
Georgia

Are New Teachers Being Prepared for College- and Career-Readiness Standards?



Acknowledgments

STATES

State education agencies remain our most important partners in this effort, and their gracious cooperation has helped to ensure the factual accuracy of the final product. Although this year's edition did not require the extensive review that comprehensive editions require, we still wanted to make sure that we captured all relevant policy changes and that states' perspectives were represented. Every state formally received a draft of the policy updates we identified in June 2014 as well as a draft of analyses and recommendations for the new indicators related to college- and career-readiness standards for comment and correction. States also received a final draft of their reports a month prior to release. All but two states responded to our inquiries. While states do not always agree with our recommendations, their willingness to engage in dialogue, explain their differing points of view and often acknowledge the imperfections of their teacher policies are important steps in moving forward.

FUNDERS

The primary funders for the 2014 Yearbook were:

- Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation
- Carnegie Corporation of New York
- Gleason Family Foundation
- J.A. and Kathryn Albertson Foundation
- The Joyce Foundation
- The Walton Family Foundation

The National Council on Teacher Quality does not accept any direct funding from the federal government.

STAFF

Sandi Jacobs, *Project Director*Kathryn M. Doherty, *Special Contributor*Kelli Lakis, *Lead Researcher*Phil Lasser and Lisa N. Staresina, *Researchers*

Special thanks to Leigh Zimnisky and Justin Rakowski at Ironmark for their design of the 2014 *Yearbook*. Thanks also to Colleen Hale and Jeff Hale at EFA Solutions for the original *Yearbook* design and ongoing technical support.



Teacher Preparation Policy Priorities for Georgia

Prepare all teachers to meet the instructional shifts of college- and career-readiness standards for students.

- Strengthen preparation requirements to ensure teacher candidates have the ability to address the use of
 informational texts as well as incorporate complex informational texts into classroom instruction.
 Priority for elementary, middle, secondary and special education teacher preparation.
- Through testing frameworks or teacher standards, include literacy skills and using text to build content knowledge in history/social studies, science, technical subjects and the arts.

 Priority for elementary, middle, secondary and special education teacher preparation.
- Ensure teachers are prepared to intervene and support students who are struggling with reading. Priority for secondary and special education teacher preparation.

Additional priorities for elementary teacher preparation:

- Require all elementary teacher candidates to pass a rigorous content test that assesses knowledge of all
 core subjects, including mathematics, and requires a meaningful passing score for each area.
- Require a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.
- Require a content specialization in an academic subject area.

Additional priorities for secondary teacher preparation:

• Require secondary science teachers to pass a content test for each discipline they are licensed to teach.

Additional priorities for special education teacher preparation:

- Eliminate the K-12 special education certificate, and require licenses that differentiate between preparation of elementary and secondary teacher candidates.
- Require elementary special education candidates to pass a rigorous content test as a condition of initial licensure, as well as a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.
- Ensure secondary special education teachers possess adequate content knowledge for the grades and subjects they teach.

Hold preparation programs accountable:

- Set minimum standards for program performance with consequences for failure to meet those standards.
- Publicly report performance data.

Teacher Preparation in Georgia


The 2014 State Teacher Policy Yearbook keeps the spotlight on the critical issue of teacher preparation. In addition to updating the full set of teacher preparation policies reviewed in last year's comprehensive edition, the 2014 Yearbook casts a critical eye on whether states have established requirements for teacher preparation and licensure that help to ensure that teachers are ready for the increased demands of states' college- and career-readiness standards for K-12 students.

Current Status of Georgia Teacher Prep Policy



Prior Grades: C+ 2013 | C 2012 | C 2011

Yearbook Goal	Торіс	2014 Score	2013 Score
1-A	Admission into Preparation Programs	•	
1-B	Elementary Teacher Preparation	•	
1-C	Elementary Teacher Preparation in Reading Instruction	•	
1-D	Elementary Teacher Preparation in Mathematics	•	
1-E	Middle School Teacher Preparation		
1-F	Secondary Teacher Preparation		
1-G	Secondary Teacher Preparation in Science		
1-H	Special Education Teacher Preparation		
1-I	Assessing Professional Knowledge		0
1-J	Student Teaching	•	
1-K	Teacher Preparation Program Accountability	•	•



2014 Teacher Prep Policy Update for Georgia

Based on a review of state legislation, rules and regulations, NCTQ has identified the following recent teacher prep policy changes in Georgia:

Admission into Teacher Preparation

Georgia now requires an average admitted cohort GPA of 3.0 or higher for each provider. The Program Admission Assessment is now also required for admission to graduate-level programs.

GaPSC Rule 505-3-.01

Teacher Preparation Program Accountability

Georgia has approved a new Preparation Program Effectiveness Measures system for implementation in 2015-2016. It will include student growth measures, program performance measures, and content knowledge assessments, among other improvements. Cut-scores and consequences have yet to be defined.

GaPSC Rule 505-3-.01

Preparation Program Effectiveness Measures http://www.gapsc.com/GaEducationReform/PPEMs/PPEMs.aspx

Georgia Response to Policy Update

States were asked to review NCTQ's identified updates and also to comment on policy changes related to teacher preparation that have occurred in the last year, pending changes, or teacher preparation in the state more generally.

Georgia indicated that once its new accountability system is fully operational, appropriate preparation program accountability reports will be developed for specific audiences, including, but not limited to, the general public, policymakers and program providers.

gure A				
elivering well-				
repared teachers	2014 GRADE	2013 GRADE	2012 GRADE	2011 GRADE
Alabama	B-	В	B-	С
Alaska	F	F	F	F
Arizona	D	D-	D-	D-
Arkansas	C+	C+	C	C
California	D+	D+	D	D
Colorado	D-	D-	D	D-
Connecticut	B-	B-	C+	C-
Delaware	B-	C+	D-	D-
District of Columbia	C-	D+	D	D
Florida	B+	B+	B-	B-
GEORGIA	C+	C+	С	С
Hawaii	D-	F	D	D
Idaho	D+	D+	D	D
Illinois	D+	D+	D	D
Indiana	B+	B+	B-	C+
lowa	D+	D+	D	D
Kansas	D+	D+	D+	D+
Kentucky	B-	B-	C+	C-
Louisiana	С	C-	С	С
Maine	D+	D+	D+	D
Maryland	D+	D+	D+	D+
Massachusetts	B-	B-	C+	C+
Michigan	D+	D	D+	D+
Minnesota	C+	C+	C+	С
Mississippi	C	C-	С	С
Missouri	B-	C-	D+	D+
Montana	F	F	F	F
Nebraska	D-	F	D-	D-
Nevada	D-	D-	D-	D-
New Hampshire	C-	C-	C-	D
New Jersey	B-	B-	C-	D+
New Mexico	D+	D	D+	D+
New York	В	B-	C-	D+
North Carolina	C+	C+	D-	D-
North Dakota	D.	D	D	D
Ohio	C	С	C-	D+
Oklahoma	С	С	С	С
Oregon	D+	D	D-	D-
Pennsylvania	С	С	С	С
Rhode Island	B+	B+	С	D+
South Carolina	C+	C	C-	C-
South Dakota	D	D-	D	D
Tennessee	B-	B-	B-	B-
Texas	В	В	C+	C+
Utah	C-	D+	D	D
Vermont	C	C C	C-	D+
	B-	C+	C-	C-
Virginia	D+	D+	D+	D+
Washington West Virginia		C+		
West Virginia	C+		C-	C- D
		C-	D+	U
Wisconsin Wyoming	D-	F	F	F

Figure B	
Delivering well-	
prepared teachers	2014 GRADE
Florida	B+
Indiana	B+
Rhode Island	B+
New York	В
Texas	В
Alabama	B-
Connecticut	B-
Delaware	B-
Kentucky	B-
Massachusetts	B-
Missouri	B-
New Jersey	B-
Tennessee	B-
Virginia	B-
Arkansas	C+
GEORGIA	C+
Minnesota	C+
North Carolina	C+
South Carolina	C+
West Virginia	C+
Louisiana	С
Mississippi	С
Ohio	С
Oklahoma	С
Pennsylvania	С
Vermont	C
Wisconsin District of Columbia	C-
New Hampshire	C-
Utah	C-
California	D+
Idaho	D+
Illinois	D+
lowa	D+
Kansas	D+
Maine	D+
Maryland	D+
Michigan	D+
New Mexico	D+
Oregon	D+
Washington	D+
Arizona	D
North Dakota	D
South Dakota	D
Colorado	D-
Hawaii	D-
Nebraska	D-
Nevada	D-
Wyoming	D-
Alaska	F
Montana	F
Average State Grade	С

Elementary Teacher Preparation

Key Components

(The factors considered in determining the states' ratings for this topic.)

