Delaware

Are New Teachers Being Prepared for College- and Career-Readiness Standards?

2014 State Teacher Policy Yearbook

National Council on Teacher Quality

Acknowledgments

STATES

State education agencies remain our most important partners in this effort, and their gracious cooperation has helped to ensure the factual accuracy of the final product. Although this year's edition did not require the extensive review that comprehensive editions require, we still wanted to make sure that we captured all relevant policy changes and that states' perspectives were represented. Every state formally received a draft of the policy updates we identified in June 2014 as well as a draft of analyses and recommendations for the new indicators related to college- and career-readiness standards for comment and correction. States also received a final draft of their reports a month prior to release. All but two states responded to our inquiries. While states do not always agree with our recommendations, their willingness to engage in dialogue, explain their differing points of view and often acknowledge the imperfections of their teacher policies are important steps in moving forward.

FUNDERS

The primary funders for the 2014 Yearbook were:

- Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation
- Carnegie Corporation of New York
- Gleason Family Foundation
- J.A. and Kathryn Albertson Foundation
- The Joyce Foundation
- The Walton Family Foundation

The National Council on Teacher Quality does not accept any direct funding from the federal government.

STAFF

Sandi Jacobs, *Project Director* Kathryn M. Doherty, *Special Contributor* Kelli Lakis, *Lead Researcher* Phil Lasser and Lisa N. Staresina, *Researchers*

Special thanks to Leigh Zimnisky and Justin Rakowski at Ironmark for their design of the 2014 Yearbook. Thanks also to Colleen Hale and Jeff Hale at EFA Solutions for the original Yearbook design and ongoing technical support.

Q National Council on Teacher Quality

Teacher Preparation Policy Priorities for Delaware

Prepare all teachers to meet the instructional shifts of college- and career-readiness standards for students.

- Strengthen preparation requirements to ensure teacher candidates have the ability to address the use of informational texts as well as incorporate complex informational texts into classroom instruction.
 Priority for elementary, middle, secondary and special education teacher preparation.
- Through testing frameworks or teacher standards, include literacy skills and using text to build content knowledge in history/social studies, science, technical subjects and the arts.
 Priority for elementary, middle, secondary and special education teacher preparation.
- Ensure teachers are prepared to intervene and support students who are struggling with reading. *Priority for elementary, middle, secondary and special education teacher preparation.*

Additional priorities for elementary teacher preparation:

- Require a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.
- Require early childhood education teachers who teach at the elementary level to pass a content test with separate passing scores for each of the core subject areas.
- Require a content specialization in an academic subject area.

Additional priorities for secondary teacher preparation:

Require secondary science and social studies teachers to pass a content test for each discipline they are licensed to teach.

Additional priorities for special education teacher preparation:

- Eliminate the K-12 special education certificate, and require licenses that differentiate between preparation of elementary and secondary teacher candidates.
- Require elementary special education candidates to pass a rigorous content test as a condition of initial licensure, as well as a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.
- Ensure secondary special education teachers possess adequate content knowledge for the grades and subjects they teach.

Hold preparation programs accountable:

Set minimum standards for program performance with consequences for failure to meet those standards.

Teacher Preparation in **Delaware**

The 2014 *State Teacher Policy Yearbook* keeps the spotlight on the critical issue of teacher preparation. In addition to updating the full set of teacher preparation policies reviewed in last year's comprehensive edition, the 2014 *Yearbook* casts a critical eye on whether states have established requirements for teacher preparation and licensure that help to ensure that teachers are ready for the increased demands of states' college- and career-readiness standards for K-12 students.

Current Status of **Delaware** Teacher Prep Policy

B- 2014 Teacher Prep Grade

Prior Grades: C+ 2013 D- 2012 D- 2011				
Yearbook Goal	Торіс	2014 Score	2013 Score	
1-A	Admission into Preparation Programs			
1-B	Elementary Teacher Preparation			
1-C	Elementary Teacher Preparation in Reading Instruction	٠		
1-D	Elementary Teacher Preparation in Mathematics	•	•	
1-Е	Middle School Teacher Preparation		•	
1-F	Secondary Teacher Preparation	•	•	
1-G	Secondary Teacher Preparation in Science	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
1-H	Special Education Teacher Preparation	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
1-1	Assessing Professional Knowledge	4	\bigcirc	
1-J	Student Teaching	•		
1-К	1-K Teacher Preparation Program Accountability			
Does Not Meet Meets Only a Small Part Partially Meets Nearly Meets Fully Meets 				

