td>MEETS STANDARD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Michael DeAngelis
## CORE PROFESSIONALISM (CP) RUBRIC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEETS STANDARD</th>
<th>SLIGHTLY BELOW STANDARD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CP 1: ATTENDANCE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CP 1</strong></td>
<td>Individual has <strong>no</strong> unexcused absences (absences that are in violation of procedures set forth by local school policy and by the relevant collective bargaining agreement).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CP 2: ON-TIME ARRIVAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CP 2</strong></td>
<td>Individual has <strong>no</strong> unexcused late arrivals (late arrivals that are in violation of procedures set forth by local school policy and by the relevant collective bargaining agreement).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CP 3: POLICIES AND PROCEDURES</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CP 3</strong></td>
<td>Individual <strong>always</strong> follows DCPS and local school policies and procedures (for example, procedures for submitting student discipline referrals, policies for appropriate staff attire, protocols for the Thirty-Minute Morning Block).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CP 4: RESPECT</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CP 4</strong></td>
<td>Individual <strong>always</strong> interacts with students, colleagues, parents/guardians, and community members in a respectful manner.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## SIGNIFICANTLY BELOW STANDARD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CP 1: ATTENDANCE</td>
<td>Individual has <strong>2 or more</strong> unexcused absences (absences that are in violation of procedures set forth by local school policy and by the relevant collective bargaining agreement).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP 2: on-TIME ARRIVAL</td>
<td>Individual has <strong>2 or more</strong> unexcused late arrivals (late arrivals that are in violation of procedures set forth by local school policy and by the relevant collective bargaining agreement).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP 3: POLICIES AND PROCEDURES</td>
<td>Individual <strong>demonstrates a pattern</strong> of failing to follow DCPS and local school policies and procedures (for example, procedures for submitting student discipline referrals, policies for appropriate staff attire, protocols for the Thirty-Minute Morning Block).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP 4: RESPECT</td>
<td>Individual <strong>demonstrates a pattern</strong> of failing to interact with students, colleagues, parents/guardians, or community members in a respectful manner.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What resources are available to help me be successful?

Professional development is critical to our success as a school system. After all, the best schools are those focused on the learning of children and adults. This is why providing educators with outstanding support is a top district priority.

Below you will find more information about job-specific resources and learning opportunities designed to help you improve your practice.

CURRICULAR RESOURCES

The Teaching and Learning Framework defines the how of effective instruction, and a key district focus this year is providing meaningful support to educators on the what and the when.

Over the next three years, DCPS will work towards a full adoption of the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) for English language arts; literacy in history/social studies, science, and technical subjects; and mathematics. To support this transition, we will provide scope and sequence documents, recommended texts, and sample unit assessments. We will also administer aligned, paced interim assessments, and you will have the opportunity to participate in structured data cycles to support you in using student achievement information to guide your classroom practice.

OVERVIEW OF THE DISTRICT’S THREE-YEAR ROLLOUT OF THE COMMON CORE STATE STANDARDS (CCSS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCHOOL YEAR</th>
<th>GRADES/CCSS</th>
<th>IMPLEMENTATION PLAN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011–2012</td>
<td>K–12 English Language Arts&lt;br&gt;6–12 Literacy Reading (LIT R)&lt;br&gt;K–2 Mathematics</td>
<td>Implementation Focus: K–12 English language arts teachers will teach the ELA CCSS and K–2 math teachers will teach the Mathematics CCSS&lt;br&gt;Professional Development Focus: Foundational Reading, Literature, Informational Text, Literacy Reading, and Mathematics CCSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012–2013</td>
<td>3–12 Mathematics&lt;br&gt;6–12 Literacy Reading (LIT R)</td>
<td>Implementation Focus: K–12 math teachers will teach the Mathematics CCSS, and social studies, science, and technical subject teachers will teach the LIT R CCSS&lt;br&gt;Professional Development Focus: Mathematics CCSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013–2014</td>
<td>K–12 English Language Arts&lt;br&gt;6–12 Literacy Writing (LIT W)</td>
<td>Implementation Focus: Social studies, science, and technical subject teachers will teach the LIT W CCSS&lt;br&gt;Professional Development Focus: Writing and Language CCSS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

School-based instructional coaches will support teachers in improving their practice through differentiated, job-embedded professional development. During learning cycles and in other settings, instructional coaches will work with teachers to analyze data and student work, observe and debrief lessons, co-teach, and model effective practices. See below for more information about learning cycles.