- The state should ensure that all elementary teachers are sufficiently prepared for the ways that college- and career-readiness standards affect instruction of all subject areas. Specifically,
 - A. The state should require that all new elementary teachers are prepared to incorporate complex texts and academic language into instruction.
 - B. The state should ensure that all new elementary teachers are prepared to incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.
 - C. The state should ensure that all new elementary teachers of English language arts are prepared to support struggling readers.
- 2. The state should require that new elementary teachers, including those who can teach elementary grades on an early childhood license, pass a rigorous test of reading instruction in order to attain licensure.
- 3. The state should ensure that all elementary teacher candidates, including those who can teach elementary grades on an early childhood license, possess sufficient content knowledge in all core subjects, including mathematics.
- 4. The state should require that its approved teacher preparation programs deliver a comprehensive program of study in broad liberal arts coursework. An adequate curriculum is likely to require approximately 45 credit hours to ensure appropriate depth in the core subject areas of English, mathematics, science, social studies and fine arts.
- 5. The state should require elementary teacher candidates to complete a content specialization in an academic subject area. In addition to enhancing content knowledge, this requirement ensures that prospective teachers have taken higher-level academic coursework.



Elementary Teacher Prep Analysis: Georgia

PREPARING ELEMENTARY TEACHERS FOR COLLEGE- AND **CAREER-READINESS STANDARDS**

The new demands of college- and career-readiness standards for students heighten the need for elementary teachers to have a strong content background in all of the subject matter taught in the elementary grades. Georgia, like most states, has adopted such standards and must ensure that its preparation and licensure requirements for new teachers address this need.

Currently, Georgia offers a license to teach grades PreK-5. Key licensing requirements for elementary school teachers in Georgia include:



GEORGIA **ELEMENTARY TEACHER PREP SNAPSHOT**



X State requires passing a content test in each of the four core subjects.



State requires adequate test on the science of reading.



State requires academic content specialization.



N/A State has adequate/appropriate requirements for teachers who teach elementary grades on an early childhood license.



Yes



In addition to the strong content background called for by collegeand career-readiness standards, teacher candidates must also be prepared for the key instructional shifts that differentiate these standards from their predecessors. Elementary teachers in Georgia are required to pass the Georgia Assessments for the Certification of Educators (GACE) general elementary content test. According to the testing framework, teachers must know ways to "promote students' comprehension of informational text and literature and to integrate knowledge and ideas."

Georgia's reading standards for elementary teachers require the following:

- Candidates plan with other teachers and personnel in designing, adjusting and modifying the curriculum to meet students' needs in traditional print, digital and online contexts
- · Candidates differentiate instructional approaches to meet students' reading and writing needs in all content areas
- Candidates use a wide range of texts (e.g., narrative, expository and poetry) from traditional print, digital and online resources.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Ensure that elementary teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and careerreadiness standards for students.

Incorporate informational text of increasing complexity into classroom instruction.

Neither the framework for Georgia's elementary content test nor the state's reading standards for teachers adequately capture the major instructional shifts of college- and career-readiness standards. The state should therefore ensure that all elementary and early childhood candidates have the ability to not only build content knowledge and vocabulary through careful reading of informational and literary texts, but also to challenge students with texts of increasing complexity.

Incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.

To ensure that elementary students are capable of accessing varied information about the world around them, Georgia should also—either through testing frameworks or teacher standards—include literacy skills and using text to build content knowledge in history/social studies, science, technical subjects and the arts.

Require a content test that ensures sufficient knowledge in all subjects, including mathematics.

Georgia should ensure that its elementary content test is appropriately aligned with its college- and career-readiness standards. The state should require separate, meaningful passing scores for each core subject covered on the test, including reading/ language arts, math, science and social studies. A candidate may achieve a passing score and still be seriously deficient in a particular subject area. Mathematics content in particular should be assessed with a rigorous assessment tool, such as the test required in Massachusetts, that evaluates mathematics knowledge beyond an eleGeorgia's elementary content test indirectly addresses struggling readers by requiring that a teacher "knows how to apply developmentally appropriate pedagogical practices for planning curriculum, designing instruction, and evaluating student progress in reading and language arts."

The state's reading standards require the following:

- Candidates select or develop appropriate assessment tools to monitor student progress and to analyze instructional effectiveness
- Candidates collaborate with other teachers and personnel to discuss interpretation of assessment data and their uses in responding to student needs and strengths
- Candidates use assessment data to evaluate students' responses to instruction and to develop relevant next steps for teaching
- Candidates collaborate with other professionals to modify instruction and to plan and evaluate interventions based on assessment data.

Supporting Research

GACE

gace.ets.org

Georgia Rules 505-3-.13; .14; .16; 505-3-.75; 505-2-.55

GEORGIA RESPONSE TO ANALYSIS

Georgia recognized the factual accuracy of this analysis.

RECOMMENDATIONS CONTINUED

mentary school level and challenges candidates' understanding of underlying mathematics concepts.

Require teacher candidates to pass a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.

Georgia should require a rigorous reading assessment tool to ensure that its elementary teacher candidates are adequately prepared in the science of reading instruction before entering the classroom. The state is on the right track in assessing elementary teachers' knowledge of the science of reading. However, to clearly test knowledge and skills related to the science of reading, the test must not only adequately address the five instructional components of scientifically based reading instruction—phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary and comprehension—but also it should report a subscore for the science of reading specifically. Elementary teachers who do not possess the minimum knowledge in this area should not be eligible for licensure.

 Require elementary teacher candidates to complete a content specialization in an academic subject area.

In addition to enhancing content knowledge, this requirement would ensure that prospective teachers in Georgia take higher-level academic coursework. The requirement also provides an important safeguard in the event that candidates are unable to successfully complete clinical practice requirements. With an academic concentration (or better still a major or minor), candidates who are not ready for the classroom and do not pass student teaching can still be on track to complete a degree.

Figure 1	oared	MCORPORATIONAL TEXT	SUPPORTING STRUGGING
Are states ensuring that new		₫ / ;	
elementary teachers are prep	pared .	Z / ₹	25/25
for the instructional shifts	,Õ	\$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	^Q / ^N
associated with college- and	, <u>*</u>		186
career-readiness standards?	0	\ \Q\z\	19 J.
career-readiliess staridards:	Š /	< 3°	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
Alabama			
Alaska			
Arizona			
Arkansas			
California			
Colorado			
Connecticut			
Delaware			
District of Columbia			
Florida			
GEORGIA			
Hawaii			
Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana			
Iowa			
Kansas			
Kentucky			
Louisiana			
Maine			
Maryland			
Massachusetts			
Michigan			
Minnesota			
Mississippi			
Missouri			
Montana			
Nebraska			
Nevada			
New Hampshire			
New Jersey New Mexico			
New York			
North Carolina			
North Dakota			
Ohio			
Oklahoma			
Oregon			
Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina			
South Dakota			
Tennessee			
Texas			
Utah			
Vermont			
Virginia			
Washington			
West Virginia			
Wisconsin			
Wyoming			

SUMMARY OF ELEMENTARY TEACHER PREP FIGURES

■ Figure 1

Requirements for instructional shifts associated with college- and career-readiness standards

- Figure 2

 Content test requirements
- **Figure 3**Specific subject-matter requirements
- **Figure 4**Science of reading requirements
- Figure 5

 Math requirements
- Figure 6
 Requirements for academic concentrations
- Figure 7