2014 Teacher Prep Policy Update for Delaware

Based on a review of state legislation, rules and regulations, NCTQ has identified the following recent teacher prep policy changes in Delaware:

Elementary Teacher Preparation

Elementary teacher candidates are now required to pass the revised Praxis II Elementary Education: Multiple Subjects (5001) test. Early childhood education teachers must pass the revised Education of Young Children (5024) test. *Test Requirements*

http://www.ets.org/praxis/de/requirements/

Special Education Teacher Preparation

Effective July 1, 2014, special education teachers applying for a standard certificate must pass a subject-matter test, such as Praxis II. This requirement applies to all special education teachers teaching a core content area. http://delcode.delaware.gov/title14/c012/sc03/index.shtml

Assessing Professional Knowledge

Effective July 1, 2016, teacher candidates will have to pass a performance assessment that consists of an evaluation of a candidate's teaching or professional practice via a portfolio of clinical assignments, including an evaluation of independent teaching or practice.

Delaware Administrative Code Title 14 Section 290:3.4.

Student Teaching

Effective July 1, 2015, Delaware will require preparation programs to have a "capstone clinical residency," which must consist of at least 10 weeks of full-time student teaching with a "high quality cooperating teacher." This is defined as someone who has completed training in mentoring and has received at least a satisfactory rating on each component of the Delaware Performance Appraisal System II, which includes student achievement. *Delaware Administrative Code Title 14 Section 290: 2.0; 3.2*

Teacher Preparation Program Accountability

Educator preparation programs are now required to collect and report data on the performance and effectiveness of program graduates. Units are required to annually report metrics, including number of program completers and noncompleters; placement in Delaware schools by subject area, grade level and school district, including notes for high-need schools and subjects; pass rates on program performance assessments; average DPAS-II ratings, including the student growth component; measures of employer or supervisor satisfaction; and retention for five years. *Delaware Administrative Code Title 14 Section 290: 3.0; 6.0*

Delaware Response to Policy Update

States were asked to review NCTQ's identified updates and also to comment on policy changes related to teacher preparation that have occurred in the last year, pending changes, or teacher preparation in the state more generally.

Delaware was helpful in providing NCTQ with additional information about policy changes related to teacher preparation.

As included in the 2013 *State Teacher Policy Yearbook*, Delaware cited new requirements for approval of teacher preparation programs. These requirements include providing "instruction on research and evidence-based best

Figure A

Delivering wellprepared teachers 2014 2013 2012 2011 GRADE GRADE GRADE GRADE В B-С Alabama B-Alaska F F F F D D-D-D-Arizona Arkansas C+ C+ С С California D+ D+ D D D D-Colorado D-D-Connecticut B-B-C+ C-DELAWARE C+ D-D-B-District of Columbia **C**-D+ D D Florida B-B-B+ B+ C+ С С Georgia C+ Hawaii D-F D D Idaho D D D+ D+ Illinois D+ D+ D D Indiana B+ B+ B-C+ D D lowa D+ D+ Kansas D+ D+ D+ D+ Kentucky B-B-C+ C-Louisiana С C-С С D Maine D+ D+ D+ D+ D+ D+ Maryland D+ B-B-C+ C+ Massachusetts Michigan D+ D D+ D+ Minnesota C+ C+ C+ С Mississippi С C-С С D+ D+ C-Missouri **B-**F. F F F Montana Nebraska D-F D-D-Nevada D-D-D-D-New Hampshire **C**-C-C-D New Jersey B-B-C-D+ D+ D New Mexico D+ D+ New York В B-C-D+ North Carolina C+ D-D-C+ D North Dakota D D С С C-D+ Ohio С С С С Oklahoma Oregon D+ D D-D-Pennsylvania С С С С Rhode Island B+ B+ С D+ South Carolina C+ С C-C-D South Dakota D-D D Tennessee B-B-B-B-Texas В В C+ C+ Utah C-D+ D D С С C-D+ Vermont B-C+ C-C-Virginia D+ D+ D+ D+ Washington C-West Virginia C+ C+ C-Wisconsin С C-D+ D Wyoming D-F F F

DELAWARE RESPONSE CONTINUED

practices and strategies for teaching childhood literacy" and specify scientifically based reading instruction. Delaware also noted new requirements for teaching mathematics.