Learning Cycles

This year, all instructional coaches will facilitate learning cycles that focus on the Common Core State Standards and the Teaching and Learning Framework. Learning cycles are designed to provide teachers with intensive classroom support over the course of several weeks in both one-on-one and group settings. This approach exemplifies research-based best practices for professional development: support is extended over time, is targeted and specific, and includes ongoing follow-up.

“**My instructional coach has helped me collaboratively plan with my colleagues. He has also helped me enhance my lessons by making them more rigorous with higher-order thinking questions.”**

DIONNE HAMMIEL, TEACHER, BURROUGHS EC

“**Our coach led a six-week intervention session during which the K–2 teachers met twice weekly during the morning block. We each identified a group of students whose progress was not sufficient in reading. We looked at DIBELS and TRC data to identify areas of weakness, and then we planned interventions for those students. Our coach helped us find resources and facilitated discussions on methods for teaching fluency and other skills. We all saw reading growth in our students.”**

ALAINA FELDER, TEACHER, WALKER-JONES EC
**MASTER EDUCATORS**

Master educators provide professional development to teachers in multiple ways. During post-observation conferences (POCs), master educators and teachers discuss a recent lesson observation and identify strengths and areas for growth. These conversations are opportunities for teachers to both reflect on their practice and seek content-specific guidance and resources. In addition to POCs, master educators support teachers through individual professional development appointments, as well as group workshops and presentations to school staff.

“I am fortunate to have had the opportunity to work in a supportive environment where I have been able to learn and grow as an educator. All the master educators that I have come into contact with have been fabulous. I have learned so much from them, and their input has greatly impacted my classroom practice. My master educator’s ‘Super Six’ strategy has changed the way I approach reading comprehension.”

KHUDIA AMJAD, TEACHER, BRENT ES

“**I JUST GOT MY IMPACT ASSESSMENT. WHAT SHOULD I DO NOW?**”

- Make sure you understand all of your scores and comments. Ask your observer for further clarification if necessary.

- Work with your instructional coach. It’s useful to show the coach your scores and comments so that she or he can have the information necessary to help you. With the coach, consider selecting a particular Teach standard to focus on at first.

- Ask your principal for advice. Supporting teachers on instruction is one of the most important parts of a principal’s job.

- View ‘Reality PD’ lesson videos that address the Teach standards on which you would like to improve. Explore the resources that accompany each video.

- Observe a teacher who is strong in an area in which you’d like to improve. Ask your coach or principal for a recommendation.

- Access relevant resources from the online Educator Portal.
REALITY PD

Reality PD is an extensive library of more than 100 professionally-produced lesson videos, filmed in DCPS classrooms and featuring our own outstanding teachers. The clips cover all nine Teach standards and a variety of grade levels and major subject areas. These impressive videos celebrate excellent teaching across the city and will be a powerful professional development tool to drive even more great practice. For example:

- Teachers can view videos as part of their own, self-guided professional development.
- Instructional coaches may ask teachers to view specific videos as part of an individual learning cycle.
- In written reports or during POCs, administrators and master educators may refer teachers to videos that are relevant to the teacher’s particular areas for growth.
- School leaders may use videos as part of the collaborative professional development in their buildings.

The video library will be housed on the new Data and Professional Development Platform, along with educators’ IMPACT data, student data, and individualized professional development resources. The Platform is scheduled to launch in January 2012.
**PD PLANNER**

PD Planner is an online catalogue of professional development opportunities that enables DCPS educators to target support where they need it most. Educators can browse offerings and register for workshops presented by DCPS, the Washington Teachers’ Union, and other organizations. At the conclusion of a training course, a certificate of completion can be submitted for recertification credit, as applicable, with the Office of the State Superintendent of Education (OSSE). Visit PD Planner at http://dcps.schoolnet.com. Instructional coaches or principals can provide login information.

**THE EDUCATOR PORTAL**

The DCPS Educator Portal is a website where educators can learn about upcoming professional development opportunities offered by both DPCS and external organizations. Additionally, many departments in the DCPS central office maintain subpages on the Educator Portal to provide targeted information, resources, and professional development opportunities. The Portal also houses teacher-developed instructional resources, such as sample lesson plans and assessments, as well as information and guidance related to the Teaching and Learning Framework and IMPACT.

Access the Portal at http://dcps.dc.gov/educators from home or school, logging in using a DCPS e-mail address (first.last@dc.gov) and e-mail password.