 Requirements for early childhood teachers
- Figure 8

 Teacher Prep Review findings about elementary teacher prep

Figure 2	,	Lementary Content test	Elementary content	ta /
Do states ensure that		\$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		r te
elementary teachers				No test required
_	200	2 \ 8 9		oite Puir
know core content?	Z E E		g	
	RESE	67.6 15.56 10.77	, Los 1	/ fes
·	ataõ	15 Ki E	E E E	/ >
Alabama		П		
Alaska		П		1
Arizona				
Arkansas				П
California	$\overline{}$			- i
Colorado				П
Connecticut		$\overline{\Box}$	$\overline{}$	
Delaware				
District of Columbia				- i
Florida				
GEORGIA	$\overline{}$			- n
Hawaii				
Idaho				
Illinois		П		
Indiana				
lowa				
Kansas	-	П		- i
Kentucky			_	
Louisiana	$\overline{}$			
Maine				
Maryland	_			
Massachusetts			2	
Michigan				
Minnesota			_	
Mississippi	-	ī		- i
Missouri		П	ī	ī
Montana	$\overline{}$	n		
Nebraska		П		ī
Nevada		Ē		- i
New Hampshire		П	$\overline{\Box}$	П
New Jersey				
New Mexico				
New York				
North Carolina			2	
North Dakota				
Ohio				3
Oklahoma				
Oregon				
Pennsylvania				
Rhode Island				
South Carolina				
South Dakota				
Tennessee				
Texas				
Utah				
Vermont				
Virginia				
Washington				
West Virginia				
Wisconsin	П			
Wyoming			Ē	- i
	21	9	17	4
	41	9	17	4



EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE

Both Arkansas and California ensure that elementary teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of collegeand career-readiness standards for students. These states specify that elementary teacher candidates must have the ability to not only build content knowledge and vocabulary through careful reading of informational and literary texts, but also to challenge students with texts of increasing complexity.

Candidates are also required to incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject and are prepared to intervene and support students who are struggling.

In addition, Indiana ensures that all candidates licensed to teach the elementary grades, including early childhood education candidates, possess the requisite knowledge of core content and of the key elements of scientifically based reading instruction before entering the classroom. Elementary and early childhood teacher candidates are required to pass a content test comprised of four independently scored subtests, including mathematics. In addition, these candidates are required to pass a comprehensive assessment that tests the five elements of scientifically based reading instruction: phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary and comprehension. Elementary teacher candidates in Indiana must also earn either a major or minor in an academic content area.


Massachusetts's MTEL mathematics subtest continues to set the standard in this area by evaluating mathematics knowledge beyond an elementary school level and challenging candidates' understanding of underlying mathematics concepts.

- 1. Alaska does not require testing for initial licensure.
- 2. Massachusetts and North Carolina require a general curriculum test that does not report scores for each elementary subject. A separate score is reported for math.
- 3. Only teachers of grades 4 and 5 are required to pass a content test in Ohio.

	General Physical Science Biology/Life Science American L	American History / American Government World History (Ancient) World History (Modern) (Non-Western) Geography	Art Hissony Music
	General Physical Sc. Earth Science Biology/Life Science American	merican History merican Govern, ond History (An, Vond History (Mo, on-Wastern) ************************************	, \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
	Ceneral Physic Earth Science Biology/Life S,	merican Hiss merican Cov Ond History Vond History On-Western 2087 aphy	istory (
	General PH Farth Scie Biology/Li	merican nerican ordo Hiss Vorid His On-Wes	
	General Earth, Biology Amen		
		141412121616	Art Hisa Music
A —	* * *	* * *	
	* * *		
* * *	* * *	* * * * *	
		★ ★ ★ □ □ ■	
*			
		→ → □ □ □ →	
*		* * *	
* • • •	* * * ·		
* • ·	* * * •		
★ ★ □ □	* * * □		
	* * *		
X X D D D D	* * *		
	* * * *		□ ■
→ □ □ □			
★ □ ■ ■			
★ ■ □ □	* * * •		
*	* * * *	* * * * * *	
* 0 0 *	* * * *		
* • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	□ ★ ★ ★	* * * -	
→ □ □ ★	* * *		
* • • •	* * * *	→ → → →	* *
* 0 0 *		* * * 0 0	
★ ■ □ ★			
*	* * * *	* * * * *	
F 3			
F 3			
	★ ■ □ ★		

Figure 4


Do states measure new elementary teachers' knowledge of the science of reading?



- Strong Practice: Alabama, California⁴, Connecticut, Florida, Indiana, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Mississippi, New Hampshire, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina⁵, Ohio, Oklahoma, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin
- Arizona, Arkansas, Delaware, District of Columbia, Georgia, Idaho, Kentucky, Maine, Missouri, New Jersey, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, Wyoming
- 3. Alaska, Colorado, Hawaii, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, North Dakota, South Dakota
- California allows an exemption from the state's reading test for teachers who already have a single subject credential.
- 5. Teachers have until their second year to pass the reading test.


Figure 6

Do states expect elementary teachers to complete an academic concentration?




- 1. Strong Practice: Colorado, Massachusetts, New Mexico
- 2. Strong Practice: Indiana, Mississippi, Oklahoma
- California, Connecticut, Iowa, Maryland, Michigan, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia
- These states require a major, minor or concentration but there is no assurance it will be in an academic subject area.
- 4. Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire⁵, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Utah, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming
- Only K-8 teachers must complete an area of concentration in a field such as humanities, fine arts, social sciences and sciences.

Do states measure new elementary teachers' knowledge of math?



- Strong Practice: Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Idaho, Indiana, Kentucky, Maine, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wyoming
- Arizona, California, Colorado, Georgia, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Mississippi, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, Washington, Wisconsin
- 3. Alaska⁴, Hawaii, Montana, Ohio⁵
- 4. Testing is not required for initial licensure.
- 5. Only teachers of grades 4 and 5 are required to pass an adequate content test.






Figure 7

- 1. These states do not offer a standalone early childhood certification that includes elementary grades or the state's early childhood certification is the de facto license to teach elementary grades.
- Early childhood candidates may pass either multiple subjects (subscores) or content knowledge (no subscores) test.

Middle School Teacher Preparation

Key Components

(The factors considered in determining the states' ratings for this topic.)

- The state should ensure that all middle school teachers are sufficiently prepared for the ways that college- and career-readiness English language arts standards affect instruction of all subject areas. Specifically,
 - A. The state should require that all new middle school teachers are prepared to incorporate complex texts and academic language into instruction.
 - B. The state should ensure that all new middle school teachers are prepared to incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.
 - C. The state should ensure that all new middle school teachers of English language arts are prepared to support struggling readers.
- 2. The state should require that new middle school teachers pass a licensing test in every core academic area they are licensed to teach.
- 3. The state should not permit middle school teachers to teach on a generalist license that does not differentiate between the preparation of middle school teachers and that of elementary teachers.



How well are states ensuring that middle school teachers are prepared for college- and career-readiness standards?

- Alaska, Arizona, California, Hawaii,
 Idaho, Maine, Michigan, Montana,
 Nebraska, New Mexico, Oklahoma,
 Oregon, South Dakota, Utah,
 Washington, Wyoming
- Colorado, Massachusetts,
 Nevada, North Dakota, Wisconsin
 - Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware,
 District of Columbia, Iowa, Kansas,
 Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland,
 Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri,
 New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York,
 North Carolina, Ohio, Rhode Island,
 South Carolina, Vermont, Virginia,
 West Virginia
- Florida, GEORGIA, Illinois, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas
- Arkansas, Indiana

Middle School Teacher Prep Analysis: Georgia

PREPARING MIDDLE SCHOOL TEACHERS FOR COLLEGE-AND CAREER-READINESS STANDARDS

The middle school years are critical to students' education, but, too often, states fail to distinguish the knowledge and skills needed by middle school teachers from those needed by an elementary teacher. Middle school teachers should not only be prepared to teach grade-level content, but should also be prepared to meet the increased instructional requirements of college- and career-readiness standards for students.

Currently, Georgia offers a middle school license to teach grades 4-8. Key licensing requirements for middle school teachers in Georgia include:



GEORGIA MIDDLE SCHOOL TEACHER PREP SNAPSHOT



State requires teachers to pass a content test for each subject they teach.



State requires middle school teachers to hold a middle grade or secondary license.



Yes



No

Preparation and licensure requirements for middle school teachers must address more than just content knowledge; the key instructional shifts articulated in college- and career-readiness standards for students must also be incorporated. Georgia's assessment for middle school language arts teachers, the GACE Middle Grades Language Arts test, includes some of the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with these standards.

The GACE assessment for middle school reading certification requires that a teacher "demonstrates knowledge of selecting diverse literary and informational materials in a variety of genres at varying text complexity levels from traditional print, digital, and online resources.