Delaware also indicated that as of July 1, 2014, no new educator can be licensed and certified in special education alone. All educators applying for special education must concurrently apply and be eligible for a content area certification, which may include elementary education. There is pending action from the Professional Standards Board that will clarify this policy. Therefore, for example, teachers who wish to teach elementary special education will have to meet the requirements for both elementary and special education certification.

Average State Grade

С

C-

D+

D

Elementary Teacher Preparation

Key Components

(The factors considered in determining the states' ratings for this topic.)

- The state should ensure that all elementary teachers are sufficiently prepared for the ways that college- and career-readiness standards affect instruction of all subject areas. Specifically,
 - A. The state should require that all new elementary teachers are prepared to incorporate complex texts and academic language into instruction.
 - B. The state should ensure that all new elementary teachers are prepared to incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.
 - C. The state should ensure that all new elementary teachers of English language arts are prepared to support struggling readers.
- 2. The state should require that new elementary teachers, including those who can teach elementary grades on an early childhood license, pass a rigorous test of reading instruction in order to attain licensure.
- 3. The state should ensure that all elementary teacher candidates, including those who can teach elementary grades on an early childhood license, possess sufficient content knowledge in all core subjects, including mathematics.
- 4. The state should require that its approved teacher preparation programs deliver a comprehensive program of study in broad liberal arts coursework. An adequate curriculum is likely to require approximately 45 credit hours to ensure appropriate depth in the core subject areas of English, mathematics, science, social studies and fine arts.
- 5. The state should require elementary teacher candidates to complete a content specialization in an academic subject area. In addition to enhancing content knowledge, this requirement ensures that prospective teachers have taken higher-level academic coursework.



PREPARING ELEMENTARY TEACHERS FOR COLLEGE- AND CAREER-READINESS STANDARDS

The new demands of college- and career-readiness standards for students heighten the need for elementary teachers to have a strong content background in all of the subject matter taught in the elementary grades. Delaware, like most states, has adopted such standards and must ensure that its preparation and licensure requirements for new teachers address this need.

Currently, Delaware offers an elementary license to teach grades K-6. The state also offers an early childhood license for birth to grade 2. Key licensing requirements for elementary school teachers in Delaware include:

DELAWARE ELEMENTARY TEACHER PREP SNAPSHOT

State requires passing a content test in each of the four core subjects.

State requires adequate test on the science of reading.

- X State requires academic content specialization.
 - State has adequate/appropriate requirements for teachers who teach elementary grades on an early childhood license.

Yes 🗡 No

In addition to the strong content background called for by collegeand career-readiness standards, teacher candidates must also be prepared for the key instructional shifts that differentiate these standards from their predecessors. Beginning September 2015, elementary teachers in Delaware will be required to pass the revised Praxis II Elementary Education: Multiple Subjects (5001) test. The reading and language arts subtest includes some of the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with these standards. However, although the framework now addresses complex tests, it does so only in the context of measuring text complexity and does not address how to also incorporate increasingly complex texts into instruction.

Early childhood education teachers must pass the revised Education of Young Children (5024) test, which now addresses informational texts but regrettably does not adequately include the specific skills needed to teach the instructional shifts associated with Delaware's new standards.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Ensure that elementary teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and careerreadiness standards for students.

Incorporate informational text of increasing complexity into classroom instruction.

Delaware's adoption of the new Multiple Subjects test is a step in the right direction. However, neither the Multiple Subjects framework nor the framework for the state's early childhood test adequately captures all of the major instructional shifts of college- and career-readiness standards. Delaware is therefore encouraged to strengthen its teacher preparation requirements and ensure that all candidates who teach the elementary grades have the ability to address the use of informational texts as well as incorporate complex informational texts into classroom instruction.

Incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.