**NEW TEACHER SUPPORT**

All teachers new to DCPS are invited to attend New Teacher Orientation, a three-day training at the beginning of the year designed to familiarize newcomers with the Teaching and Learning Framework and district policies. In addition, new teachers receive mentoring support to meet their specific needs. Mentors conduct observations, help with data analysis and lesson planning, and guide teachers in reflecting on what’s working, what’s not, and how to improve.

“I’ve appreciated the ongoing support I’ve received from my DCPS mentor, not only because she comes each week and provides feedback, but also because, as a veteran teacher, she can help me navigate the ins and outs of the school system. She’s a great resource.”

JONGWOOK KIM, TEACHER, ROOSEVELT SHS
FOR TEACHERS AND STAFF AT SPECIAL SCHOOLS

The Full Service Schools (FSS) model brings together leading practices from the fields of education and mental health to ensure academic success for all students. School staff at Full Service Schools receive additional support in implementing the Teaching and Learning Framework and specialized training in developing behavior management systems, welcoming school climates, and family partnerships.

The Schoolwide Applications Model (SAM) aims to better integrate support services within schools. At schools participating in this program, SAM coaches support teachers during collaborative and individual coaching cycles and are available to work with all staff in implementing evidence-based best practices in the following areas: Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS); Response To Intervention (RTI) for both behavior and academics; intervention plans for students with special needs; classroom management strategies; and data collection and analysis. In addition, the DCPS SAM team and national consultants are available to lead on-site trainings. To learn more, visit the SAM page on the Educator Portal at https://sites.google.com/a/dc.gov/educators/groups/sam-schools.

Catalyst Schools are organized around one of three curricular themes: 1) science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM); 2) arts integration; or 3) world cultures. At these schools, experts from local and national partner organizations regularly provide in-depth training related to each school’s focus area.

At International Baccalaureate (IB) Schools, specialized training is offered to support teachers and staff in implementing IB methods, with a focus on developing students’ intellectual, personal, emotional, and social skills. The International Baccalaureate Organization also offers workshops and online training for teachers. More information is available at http://www.ibo.org/events.

THE WASHINGTON TEACHERS’ UNION

The Washington Teachers’ Union (WTU) offers the Educational Research and Dissemination (ER&D) program — research-based professional development courses held after school, on weekends, and during district professional development. Past courses have included: Beginning Reading Instruction; Making Data and Classroom Assessments Work for You; Organizing the Classroom for Teaching and Learning; Psychology and Education of the Exceptional Child; Response to Intervention; School, Family Community: Supporting Student Learning; and Thinking Mathematics.

In addition, the WTU professional development office coordinates free, site-based professional learning opportunities designed to support local school improvement initiatives. For more information, please visit http://www.wtulocal6.org.

“My students last year taught me that I needed to refresh my bag of tricks for dealing with a variety of behaviors, so I took a course offered by the WTU. Despite having taught for more than 20 years, I can honestly say that I learned many new things about behavioral psychology and how to deal with students who disrupt the learning environment. The result: I had new skills and a team of colleagues with whom I could problem solve.”

PAMELA ROSS, TEACHER, OYSTER-ADAMS BILINGUAL SCHOOL
PUTTING IT ALL TOGETHER

What does this section explain?
This section is designed to help you understand how all of the components of your assessment will come together to form an overall IMPACT score and rating. The process involves five steps.

Step 1
We begin by identifying your overall ratings for each component of your assessment. Recall that, for all components other than Core Professionalism, the score will always range from 4.0 (highest) to 1.0 (lowest).

Step 2
We then multiply each component score by its percentage from the pie chart at the beginning of this guidebook. This creates “weighted scores” for each component. The chart below provides an example.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPONENT</th>
<th>COMPONENT SCORE</th>
<th>PIE CHART PERCENTAGE</th>
<th>WEIGHTED SCORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual Value-Added Student Achievement Data (IVA)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>x 50</td>
<td>= 175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching and Learning Framework (TLF)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>x 35</td>
<td>= 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment to the School Community (CSC)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>x 10</td>
<td>= 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Value-Added Student Achievement Data (SVA)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>x 5</td>
<td>= 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>357</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Step 3
We then add the weighted scores to arrive at a total score. The total score will always be between 100 and 400.