Middle grades tests in other content areas do not address incorporating literacy skills. Standards for all middle school teachers require candidates to do the following:

- Use knowledge of adolescent literacy development
- Apply knowledge of the teaching of reading and writing to adolescents
- Use knowledge of formal and informal literacy assessment strategies in the content areas
- Demonstrate knowledge of how to facilitate all students learning from content area texts.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Ensure that middle school teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and careerreadiness standards for students.

Incorporate informational text of increasing complexity into classroom instruction.

Although Georgia's English language arts content test for middle school teachers addresses informational texts, the state should strengthen its policy and ensure that teachers are able to challenge students with texts of increasing complexity.

Incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.

To ensure that middle school students are capable of accessing varied information about the world around them, Georgia should also—either through testing frameworks or teacher standards—specifically include literacy skills and using text to build content knowledge in history/social studies, science, technical subjects and the arts.

Georgia addresses the needs of struggling readers in its GACE Middle Grades Reading assessment and middle school teacher standards.

Supporting Research

GACE Test Requirement

www.gace.ets.org

Georgia Rules 505-3-.19

GEORGIA RESPONSE TO ANALYSIS

Georgia recognized the factual accuracy of this analysis.

igure 9 Are states ensuring that new niddle school teachers are prepared for the instructional hifts associated with collegend career-readiness standard Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California	,	MCOROBATING ITES	SUPPORTING STUCKS
niddle school teachers are	X		15.
repared for the instructional	Ź	85	
hifts associated with college-	9	/ 85 <u>\$</u>	18 6
nd career-readiness standard	ls? 👸 /	<i>₩</i>	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
Alabama			
Alaska			
Arizona			
Arkansas			
California			
Colorado			
Connecticut			
Delaware			
District of Columbia			
Florida			
GEORGIA			
Hawaii			
Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana			
lowa			
Kansas			
Kentucky			
Louisiana			
Maine			
Maryland			
Massachusetts			
Michigan Minnesota			
Mississippi Missouri			
Montana			
Nebraska			
Nevada			
New Hampshire			
New Jersey			
New Mexico			
New York		- i	
North Carolina			
North Dakota			
Ohio			
Oklahoma			
Oregon			
Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina			
South Dakota			
Tennessee			
Texas			
Utah			
Vermont			
Virginia			
Washington			
West Virginia			
Wisconsin			
Wyoming			

SUMMARY OF MIDDLE SCHOOL TEACHER PREP FIGURES

Figure 9

Requirements for instructional shifts associated with college- and career-readiness standards

■ Figure 10

Distinctions in licenses betweeen middle and elementary teachers

Figure 11

Content test requirements

■ Figure 12

Teacher Prep Review findings about middle school teacher prep

Figure 10	K-8 LICENSE NOT C.	K-8 license offered for	swo
Do states distinguish	0,70	J. C	lassio
middle grade preparation from	SF.	100	40 8
elementary preparation?	Ž.	erse Itair	ense
етететтату ргерагаціот:	K-81/k	K-816 Self-CO	K-8 license offered
Alabama			
Alaska			
Arizona			1
Arkansas			
California		2	
Colorado			
Connecticut			
Delaware			
District of Columbia			
Florida			
GEORGIA			
Hawaii Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana			
lowa			
Kansas			
Kentucky			
Louisiana			
Maine			
Maryland			
Massachusetts			
Michigan	$\overline{}$		
Minnesota			
Mississippi			
Missouri			
Montana			
Nebraska			
Nevada			
New Hampshire			
New Jersey			
New Mexico			
New York			
North Carolina			
North Dakota			1
Ohio			
Oklahoma			3
Oregon			4
Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina			
South Dakota			
Tennessee			
Texas			
Utah			
Vermont			
Virginia			
Washington West Virginia			
West Virginia Wisconsin			1
Wyoming			
vvyoninig			
	32	5	14



EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE

Illinois ensures that middle school teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and career-readiness standards for students. The state's new standards for the middle grades include the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through increasingly complex texts and careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with these standards. The standards also address the needs of struggling readers.

Illinois's requirements connecting literacy to all subject areas are particularly noteworthy. All middle school teachers must understand "the role, perspective and purpose of text in specific disciplines" and be able to perform tasks such as scaffolding reading to allow students to understand and learn from challenging text; guiding reading discussions that require students to identify key ideas and details of a text; analyze craft and structure and critically evaluate the text; and model reading strategies to improve comprehension.

In addition, Georgia, Mississippi, New Jersey and South Carolina ensure that all middle school teacher candidates are adequately prepared to teach middle school-level content. None of these states offers a K-8 generalist license and all require passing scores on subject-specific content tests. Georgia, Mississippi and South Carolina explicitly require at least two content-area minors, and New Jersey requires a content major along with a minor for each additional area of certification.


^{1.} Offers 1-8 license.

 $[\]hbox{2. California offers a K-12 generalist license for all self-contained classrooms.}$

 $^{3.} With \ the \ exception \ of \ mathematics.$

^{4.} Oregon offers 3-8 license.

Figure 11 Do middle school teachers		No test does not to	No, K-8 license r.	No testing
		jot ri	8 / 8	Fig. /
have to pass an appropriate content test in every core				intal I
subject they are licensed		est o		estir.
to teach?	YES \	% 7 % 7 % 7 % 7 % 7 % 7 % 7 % 7 % 7 % 7	\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	\$\\ \phi_{\frac{7}{7}}\\ \pho_{\frac{7}{7}}\\ \phi_{\frac{7}{7}}\\ \phi_{\frac{7}{7}}\\ \phi_
to teach:	7. /	7 %	/ - 6	/ - 2
Alabama			ш	
Alaska				1
Arizona				
Arkansas				
California				2
Colorado				
Connecticut Delaware				
District of Columbia				
Florida				
GEORGIA				
Hawaii				
Idaho	n	Ī	3	$\overline{\Box}$
Illinois				4
Indiana				
lowa				
Kansas				
Kentucky				
Louisiana				
Maine				
Maryland	5			
Massachusetts				
Michigan				
Minnesota				
Mississippi				
Missouri Montana				
Nebraska				
Nevada				
New Hampshire			6	П
New Jersey				
New Mexico				П
New York	7			
North Carolina	8			
North Dakota				
Ohio				
Oklahoma				
Oregon				
Pennsylvania				
Rhode Island				
South Carolina				
South Dakota				
Tennessee				
Texas Utah				
Vermont				
Virginia				
Washington				
West Virginia				
Wisconsin				
Wyoming				



1. Alaska does not require content tests for initial licensure.

this standard.

- 2. Candidates teaching multiple subjects only have to pass the elementary test. Single-subject credential does not require content test.
- 3. For K-8 license, Idaho also requires one single-subject test.
- 4. Illinois requires candidates to take a middle level core content test if a test is available. It is not clear that this will result in teachers passing a test in each subject and draft test frameworks are not yet available for review.
- 5. Maryland allows elementary teachers to teach in departmentalized middle schools if not less than 50 percent of the teaching assignment is within the elementary grades.
- 6. New Hampshire requires K-8 candidates to pass a middle school content test in one core area.
- 7. For nondepartmentalized classrooms, generalist in middle childhood education candidates must pass the new assessment with three subtests.
- 8. Teachers may have until second year to pass tests, if they attempt to pass them during their first year.

Secondary Teacher Preparation

Key Components

(The factors considered in determining the states' ratings for this topic.)

- The state should ensure that all secondary teachers are sufficiently prepared for the ways that collegeand career-readiness English language arts standards affect instruction of all subject areas. Specifically,
 - A. The state should require that all new secondary teachers are prepared to incorporate complex texts and academic language into instruction.
 - B. The state should ensure that all new secondary teachers are prepared to incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.
 - C. The state should ensure that all new secondary teachers of English language arts are prepared to support struggling readers.
- 2. The state should require that secondary teachers pass a licensing test in every subject they are licensed to teach.
- 3. The state should require secondary general science and general social studies teachers to pass a subject-matter test of each discipline they are licensed to teach.
- 4. The state should require that secondary teachers pass a content test when adding subject-area endorsements to an existing license.



Secondary Teacher Prep Analysis: Georgia

PREPARING SECONDARY TEACHERS FOR COLLEGE- AND **CAREER-READINESS STANDARDS**

To be prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and career-readiness standards for their students, secondary teachers must be experts in the subject matter they teach. States should ensure that secondary teachers have sufficient content knowledge in all the subjects they are licensed to teach.