To ensure that elementary students are capable of accessing varied information about the world around them, Delaware should also—either through testing frameworks or teacher standards—include literacy skills and using text to build content knowledge in history/social studies, science, technical subjects and the arts.

Support struggling readers.

Delaware should articulate specific requirements ensuring that elementary teachers are prepared to intervene and support those students who are struggling. The early elementary grades are an especially important time to address reading deficiencies before students fall behind.

Require all teacher candidates who teach elementary grades to pass a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.

Delaware should require a rigorous reading assessment tool to ensure that its elementary teacher candidates are adequately preOnly the Education of Young Children test vaguely addresses literacy skills in other core areas by requiring that a teacher "knows strategies to integrate literacy into the content areas (e.g., mathematics, social studies, science, and the arts)."

While the Multiple Subjects test does not address struggling readers, the Education of Young Children test indirectly addresses the topic by requiring that a teacher "knows how to collect, analyze and interpret observation and assessment results to inform instructional decision making."

Supporting Research Praxis Tests www.ets.org/praxis Delaware Administrative Code Title 14, Sec. 1521 SB 51 (2013)

DELAWARE RESPONSE TO ANALYSIS

Delaware recognized the factual accuracy of this analysis. However, the analysis was updated subsequent to the state's review to reflect new testing requirements.

The state added that its program approval regulation has recently elaborated on code changes from 2013 regarding the teaching of reading. Approved preparation programs for prospective elementary school teachers must provide instruction on research and evidence-based best practices and strategies for teaching childhood literacy. Programs for elementary and early childhood teachers must include instruction aligned with candidates' certification area in content and pedagogy and with the state's approved standards for English language arts, designed to provide candidates with a deep conceptual understanding of the content, beyond basic procedural understanding. Instructional topics must include the following:

- Foundational concepts of oral and written learning
- Knowledge of the structure of language, including phonology, orthography, morphology, semantics, syntax and discourse organization
- Developmental understanding and knowledge of learning disabilities and their impact on literacy and learning
- Explicit research and evidence-based instructional strategies for teaching phonemic awareness, phonics and word recognition, fluent and automatic reading of text, vocabulary, text comprehension, conventions of language, and written and spoken expression; and
- Language acquisition, specifically as it relates to English language learners.

RECOMMENDATIONS CONTINUED

pared in the science of reading instruction before entering the classroom. The assessment should clearly test knowledge and skills related to the science of reading and address all five instructional components of scientifically based reading instruction: phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary and comprehension. If the test is combined with an assessment that also tests general pedagogy or elementary content, it should report a subscore for the science of reading specifically. Elementary teachers who do not possess the minimum knowledge in this area should not be eligible for licensure. Delaware should also require all early childhood education teacher candidates who teach elementary grades to pass a rigorous assessment to ensure that they are adequately prepared in the science of reading instruction before entering the classroom.

Ensure that early childhood education teachers are adequately prepared to teach at the elementary level.

Delaware should require all early childhood teacher candidates who teach the elementary grades to pass a content test with separate passing scores for each of the core subject areas including reading/language arts, mathematics, science and social studies. Although the state requires appropriate testing for elementary teachers teaching on an elementary certificate, Delaware creates a significant loophole by not holding early childhood teachers who teach elementary grades to the same requirements.

Require elementary teacher candidates to complete a content specialization in an academic subject area.

In addition to enhancing content knowledge, this requirement would ensure that prospective teachers in Delaware take higher-level academic coursework. The requirement also provides an important safeguard in the event that candidates are unable to successfully complete clinical practice requirements. With an academic concentra-

RECOMMENDATIONS CONTINUED

tion (or better still a major or minor), candidates who are not ready for the classroom and do not pass student teaching can still be on track to complete a degree.

Ensure that teacher preparation programs deliver a comprehensive program of study in broad liberal arts coursework.

Delaware should either articulate a more specific set of standards or establish comprehensive coursework requirements for elementary teacher candidates that align with college- and career-readiness standards to ensure that candidates will complete coursework relevant to the common topics in elementary grades. An adequate curriculum is likely to require approximately 45 credit hours in the core subject areas of English, mathematics, science, social studies and fine arts.