Step 4
We then adjust your total score based on your rating for Core Professionalism. If your rating for this component is Meets Standard for both cycles, then your total score remains unchanged. If not, then 10 points are subtracted from your total score for each cycle in which your rating is Slightly Below Standard, and 20 points are subtracted for each cycle in which your rating is Significantly Below Standard. In the example above, the individual’s rating for all cycles is Meets Standard, so no points have been subtracted.
Step 5
Finally, we take your adjusted score and use the scale below to arrive at your final IMPACT rating.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INEFFECTIVE</th>
<th>MINIMALLY EFFECTIVE</th>
<th>EFFECTIVE</th>
<th>HIGHLY EFFECTIVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 Points</td>
<td>175 Points*</td>
<td>250 Points**</td>
<td>350 Points***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A score of exactly 175 would be classified as Minimally Effective.

**A score of exactly 250 would be classified as Effective.

***A score of exactly 350 would be classified as Highly Effective.

Note: If you are not employed by DCPS for the entire year (for example, because you joined the school system partway through the year), or if, while employed by DCPS, you have an absence which causes you to miss one or more of your assessments, DCPS may at its discretion make adjustments to the IMPACT system to ensure that you receive a final IMPACT score for the year. These adjustments may include, among other things, changing deadlines, changing the number of assessments, and changing the type of assessment. Also, if unexpected circumstances interfere with the completion of one or more of your assessments, DCPS may nevertheless issue a final IMPACT score based on the remaining assessments. Finally, DCPS reserves the right to make any additional modifications to the IMPACT system during the school year. DCPS will provide notice of any such modifications prior to their implementation. (For the purposes above, “assessments” refers to observations, conferences, holistic reviews, data, and other means of measuring performance.)
**What do these ratings mean?**

**Highly Effective:** This rating signifies outstanding performance. Members of the Washington Teachers’ Union (WTU) who earn this rating will be eligible for additional compensation under the WTU contract.

**Effective:** This rating signifies solid performance. Individuals who earn this rating will progress normally on their pay scales.

**Minimally Effective:** This rating signifies performance that is below expectations. Individuals who receive this rating have another year to take advantage of the professional development opportunities provided by DCPS. Such individuals will be held at their current salary step until they earn a rating of Effective or higher. Individuals who receive a rating of Minimally Effective for two consecutive years will be subject to separation from the school system.

**Ineffective:** This rating signifies unacceptable performance. Individuals who receive this rating will be subject to separation from the school system.

**If I have a concern about my rating, what should I do?**

If you ever have a concern, we encourage you to contact the IMPACT team at 202-719-6553 or impactdcps@dc.gov.
IMPACTplus

What is IMPACTplus?

IMPACT plus is the performance-based compensation system for Washington Teachers’ Union (WTU) members.

Why does DCPS have a performance-based pay system?

DCPS and the WTU agreed in the most recent teachers’ contract to develop and implement a performance-based pay system because we felt it was essential to demonstrate — in the boldest way possible — how much we value the work you do. IMPACT plus is the product of this groundbreaking collaboration. We are proud that outstanding DCPS educators are now being paid what they deserve. In fact, some have seen their compensation more than double. We recognize that you did not choose to enter the field of education for monetary reasons. But we also recognize that you deserve to be compensated as true professionals.

Who created IMPACTplus?

As noted above, DCPS and the WTU collaboratively developed the system. As part of this process, we examined compensation models from around the country.

Who is eligible for IMPACTplus?

Any WTU member who earns an IMPACT rating of Highly Effective is eligible.

How do I know if I am a WTU member?

All teachers, instructional coaches, mentor teachers, librarians, counselors, related service providers, and a handful of other educators are part of the WTU. If you are not sure about your status, please contact the WTU at 202-293-8600.

How will I know if I receive a Highly Effective rating?

You can find out by logging into the IMPACT database at impactdcps.dc.gov. If you need assistance logging in, please contact the IMPACT team at 202-719-6553 or impactdcps@dc.gov.

Do I need to be a “full” union member to be eligible for IMPACTplus, or is “agency fee” status enough?

You only need “agency fee” status to be eligible for IMPACTplus. To learn more about this status, please contact the WTU at 202-293-8600.

How much can I earn under IMPACTplus?

Depending upon which IMPACT group you are in, and depending upon other factors like the free and reduced-price lunch rate of your school, you can earn over $130,000 annually.

How does IMPACTplus compare with the previous compensation system?