Currently, Georgia offers single-subject secondary licenses to teach grades 6-12. Key licensing requirements for secondary school teachers in Georgia include:



GEORGIA SECONDARY TEACHER PREP SNAPSHOT



State requires a content test to teach any single core subject.



State offers only single-subject science certifications or has appropriate requirements for teachers with general science license.



State offers only single-subject social studies certifications or has appropriate requirements for teachers with general social studies license.



State requires a content test in order to add an endorsement to a license.



Yes



Not only must secondary teachers possess strong backgrounds in content knowledge as required by college- and career-readiness standards, they must also be able to address the key instructional shifts associated with the standards. Georgia requires secondary English teachers to pass the GACE English assessment, which includes some of the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with these new standards.

Neither teacher standards nor secondary tests in other content areas address incorporating literacy skills.

Georgia has no requirements for the preparation of secondary teachers that address struggling readers.

Supporting Research

GACE Tests

gace.ets.org

GEORGIA RESPONSE TO ANALYSIS

Georgia recognized the factual accuracy of this analysis.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Ensure that secondary teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and careerreadiness standards for students.

Incorporate informational text of increasing complexity into classroom instruction.

Although Georgia's required secondary English content test addresses informational texts, the state should strengthen its policy and ensure that teachers are able to challenge students with texts of increasing complexity.

Incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.

To ensure that secondary students are capable of accessing varied information about the world around them, Georgia should also—either through testing frameworks or teacher standards—include literacy skills and using text to build content knowledge in history/social studies, science, technical subjects and the arts.

Support struggling readers.

Georgia should articulate requirements ensuring that secondary teachers are prepared to intervene and support students who are struggling. While college- and career-readiness standards will increase the need for all secondary teachers to be able to help struggling readers to comprehend grade-level material, training for English language arts teachers in particular must emphasize identification and remediation of reading deficiencies.

Require secondary science teachers to pass a content test for each discipline they are licensed to teach.

States that allow general science certifications—and only require a general knowledge science exam—are not ensuring that these secondary teachers possess adequate subject-specific content knowledge. However, although Georgia's testing requirements fall short of ensuring mastery of each science discipline, the fact that candidates have to pass each subtest to pass the overall test means that the state is on the right track.

Figure 13 Are states ensuring that		14/	£ 5 /
new secondary teachers			
are prepared for the	Ž		11/5 C57
instructional shifts associate	ed §	25	
with college-and career-	<u>\$</u>	1000	180
readiness standards?	J USE OF WORM	\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	SUPPORTING STRILL
Alabama			
Alaska			
Arizona			
Arkansas			
California			
Colorado			
Connecticut			
Delaware			
District of Columbia			
Florida			
GEORGIA			
Hawaii			
Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana			
lowa			
Kansas			
Kentucky			
Louisiana			
Maine			
Maryland			
Massachusetts			
Michigan			
Minnesota			
Mississippi Missouri			
Montana			
Nebraska			
Nevada			
New Hampshire			
New Jersey			
New Mexico			
New York			
North Carolina			
North Dakota			
Ohio			
Oklahoma			
Oregon			
Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina			
South Dakota			
Tennessee			
Texas			
Utah			
Vermont			
Virginia			
Washington			
West Virginia			
Wisconsin			
Wyoming			

SUMMARY OF SECONDARY TEACHER PREP FIGURES

■ Figure 13

Requirements for instructional shifts associated with college- and career-readiness standards


- **Figure 14**Content test requirements
- **Figure 15**Requirements for general science teachers
- Figure 16

 Requirements for general social studies teachers
- Figure 17

 Teacher Prep Review findings about secondary teacher prep

Figure 14

Do secondary teachers have to pass a content test in every subject area for licensure?



- 1. Strong Practice: Indiana, Minnesota, Missouri, South Dakota, Tennessee
- 2. Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Nebraska, Newada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina⁴, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin [For more on loopholes, see Figure 15 (science) and Figure 16 (social studies).}
- 3. Alaska⁵, Arizona⁶, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Montana, Washington, Wyoming
- 4. Teachers may have until second year to pass tests, if they attempt to pass them during their first year.
- 5. Alaska does not require content tests for initial licensure.
- 6. Candidates with a master's degree in the subject area do not have to pass a content test.



EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE


Arkansas has done more than other states to ensure that secondary teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and career-readiness standards for students. Not only does the state address the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through increasingly complex informational texts and careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with these new standards in its educator competencies for secondary English language arts teachers, it also requires teachers to incorporate literacy skills into all content areas. For example, the secondary social studies competency to "incorporate disciplinary literacy" states that "reading competencies for literacy in history/social studies for grades 7-12 include the ability to read informational texts in history and social studies closely and critically to analyze the key ideas and details as well as craft and structure with the purpose of integrating knowledge and ideas both within and across texts." A similar competency exists for both the life science and physical science secondary certifications.

Indiana, Minnesota and Tennessee require that all secondary teacher candidates pass a content test to teach any core subject—both as a condition of licensure and to add an additional field to a secondary license. Further, neither of these states offers secondary certification in general social studies or science; all teachers must be certified in a specific discipline.

Also worthy of mention is **Missouri**, which requires general social studies teachers to pass a multi-content test with six independently scored subtests. Missouri also offers a general science license that can only be used to teach general science courses. All other science teachers must be certified in a specific discipline.


Figure 15

Do states ensure that secondary general science teachers have adequate subject-matter knowledge?



- 1. Strong Practice: Florida, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New York, South Dakota, Tennessee, Virginia
- 2. Strong Practice: Missouri, New Jersey, Rhode Island⁵, West Virginia⁵
- 3. California
- 4. Alabama, Alaska, Arizona⁶, Arkansas, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Georgia⁷, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Michigan, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, Wisconsin, Wyoming
- 5. Teachers with the general science license may only teach general science courses.
- Arizona limits teachers with the general science license to teaching only general science courses.However, candidates with a master's degree in the subject area do not have to pass a content test.
- 7. Georgia's science test consists of two subtests.


Figure 16



- 1. Strong Practice: Georgia, Indiana, South Dakota, Tennessee
- 2. Strong Practice: Minnesota⁵, Missouri
- 3. Arizona⁶
- 4. Alabama, Alaska, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma', Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming
- 5. Minnesota's test for general social studies is divided into two individually scored subtests.
- 6. Candidates with a master's degree in the subject area do not have to pass a content test.
- $\hbox{7. Oklahoma offers combination licenses without adequate testing.}\\$



Less than 40 percent of preparation programs ensure that secondary teachers are well prepared in the subjects they will teach.



Undergraduate (n=765) Graduate (n=345)

From NCTQ's 2014 *Teacher Prep Review* Standard 8: High School Content (n=1,110 high school programs). State licensing test requirements are also considered in evaluating this standard.

Special Education Teacher Preparation

Key Components

(The factors considered in determining the states' ratings for this topic.)

- The state should ensure that all special education teachers are sufficiently prepared for the ways that college- and career-readiness English language arts standards affect instruction of all subject areas. Specifically,
 - A. The state should ensure that all new secondary special education teachers are prepared to support struggling readers.
 - B. The state should require that all new secondary special education teachers are prepared to incorporate complex texts and academic language into instruction.
 - C. The state should ensure that all new secondary special education teachers are prepared to incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.
- 2. The state should require that new elementary special education teachers pass a rigorous test of reading instruction in order to attain licensure.
- 3. The state should not permit special education teachers to teach on a K-12 license that does not differentiate between the preparation of elementary teachers and that of secondary teachers.
- 4. All elementary special education candidates should be required to pass a subject-matter test for licensure that is no less rigorous than what is required of general education candidates.
- The state should ensure that secondary special education teachers possess adequate content knowledge.



Special Education Teacher Prep Analysis: Georgia

PREPARING SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHERS FOR **COLLEGE- AND CAREER-READINESS STANDARDS**

Although most special education students are expected to meet the same high college- and career-readiness standards as typical students, too many states set an even lower bar for the preparation and licensure requirements of special education teachers. States must ensure that special education teachers are well grounded in all of the subject matter they will be licensed to teach.

Currently, Georgia offers PreK-12 special certification, in addition to an elementary option for grades PreK-5. Key licensing requirements for special education teachers in Georgia include:



GEORGIA SPECIAL ED TEACHER PREP SNAPSHOT



X State only offers discrete elementary and secondary special education licenses.