		NCORPORATIONAL TEXT SKILLS INT. C.	SUPPORTING SUBLECTS READERS NG STRUGGLING
igure 1		1×1	CI ECT
Are states ensuring that new		Į į	
elementary teachers are prepa	ared		112
for the instructional shifts	Ę O P	1 2	
associated with college- and	F N	AN CAR	55
career-readiness standards?	0 Ly		47
	5 /	~ 35	2 ZZ
Alabama			
Alaska			
Arizona			
Arkansas			
California			
Colorado			
Connecticut			
DELAWARE			
District of Columbia			
Florida			
Georgia			
Hawaii			
Idaho			
Illinois Indiana			
lowa			
Kansas			
Kentucky			
Louisiana			
Maine Mandand			
Maryland Massachusetts			
Michigan Minnesota			
Mississippi			
Missouri			
Montana			
Nebraska			
Nevada			
New Hampshire			
New Jersey			
New Mexico			
New York			
North Carolina			
North Dakota			
Ohio			
Oklahoma			
Oregon			
Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina			
South Dakota			
Tennessee			
Texas			
Utah			
Vermont			
Virginia			
Washington			
West Virginia			
Wisconsin			
Wyoming			

SUMMARY OF ELEMENTARY TEACHER **PREP FIGURES**

Figure 1

Requirements for instructional shifts associated with college- and career-readiness standards

- Figure 2 Content test requirements
- Figure 3 Specific subject-matter requirements
- Figure 4 Science of reading requirements
- Figure 5 Math requirements
- Figure 6

Requirements for academic concentrations

- Figure 7 Requirements for early childhood teachers
- Figure 8

Teacher Prep Review findings about elementary teacher prep

Figure 2		Elementary content feet	. /	. /
-	242 242		Elementary Content	est,
Do states ensure that	ATE NO	SUB)	tent t	No test ^{required}
elementary teachers	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	e pa	Sto Sta	Iuire
know core content?	S H S S	Ttary Darat		treg
		eme bate	L COL	tes
i	र्च स्टु ठु	for s	Ele Witi	Ž
Alabama				
Alaska				1
Arizona				
Arkansas				
California				
Colorado				
Connecticut				
DELAWARE				
District of Columbia				
Florida				
Georgia				
Hawaii				
Idaho				
Illinois Indiana				
lowa				
Kansas				
Kentucky				
Louisiana				
Maine				
Maryland				
Massachusetts			2	
Michigan				
Minnesota				
Mississippi				
Missouri				
Montana				
Nebraska				
Nevada				
New Hampshire				
New Jersey				
New Mexico				
New York				
North Carolina			2	
North Dakota				3
Ohio Oklahoma				
Oregon Pennsylvania				
Rhode Island				
South Carolina				
South Dakota				
Tennessee				
Texas				
Utah				
Vermont				
Virginia				
Washington				
West Virginia				
Wisconsin				
Wyoming				
	21	9	17	4

EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE

Both Arkansas and California ensure that elementary teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of collegeand career-readiness standards for students. These states specify that elementary teacher candidates must have the ability to not only build content knowledge and vocabulary through careful reading of informational and literary texts, but also to challenge students with texts of increasing complexity.

Candidates are also required to incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject and are prepared to intervene and support students who are struggling.

In addition, Indiana ensures that all candidates licensed to teach the elementary grades, including early childhood education candidates, possess the requisite knowledge of core content and of the key elements of scientifically based reading instruction before entering the classroom. Elementary and early childhood teacher candidates are required to pass a content test comprised of four independently scored subtests, including mathematics. In addition, these candidates are required to pass a comprehensive assessment that tests the five elements of scientifically based reading instruction: phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary and comprehension. Elementary teacher candidates in Indiana must also earn either a major or minor in an academic content area.

Massachusetts's MTEL mathematics subtest continues to set the standard in this area by evaluating mathematics knowledge beyond an elementary school level and challenging candidates' understanding of underlying mathematics concepts.

1. Alaska does not require testing for initial licensure.


2. Massachusetts and North Carolina require a general curriculum test that does not report scores for each elementary subject. A separate score is reported for math.