Under the previous contract, the starting salary was $42,369 and it took 21 years to achieve the maximum salary of $87,584. Under IMPACT plus, a Highly Effective teacher has the potential to earn $76,539 in her/his first year, and can achieve the maximum salary of $131,540 in just nine years.
How does it work?

For teachers, IMPACTplus has two parts: an annual bonus and an increase in base salary.

---

**PART 1: ANNUAL BONUS**

**How does the annual bonus work?**

As noted in the introduction, to qualify for IMPACTplus, you must have an IMPACT rating of Highly Effective. With this rating, you will be eligible for an annual bonus according to the chart below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YOUR IMPACT RATING</th>
<th>YOUR SCHOOL’S FREE AND REDUCED-PRICE LUNCH RATE</th>
<th>YOUR BONUS</th>
<th>YOUR ADD-ON IF YOU ARE IN IMPACT GROUP 1</th>
<th>YOUR ADD-ON IF YOU TEACH A “HIGH-NEED” SUBJECT</th>
<th>YOUR TOTAL POSSIBLE ANNUAL BONUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective</td>
<td>60% or Higher</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Additional $10,000</td>
<td>Additional $5,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>59% or Lower</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Additional $5,000</td>
<td>Additional $2,500</td>
<td>$12,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How do I know what my school’s free and reduced-price lunch rate is?

Each school’s rate is listed on the DCPS website at dcps.dc.gov/DCPS/impactplus. If you work at more than one school, we will use the average of your schools’ rates.

Why do teachers in schools with high free and reduced-price lunch rates receive higher bonuses?

One of the goals of IMPACTplus is to help our highest-poverty schools attract and retain outstanding educators. This is why we are offering higher bonuses to the individuals who serve in these schools.

Why do teachers in Group 1 receive a special add-on?

Teachers in Group 1 are unique in that 50% of their IMPACT assessment comes from student growth data. Given the challenges associated with such a rigorous measure, we felt it was appropriate to recognize the most effective Group 1 educators with higher bonuses.

How do I know if I am in IMPACT Group 1?

If you are not sure, please log into the IMPACT database at impactdcps.dc.gov. If you need assistance logging in, please contact the IMPACT team at 202-719-6553 or impactdcps@dc.gov.
Why do teachers of “high-need” subjects receive a special add-on?

“High-need” subjects like special education and secondary math are typically hard to staff. The add-on will help us attract and retain outstanding educators in these key areas.

How do I know if I teach a “high-need” subject?

For the 2011–12 school year, the following subjects qualify: special education, English as a Second Language (ESL), bilingual education, secondary math, and secondary science. If you are not sure if your subject qualifies, please visit the DCPS website at dcps.dc.gov/DCPS/impactplus.

If I teach multiple subjects, only one of which is “high-need,” do I still qualify for the add-on?

Yes.

Can I receive the add-on for teaching a “high-need” subject even if I am not in Group 1?

Yes.

Can I receive the add-on for being in Group 1 even if I do not teach a “high-need” subject?

Yes.

If I retire at the end of the 2011–12 school year, will I be eligible for the bonus?

Yes.

Will the bonus count towards my pension calculation?

No.

If I resign at the end of the 2011–12 school year, will I be eligible for the bonus?

No.

If I am separated from the school system for disciplinary reasons, will I be eligible for the bonus?

No.

If I am employed by DCPS for only part of the school year, will I receive the full bonus?

No. Assuming you are employed by DCPS (or are a new retiree) at the time of the bonus distribution, your bonus will be prorated according to the number of full months you worked during the school year in which you earned the Highly Effective rating.
Are there any conditions attached to accepting the bonus?
Yes. After accepting the bonus, you will no longer have access to the “extra year” or buyout options if you are excessed in the future and cannot find a placement at another school. To learn more about these options, please consult the WTU contract (Section 4.5.5) at www.wtulocal6.org/contract/.

Am I required to accept the bonus?
No. If you would prefer not to give up the “extra year” or buyout options related to excessing, you may forgo the bonus.

How will I communicate to DCPS whether I want to accept the bonus?
Once final IMPACT reports are available, you will submit your decision by logging into the IMPACT database at http://impactdcps.dc.gov. DCPS will provide more details at that time.

When will I receive my bonus?
All bonuses will be paid by the end of the calendar year in which they are earned.

Will the bonus be subject to District of Columbia and federal income taxes?
Yes.

If I earn a Highly Effective rating again next school year (2012–13), will I be eligible for another bonus?
Yes. You will be eligible every year that you earn a Highly Effective rating.