Elementary subject-matter test required for special education license.



Secondary test in at least one subject area required for secondary special education license.



Yes



Special education teachers must also be prepared for the key instructional shifts that differentiate college- and career-readiness standards from previous student standards. Regrettably, Georgia's preparation and licensure requirements for special education teachers are not aligned with these new standards.

Georgia does not require its special education teachers who teach the elementary grades to pass a rigorous test of reading instruction. The state also does not require content testing, and teacher standards do not address informational texts or literacy skills.

Georgia has no requirements for the preparation of elementary or secondary special education teachers that address struggling readers.

Supporting Research

Georgia Rules 505-2-.103, -.107, -.108 **GACE Test Requirement**

www.gace.ets.org

GEORGIA RESPONSE TO ANALYSIS

Georgia recognized the factual accuracy of this analysis.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Ensure that special education teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and careerreadiness standards for students.

Require all special education teacher candidates who teach the elementary grades to pass a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.

Georgia should require a rigorous reading assessment tool to ensure that its elementary special education teacher candidates are adequately prepared in the science of reading instruction before entering the classroom. The assessment should clearly test knowledge and skills related to the science of reading and address all five instructional components of scientifically based reading instruction: phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary and comprehension. If the test is combined with an assessment that also tests general pedagogy or elementary content, it should report a subscore for the science of reading specifically. Elementary special education teachers who do not possess the minimum knowledge in this area should not be eligible for licensure.

Incorporate informational text of increasing complexity into classroom instruction.

Either through testing frameworks or teacher standards, Georgia should specifically address the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through increasingly complex informational texts and careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with the state's college- and career-readiness standards for students.

Incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.

To ensure that special education students are capable of accessing varied information about the world around them, Georgia should also include specific requirements regarding literacy skills and using text as a means to build content knowledge in history/social studies, science, technical subjects and the arts.

RECOMMENDATIONS CONTINUED

Support struggling readers

Georgia should articulate requirements ensuring that all special education teachers are prepared to intervene and support students who are struggling with reading. With reading difficulties generally representing the primary reason for special education placements, it is essential that all special education teachers have the knowledge and skills to diagnose and support students with literacy needs.

■ End licensure practices that fail to distinguish between the skills and knowledge needed to teach elementary grades and secondary grades.

It is virtually impossible and certainly impractical for Georgia to ensure that a K-12 special education teacher knows all the subject matter he or she is expected to be able to teach, especially considering state and federal expectations that special education students should meet the same high standards as other students. While the broad K-12 umbrella may be appropriate for teachers of low-incidence special education students, such as those with severe cognitive disabilities, it is deeply problematic for the overwhelming majority of high-incidence special education students, who are expected to learn grade-level content.

Require that elementary special education candidates pass a rigorous content test as a condition of initial licensure.

To ensure that special education teacher candidates who will teach elementary grades possess sufficient knowledge of the subject matter at hand, Georgia should require a rigorous content test that reports separate passing scores for each content area. Georgia should also set these passing scores to reflect high levels of performance. Failure to ensure that teachers possess requisite content knowledge deprives special education students of the opportunity to reach their academic potential.

■ Ensure that secondary special education teachers possess adequate content knowledge.

Secondary special education teachers are frequently generalists who teach many core subject areas. While it may be unreasonable to expect secondary special education teachers to meet the same requirements for each subject they teach as other teachers who teach only one subject, Georgia's current policy of requiring no subject-matter testing is problematic and will not help special education students to meet rigorous learning standards. To provide a middle ground, Georgia should consider a customized HOUSSE route for new secondary special education teachers and look to the flexibility offered by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), which allows for a combination of testing and coursework to demonstrate requisite content knowledge in the classroom.

Figure 18	he W	JAMC 1	SUPPORTING STRUCTS READERS
Are states ensuring that new special	ţ		13/8
education teachers are prepared for t	he .	\$ \ \\ \\ \& \\ \& \\ \& \\ \& \\ \\ \& \\ \\	
instructional shifts associated with co		/ & €	18
and career-readiness standards?	mege- o		133
	<u>Š</u> '	/ \%	\ \sigma \frac{\pi}{2}
Alabama			
Alaska			
Arizona			
Arkansas			
California			
Colorado			
Connecticut			
Delaware			
District of Columbia			
Florida			
GEORGIA			
Hawaii			
Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana			
lowa Kansas			
Kentucky Louisiana			
Maine			
Maryland			
Massachusetts			
Michigan			
Minnesota			
Mississippi			
Missouri			
Montana			
Nebraska			
Nevada			
New Hampshire			
New Jersey			- n
New Mexico			
New York			
North Carolina			
North Dakota			
Ohio			
Oklahoma			
Oregon			
Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina			
South Dakota			
Tennessee			
Texas			
Utah			
Vermont			
Virginia			
Washington			
West Virginia			
Wisconsin			
Wyoming			

SUMMARY OF SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHER PREP FIGURES

Figure 18

Requirements for instructional shifts associated with college- and career-readiness standards

Figure 19

Distinctions in licenses between elementary and secondary teachers

Figure 20

Content test requirements

Figure 21

Science of reading requirements

Figure 22

Teacher Prep Review findings about special education teacher prep



EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE

Although all states have weaknesses when it comes to special education teachers' preparedness to meet the instructional requirements of college- and careerreadiness standards for students, both Indiana and New York are notable for addressing the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through increasingly complex informational texts and careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with these standards.

Unfortunately, states are also weak in other areas of special education teacher preparation. However, three states—Missouri, New York and Rhode Island—are worthy of mention for taking steps in the right direction in ensuring that all special education teachers know the subject matter they are required to teach. These three states require that elementary special education candidates pass the same elementary content tests, which are comprised of individual subtests, as general education elementary teachers.

Secondary special education teachers in New York must pass a multi-subject content test for special education teachers comprised of three separately scored sections. Rhode Island requires its secondary special education teachers to hold certification in another secondary area. Secondary special education teachers in Missouri can either take a multi-subject test comprised of four separately scored sections or a single-subject secondary assessment.

Figure 19	8	Offics K-12 and	tificat
Do states distinguish	OFFE THE		Sonli
between elementary	Q E		
and secondary special	\$\frac{\partial}{2}\frac{\partial}{2}	1 2 de 1 d	, e. s.
education teachers?	27,7	\ & \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \displaystarte{\dis
Alabama			
Alaska			
Arizona			
Arkansas			
California			
Colorado			
Connecticut			
Delaware			
District of Columbia Florida			
GEORGIA			
Hawaii			
Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana			
lowa			
Kansas			
Kentucky			
Louisiana			
Maine			П
Maryland			П
Massachusetts			
Michigan			
Minnesota			
Mississippi			
Missouri	1		
Montana			
Nebraska			
Nevada			
New Hampshire			
New Jersey	1		
New Mexico			
New York			П
North Carolina			
North Dakota			
Ohio			
Oklahoma	1		
Oregon Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina			
South Dakota			
Tennessee			
Texas			
Utah			
Vermont	1		
Virginia			
Washington			
West Virginia			
Wisconsin			
Wyoming			

Figure 20
Which states require subject-matter testing for special education teachers?


Elementary Subject-Matter Test				
Required for an elementary special education license	Alabama, Iowa, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Missouri ¹ , New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania ² , Rhode Island, West Virginia ³ , Wisconsin			
Required for a K-12 special education license	Colorado, Idaho, North Carolina			
Secondary Subject-Matter Test(s)				
Tests in all core subjects required for secondary special education license	Missouri ¹ , New York ⁴ , Wisconsin ⁵			
Test in at least one subject required for secondary special education license	Louisiana, New Jersey, Pennsylvania², Rhode Island, West Virginia³			
Required for a K-12 special education license	None			
Missouri offers a K-12 certification but candidates must pass either the elementary multi-content assessment or the middle/secondary multi-content assessment.				
,	for dual certification in elementary or secondary ecialist does not have to take a content test.			
certification in early childhood, which	pecial education candidates to earn dual would not require a content test. Secondary a dual certification as a reading specialist are			

- 4. New York requires a multi-subject content test specifically geared to secondary special education candidates. It is divided into three subtests.
- 5. Wisconsin requires a middle school level content area test which does not report subscores for each area.