3. Only teachers of grades 4 and 5 are required to pass a content test in Ohio.

Figure 3		ENGLISH	/	SCIENCE	SOCIAL STUDIES	/ FINE / ARTS
Do states expect	a	Writing/Gammar/ Composition Children's Lites	a /	General Physical Science Earth Science Biology/Life Scis	American History / American History / American Goremment World History (Ancient) World History (Modem) (Non-Wastory)	
elementary teachers	American Literature World is	Writingshitish Literatur Composition Children's Litera	etur	i, /Sci	1 100 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
to have in-depth	Liter	ish L		General Physical Earth Science Biology/Life Sri	Cov Hist	term
knowledge of	(g)	Brit Ssiti	ti s	V/Lij	List Hist	
core content?	heri	ilde Vien	Chemistry Physsics	shere, shere	neri, neri, orld orld orld	Geography Art History Music
core content:	4 / 3	Writing Writing Composition Children's Life-	5/2/	General Physical Science Earth Science Biology/Life Scio	American History / American History / American Goremmen, World History (Anciem World History (Modern Non-Weistory)	ゔ <i>゚</i> <i>゙ ₹ ž</i> /
Alabama		★ 🗆				
Alaska						
Arizona				* * *		
Arkansas				$\star \star \star$		
California			* *	* * *	\star \star \star \star \star \Box \uparrow	
Colorado						
Connecticut						
DELAWARE						
District of Columbia						
Florida						
Georgia Hawaii						
Idaho						
Illinois						
Indiana		÷ =				
lowa						
Kansas		* *				
Kentucky						
Louisiana						
Maine						
Maryland						
Massachusetts						
Michigan		* *		\star \star		
Minnesota		🛈 🖈		* * *		
Mississippi						
Missouri		* 🗆		$\star \star \star$	* • * • * • *	* *
Montana						
Nebraska		*				
Nevada						
New Hampshire						
New Jersey						
New Mexico		★ 🗆				
New York						
North Carolina						
North Dakota						
Ohio						
Oklahoma		*		\star \star		
Oregon		*		$\star \star \star$		
Pennsylvania		*		\star \star		
Rhode Island		*				
South Carolina						
South Dakota				$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$		
Tennessee						
Texas Utah				$\begin{array}{c} \star \star \star \\ \blacksquare \star \star \end{array}$	and the second	
Vermont						A
Virginia				$\stackrel{\bullet}{\star} \stackrel{\star}{\star} \stackrel{\star}{\star}$		
Washington				$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{\dot{x}} \mathbf{\dot{x}} \end{array}$		
West Virginia						
Wisconsin		★ ■				
Wyoming						
					Subject mentioned	Subject covered in depth

Subject mentioned 🔶 Subject covered in depth


Do states measure new elementary teachers' knowledge of the science of reading?



- Strong Practice: Alabama, California⁴, Connecticut, Florida, Indiana, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Mississippi, New Hampshire, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina⁵, Ohio, Oklahoma, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin
- 2. Arizona, Arkansas, Delaware, District of Columbia, Georgia, Idaho, Kentucky, Maine, Missouri, New Jersey, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, Wyoming
- 3. Alaska, Colorado, Hawaii, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, North Dakota, South Dakota
- California allows an exemption from the state's reading test for teachers who already have a single subject credential.
- 5. Teachers have until their second year to pass the reading test.

Figure 6

Do states expect elementary teachers to complete an academic concentration?



1. Strong Practice: Colorado, Massachusetts, New Mexico

2. Strong Practice: Indiana, Mississippi, Oklahoma


3. California, Connecticut, Iowa, Maryland, Michigan, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia

These states require a major, minor or concentration but there is no assurance it will be in an academic subject area.

- 4. Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire⁵, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Utah, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming
- 5. Only K-8 teachers must complete an area of concentration in a field such as humanities, fine arts, social sciences and sciences.

Figure 5

Do states measure new elementary teachers' knowledge of math?




- Strong Practice: Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Idaho, Indiana, Kentucky, Maine, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wyoming
- Arizona, California, Colorado, Georgia, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Mississippi, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, Washington, Wisconsin
- 3. Alaska⁴, Hawaii, Montana, Ohio⁵
- 4. Testing is not required for initial licensure.
- 5. Only teachers of grades 4 and 5 are required to pass an adequate content test.