If I have additional questions about the annual bonus, whom should I contact?
Please contact the IMPACT team at 202-719-6553 or impactdcps@dc.gov.
PART 2: INCREASE IN BASE SALARY

How does the increase in base salary work?

If you earn a Highly Effective rating two years in a row, you will be eligible for an increase in your base salary.

How will it work?

We will increase your base salary in two ways. First, we will move you to the master’s degree salary band if you are not already there. Second, we will grant you a service credit, meaning we will pay you as if you had additional years in the system. The size of the service credit will depend upon the free and reduced-price lunch rate of your school (see below).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YOUR IMPACT RATING FOR TWO CONSECUTIVE YEARS</th>
<th>YOUR SCHOOL’S FREE AND REDUCED-PRICE LUNCH RATE</th>
<th>YOUR SERVICE CREDIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective</td>
<td>60% or Higher</td>
<td>5 Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>59% or Lower</td>
<td>3 Years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An example might be helpful here. Let us suppose it is the end of the 2011–12 school year and you just earned your second consecutive Highly Effective rating in a high-poverty school. Let us also suppose that you just finished your seventh year of teaching. For the 2012–13 school year — your eighth year of teaching — we would actually pay you as if you were in your twelfth year (7 years + 5 years of credit).
Will the service credit count for retirement eligibility?

No. Your retirement eligibility will still depend on the actual number of years you have worked in the school system.

If I earned a Highly Effective rating last school year (2010–11), am I halfway to qualifying for the increase in base salary?

Yes. If you earn a Highly Effective rating again during the 2011–12 school year, you will qualify for the increase in base salary.

To earn the five-year service credit, do both of my Highly Effective ratings need to be in schools with a free or reduced-price lunch rate above 60%?

Yes. If one of your Highly Effective ratings is earned in a low-poverty school, you will only be eligible for the three-year service credit.

Are there any conditions attached to accepting the increase in base salary?

Yes. After accepting the increase, you will no longer have access to the “extra year” or buyout options if you are excessed in the future and cannot find a placement at another school. To learn more about these options, please consult the WTU contract (Section 4.5.5) at www.wtulocal6.org/contract/.

Am I required to accept the increase in base salary?

No. If you would prefer not to give up the “extra year” or buyout options related to excessing, you may forgo the increase in base salary.

What happens when I reach the highest salary on the master’s degree band?

If you continue to earn Highly Effective ratings, we will move you to the highest salary on the PhD band.

If I have additional questions about the increase in base salary, whom should I contact?

Please contact the IMPACT team at 202-719-6553 or impactdcps@dc.gov.
This system is called “IMPACT” because you, the adults serving in our schools, have the ability to make a dramatic, positive impact on our students’ lives. You are the most important lever of change in our school system.

Thanks to your tireless efforts, we have made great progress over the past couple of years — but we still have a long way to go. Together, we must remain committed to our vision of this district as the highest performing in the nation, challenging ourselves to seek every opportunity for reflection, collaboration, and improvement.

While our expectations are incredibly high, they are not unreachable. Our students deserve nothing less.
In accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and the D.C. Human Rights Act of 1977, as amended, District of Columbia Official Code Section 2-1401.01 et seq. (Act), the District of Columbia Public Schools (DCPS) does not discriminate (including employment therein and admission thereto) on the basis of actual or perceived race, color, religion, national origin, sex, age, marital status, personal appearance, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, family status, family responsibilities, matriculation, political affiliation, genetic information, disability, source of income, status as a victim of an interfamily offense, or place of residence or business. Sexual harassment is a form of sex discrimination, which is prohibited by the Act. In addition, harassment based on any of the above-protected categories is prohibited. Discrimination in violation of the aforementioned laws will not be tolerated. Violators will be subject to disciplinary action.

This project is funded in part by the DC Public Education Fund (www.dceducationfund.org), which works to dramatically improve student achievement in the District of Columbia by serving as a strategic partner to businesses, foundations, community leaders, and individual donors in supporting and investing in high-impact programs with the District of Columbia Public Schools.
Our schools must be caring and supportive environments. • Our decisions at all levels must be guided by robust data.

• All children, regardless of background or circumstance, can achieve at the highest levels. • It is critical to engage our students’ families and communities as valued partners. • Achievement is a function of effort, not innate ability. • We have the power and responsibility to close the achievement gap. • Our schools must be caring and supportive environments.
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