Figure 19

1. Missouri, New Jersey, Oregon and Vermont issue a K-12 certificate, but candidates must meet discrete elementary and/or secondary requirements.

Figure 21	Elementary to.	Fary childhood +	Elementary special
Do states require all		ا ئ ئ	
teachers of early reading	7.		\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
to pass an adequate	enta,	chillo	rent,
science of reading test?	iem	/ Jue	et le
	4	/ 4/	v
Alabama			
Alaska		□'	
Arizona	Ц		
Arkansas			
Calarada		П,	
Colorado Connecticut			
Delaware			
District of Columbia			
Florida			
GEORGIA			
Hawaii			
Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana			
lowa			
Kansas			
Kentucky		□ ¹	
Louisiana			
Maine			
Maryland			
Massachusetts			
Michigan			
Minnesota			
Mississippi		□ 1	
Missouri			
Montana			
Nebraska			
Nevada			
New Hampshire			
New Jersey	Ц		
New Mexico			
New York			
North Carolina North Dakota			
Ohio			
Oklahoma			
Oregon			
Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina			
South Dakota			
Tennessee			
Texas			ī
Utah		ī	
Vermont			
Virginia			
Washington			
West Virginia			
Wisconsin			
Wyoming			
		13	



These states do not offer a standalone early childhood certification that includes elementary grades or the state's early childhood certification is the de facto license to teach elementary grades.

Admission into Teacher Preparation

Key Components

(The factors considered in determining the states' ratings for this topic.)

- 1. The state should limit admission to teacher preparation programs to candidates in the top half of the college-going population.
- 2. The state should require teacher candidates to pass a test of academic proficiency that assesses reading, writing and mathematics skills as a criterion for admission to teacher preparation programs. Alternatively, academic proficiency could be demonstrated by grade point average.



Admission into Teacher Prep Analysis: Georgia

RAISING THE BAR FOR TEACHER PREP THROUGH HIGHER ADMISSION STANDARDS

NCTQ has repeatedly found that too many teacher preparation programs are in need of major improvement, graduating first-year teachers lacking skills and content knowledge adequate to thrive in the classroom. One important way states can raise the bar for teacher preparation programs is to set more ambitious admission requirements for new elementary, secondary and special education teachers. This is even more relevant and important as the increasing expectations of college- and career-readiness standards demand more from teachers academically. A key criterion for admissions is evidence of a strong academic background, and states should require programs to select candidates from the top half of the college-going population. Countries like Singapore and Finland are even more restrictive in admissions; the top half goal is realistic and achievable while representing a significantly higher standard for programs throughout the United States. Until recently, few states had rigorous academic standards for admission, but with states like Rhode Island and Delaware significantly raising the bar by taking the lead in establishing higher standards and new accreditation requirements from CAEP, this is beginning to change.



GEORGIA

ADMISSION INTO TEACHER PREP SNAPSHOT



State requires a minimum GPA of 3.0 for admission into teacher prep.



State requires a test normed to college-bound population prior to admission to prep program.



Yes



No

Georgia requires that approved teacher preparation programs only accept teacher candidates who have passed the Georgia Assessments for the Certification of Educators (GACE) Program Admission Assessment. Although the state sets the minimum score for this test, it is normed just to the prospective teacher population. The state also allows candidates to substitute equivalent scores on the SAT, ACT and GRE for its basic skills testing requirement.

The state requires a 2.5 GPA for admission. Georgia also now requires an average GPA of 3.0 for the cohort, at the provider level.

Supporting Research

Georgia Professional Standards Commission Basic Skills Information http://www.gapsc.com/EducatorPreparation/Assessment/BasicSkillsInfo.aspx GaPSC Rule 505-3-.01

GEORGIA RESPONSE TO ANALYSIS

While not asked to respond to the full analysis for this section, Georgia did not indicate any additional policy updates related to admission to teacher prep.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Consider a sliding scale that combines
 GPA and test scores.

While Georgia sets a rigorous academic bar for program admission by requiring a 3.0 GPA cohort average, a sliding scale of GPA and test scores would allow flexibility for candidates in demonstrating academic ability. When using such multiple measures, a sliding scale that still ensures minimum standards would allow students to earn program admission through a higher GPA and a lower test score, or vice-versa.

 Consider requiring candidates to pass subject-matter tests as a condition of admission into teacher programs.

In addition to ensuring that programs require a measure of academic performance for admission, Georgia might also want to consider requiring content testing prior to program admission as opposed to at the point of program completion. Program candidates are likely to have completed coursework that covers related test content in the prerequisite classes required for program admission. Thus, it would be sensible to have candidates take content tests while this knowledge is fresh rather than wait two years to fulfill the requirement, and candidates lacking sufficient expertise would be able to remedy deficits prior to entering formal preparation.

anddates only during or after TETNOMB TO COLLEGE BOUND POPULATION PROPER Completion of prep program Figure 23 Test nomed to teacher Test normed to teacher candidates only before admission to piep prograv ADMISSON TO PREPROC Do states measure the academic proficiency of teacher candidates? Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida **GEORGIA** Hawaii 1 Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa П Kansas Kentucky Louisiana 1 Maine П Maryland П Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi П П Missouri П Montana П П Nebraska Nevada П П П П New Hampshire П П New Jersey П New Mexico **New York 1** П North Carolina 1 North Dakota Ohio П <u></u>2 П П Oklahoma Oregon П П Pennsylvania Rhode Island П South Carolina **1** П П П South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont П Virginia П Washington П West Virginia П П Wisconsin Wyoming П 7 13 18 13

SUMMARY OF ADMISSION INTO TEACHER PREP FIGURES

- Figure 23

 Test of academic proficiency requirements
- Figure 24

 GPA requirements
- Figure 25

 Teacher Prep Review findings about admissions



EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE


While many states now require CAEP accreditation which includes a standard requiring strong admission practices, **Rhode Island** and **Delaware** have set requirements independent of the accreditation process, ensuring that the states' expectations are clear. Both states require a test of academic proficiency normed to the general college-bound population rather than a test that is normed just to prospective teachers. Delaware also requires teacher candidates to have a 3.0 GPA or be in the top 50th percentile for general education coursework completed. Rhode Island also requires an average cohort GPA of 3.0, and, beginning in 2016, the cohort mean score on nationally-normed tests such as the ACT, SAT or GRE must be in the top 50th percentile. In 2020, the requirement for the mean test score will increase from the top half to the top third.

Requirements for admissions test normed to college-bound population is based on CAEP accreditation standards, not state's own admission policy.


^{2.} Candidates in Oklahoma also have the option of gaining admission with a 3.0 GPA.

Figure 24

Do states require a minimum GPA for admission to teacher prep?



- Strong Practice: Delaware, District of Columbia⁵, Georgia⁶, Hawaii⁵, Louisiana⁵, Michigan⁵, Mississippi⁶, New Jersey⁶, New York⁵, North Carolina⁵, Oklahoma⁷, Pennsylvania⁸, Rhode Island, South Carolina⁵, Utah, Virginia⁵
- 2. Kentucky, Texas
- 3. Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut⁹, Florida, Nebraska, South Dakota, Wisconsin¹⁰
- Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Tennessee, Vermont, Washington, West Virginia, Wyoming
- 5. Required minimum GPA of 3.0 is based on CAEP accreditation standards, not state's own admission policy.
- 6. The 3.0 GPA requirement is a cohort average; individual candidates in Mississippi and New Jersey must have a 2.75 GPA. Individual candidates in Georgia must have a 2.5 GPA.
- 7. Candidates in Oklahoma also have the option of gaining admission by passing a basic skills test.
- 8. Students can also be admitted with a combination of a 2.8 GPA and qualifying scores on the basic skills test or SAT/ACT.
- 9. Connecticut requires a B- grade point average for all undergraduate courses.
- 10. The GPA admission requirement is 2.5 for undergraduate and 2.75 for graduate programs.



Teacher Preparation Program Accountability

Key Components

(The factors considered in determining the states' ratings for this topic.)

- The state should incorporate preparation to teach to college- and career-readiness standards into its accountability requirements for teacher preparation programs.
- 2. The state should collect data that connects student achievement gains to teacher preparation programs. Such data can include value-added or growth analyses conducted specifically for this purpose or evaluation ratings that incorporate objective measures of student learning to a significant extent.
- 3. The state should establish the minimum standard of performance for each category of data. Programs should be held accountable for meeting these standards, with articulated consequences for failing to do so, including loss of program approval.
- 4. The state should produce and publish on its website an annual report card that shows all the data the state collects on individual teacher preparation programs.
- The state should retain full authority over its process for approving teacher preparation programs.



How well are states ensuring that teacher preparation programs are accountable for their performance?

- Alaska, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Hawaii, Idaho, Minnesota, Nebraska, New York, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, Wyoming
- Arizona, Arkansas, California,
 Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Maryland,
 Mississippi, Missouri, Montana,
 New Hampshire, Oklahoma, Oregon,
 Pennsylvania, Vermont, Virginia,
 West Virginia
- Alabama, Indiana, Kentucky,
 Massachusetts, Nevada, New Jersey,
 New Mexico, South Carolina,
 Washington, Wisconsin
- Colorado, Delaware, Florida, GEORGIA,
 Michigan, North Carolina, Ohio,
 Rhode Island, Tennessee, Texas
- 1 Louisiana

Teacher Prep Program Accountability Analysis: Georgia


HOLDING PREPARATION PROGRAMS ACCOUNTABLE FOR RESULTS

The ultimate goal of teacher preparation programs should be to produce teachers who are effective in educating their students and ensure that they are ready for college and career. As programs operate by virtue of state approval, it is the state's responsibility to connect approval to accountability measures that ensure high performance. While this goal may have been hard to assess a few years ago, that is no longer the case. Redesigned evaluations of teacher effectiveness in the majority of states offer an opportunity for states to collect meaningful objective data on the performance of program graduates. To date, few states connect their process of approving teacher preparation programs to measurable outcome data about programs' graduates.



GEORGIA

TEACHER PREP ACCOUNTABILITY SNAPSHOT



- State collects other meaningful data that reflect program performance.
- State has set minimum standards for program performance.
- State publishes an annual report card on its own website.
- X State retains full authority over its approval process.



Yes



No

Georgia's approval process for its traditional and alternate route teacher preparation programs will take steps to programs accountable for the quality of the teachers they produce, starting in 2015-2016. Commendably, Georgia's new Preparation Program Effectiveness Measures (PPEM) relies on student growth and other measures to assess program performance. The Teacher Effectiveness Measure will count for 50 percent of the total PPEM score and includes a quantitative evaluation rubric for evaluators, student growth percentile/value added measures where applicable or state approved Student Learning Objectives, and student surveys of instructional practice. PPEM also measures successful movement by graduates from an Induction Certificate to a Professional Certificate after three years (10 percent), Content knowledge (30 percent) - consisting of scores on the GACE educator certification content assessments and edTPA scores — and Program Perfor-

RECOMMENDATIONS

 Establish the minimum standard of performance for each category of data.

Merely collecting the types of data described above is insufficient for accountability purposes. The next and perhaps more critical step is for Georgia to establish precise minimum standards for teacher preparation program performance for each category of data. Programs should be held accountable for meeting rigorous standards, and there should be consequences for failing to do so, including loss of program approval.

Publish an annual report card on the state's website.

Georgia should explicitly require an annual report card that shows all the data the state collects on individual teacher preparation programs, which should be published on the state's website at the program level for the sake of public transparency. Data should be presented in a manner that clearly conveys whether programs have met performance standards.

Maintain full authority over the process for approving teacher preparation programs.

Georgia should ensure that it is the state that considers the evidence of program performance and makes the decision about whether programs should continue to be authorized to prepare teachers.

mance Data (10 percent) - including completion rates, retention rates (continued employment past their first year), yield, inductee surveys, and employer surveys.

Programs will be categorized into four levels: Exemplary, Proficient, At-risk of Low Performing, and Low Performing. Cut-scores and consequences for the different levels have yet to be defined, but these will be decided before the policy goes into practice. Starting in 2015-2016, "Limited data may be shared with the public in the form of a dashboard report, with carefully worded explanations regarding each measure and the fact that this is the first year of full implementation."

In Georgia, there is some overlap of accreditation and state approval. Members of CAEP and the state make up the review team, and decisions are made jointly; state members must complete CAEP training. Georgia conducts its own program reviews.

Supporting Research

Georgia Rule 505-3-.01

http://www.gapsc.com/GaEducationReform/Downloads/PPEM_FAAQs_October_2013.pdf

http://www.gapsc.com/GaEducationReform/PPEMs/PPEMs.aspx

Report Cards

http://www.gaosa.org/report.aspx

www.ncate.org

GEORGIA RESPONSE TO ANALYSIS

While not asked to respond to the full analysis for this section, Georgia did indicate that once its new system is fully operational, appropriate accountability reports will be developed for specific audiences including but not limited to the general public, policymakers and program providers.

Figure 26	iga.	MECTED R	<u> </u>
De states hald to a show	ECTIVE PROGRAM	2	DATA PUBLICY VALLABEEON WAS
Do states hold teacher		228 228	18/18/
preparation programs accountable?		FE ZW	22 24 27 27
Alabama	-~ /	74 /	-4
Alabama Alaska			
Arizona			
Arkansas			
California			
Colorado			
Connecticut			
Delaware			
District of Columbia			
Florida			2
GEORGIA			
Hawaii			
Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana			
lowa			
Kansas			
Kentucky			2
Louisiana			2
Maine	1		
Maryland	3		
Massachusetts			
Michigan		1	
Minnesota			
Mississippi	1		
Missouri			
Montana	1 —		
Nebraska			
Nevada ¹			
New Hampshire			
New Jersey New Mexico			
New York			
North Carolina			
North Dakota			2
Ohio ¹			
Oklahoma			
Oregon			
Pennsylvania	1		
Rhode Island			
South Carolina ¹			
South Dakota			
Tennessee			
Texas			
Utah			
Vermont			
Virginia	1		
Washington			
West Virginia	1		
Wisconsin			
Wyoming			
			10
	35	4	18

SUMMARY OF TEACHER PREP PROGRAM **ACCOUNTABILITY FIGURES**

Figure 26

Accountability requirements

Figure 27


Use of student achievement data



EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE

No state has yet implemented a full accountability system for teacher preparation that features data, including student achievement gains, connected to teacher preparation programs (not just the institution level); has clear minimum standards of performance for those data; and publishes the results for use by prospective teachers, hiring school districts and the general public. Some states are well on their way. Georgia and Louisiana collect student achievement gains and set minimum standards of performance, while Ohio and Tennessee have published report cards that include connections to student achievement gains.

Figure 27 Do states connect student achievement data to teacher preparation programs?



- 1. Strong Practice: Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, North Carolina, Ohio, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Texas
- 2. Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, District of Columbia³, Hawaii³, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland³, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York³, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming
- 3. Included in state's Race to the Top plan, but not in policy or yet implemented.

- 1. For traditional preparation programs only.
- 2. State does not distinguish between alternate route programs and traditional preparation programs in public reporting.
- 3. For alternate routes only.

Teacher Preparation Policy Priorities for Georgia

Prepare all teachers to meet the instructional shifts of college- and career-readiness standards for students.

- Strengthen preparation requirements to ensure teacher candidates have the ability to address the use of
 informational texts as well as incorporate complex informational texts into classroom instruction.
 Priority for elementary, middle, secondary and special education teacher preparation.
- Through testing frameworks or teacher standards, include literacy skills and using text to build content knowledge in history/social studies, science, technical subjects and the arts.

 Priority for elementary, middle, secondary and special education teacher preparation.
- Ensure teachers are prepared to intervene and support students who are struggling with reading. Priority for secondary and special education teacher preparation.

Additional priorities for elementary teacher preparation:

- Require all elementary teacher candidates to pass a rigorous content test that assesses knowledge of all
 core subjects, including mathematics, and requires a meaningful passing score for each area.
- Require a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.
- Require a content specialization in an academic subject area.

Additional priorities for secondary teacher preparation:

• Require secondary science teachers to pass a content test for each discipline they are licensed to teach.

Additional priorities for special education teacher preparation:

- Eliminate the K-12 special education certificate, and require licenses that differentiate between preparation of elementary and secondary teacher candidates.
- Require elementary special education candidates to pass a rigorous content test as a condition of initial licensure, as well as a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.
- Ensure secondary special education teachers possess adequate content knowledge for the grades and subjects they teach.

Hold preparation programs accountable:

- Set minimum standards for program performance with consequences for failure to meet those standards.
- Publicly report performance data.