Figure 7 CONTENT TEST WITH SUBSCORES FOR EACH SUBJECT RES FOR ADEQUATE SCIENCE OF READING TEST What do states require of early childhood teachers who teach elementary grades? Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas¹ \square California¹ Colorado Connecticut DELAWARE District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana lowa Kansas Kentucky¹ Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan¹ Minnesota Mississippi¹ Missouri \square Montana¹ Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina¹ North Dakota Ohio¹ Oklahoma Oregon¹ Pennsylvania¹ Rhode Island 2 South Carolina South Dakota \square \square Tennessee Texas¹ Utah 2 Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming 6 13


Figure 8

TEACHER PREP REVIEW FINDINGS

Only 11 percent of preparation programs ensure that elementary teachers are well prepared in the subjects they will teach.



Just 34 percent of preparation programs provide adequate training to elementary teachers in the science of reading instruction.



From NCTQ's 2014 *Teacher Prep Review* Standard 6: Elementary Content (n=1,166 elementary programs) and Standard 2: Early Reading (n=959 elementary and special education programs)

Figure 7

 These states do not offer a standalone early childhood certification that includes elementary grades or the state's early childhood certification is the de facto license to teach elementary grades.

2. Early childhood candidates may pass either multiple subjects (subscores) or content knowledge (no subscores) test.

Middle School Teacher Preparation

Key Components

(The factors considered in determining the states' ratings for this topic.)

- The state should ensure that all middle school teachers are sufficiently prepared for the ways that college- and career-readiness English language arts standards affect instruction of all subject areas. Specifically,
 - A. The state should require that all new middle school teachers are prepared to incorporate complex texts and academic language into instruction.
 - B. The state should ensure that all new middle school teachers are prepared to incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.
 - C. The state should ensure that all new middle school teachers of English language arts are prepared to support struggling readers.
- 2. The state should require that new middle school teachers pass a licensing test in every core academic area they are licensed to teach.
- The state should not permit middle school teachers to teach on a generalist license that does not differentiate between the preparation of middle school teachers and that of elementary teachers.

How well are states ensuring that middle school teachers are prepared for college- and career-readiness standards?

> Alaska, Arizona, California, Hawaii, Idaho, Maine, Michigan, Montana, Nebraska, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Dakota, Utah, Washington, Wyoming

16

Colorado, Massachusetts, Nevada, North Dakota, Wisconsin

Alabama, Connecticut, DELAWARE, District of Columbia, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia

Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas

Arkansas, Indiana

PREPARING MIDDLE SCHOOL TEACHERS FOR COLLEGE-AND CAREER-READINESS STANDARDS

The middle school years are critical to students' education, but, too often, states fail to distinguish the knowledge and skills needed by middle school teachers from those needed by an elementary teacher. Middle school teachers should not only be prepared to teach grade-level content, but should also be prepared to meet the increased instructional requirements of college- and career-readiness standards for students.

Currently, Delaware offers a middle school license to teach grades 6-8. Key licensing requirements for middle school teachers in Delaware include:

DELAWARE MIDDLE SCHOOL TEACHER PREP SNAPSHOT State requires teachers to pass a content test for each subject they teach.

State requires middle school teachers to hold a middle grade or secondary license.

X No

Yes

Preparation and licensure requirements for middle school teachers must address more than just content knowledge; the key instructional shifts articulated in college- and career-readiness standards must also be incorporated. Delaware addresses some of the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with the state's college- and career-readiness standards for students through its required assessment for middle school English teachers, the Praxis II Middle School English Language Arts (5047) test.

Neither teacher standards nor testing frameworks in other content areas address incorporating literacy skills.

Regarding struggling readers, Delaware's middle school English content test requires that a teacher "knows commonly used research-based approaches to grouping and differentiated instruction to meet specific instructional objectives in English Language Arts" and "understands commonly used research-based strategies for teaching adolescent reading."

Supporting Research

www.ets.org/praxis Delaware Administrative Code, Title 14, Sections 1505, 1531-34

DELAWARE RESPONSE TO ANALYSIS

Delaware recognized the factual accuracy of this analysis.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Ensure that middle school teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and careerreadiness standards for students.

Incorporate informational text of increasing complexity into classroom instruction.

Although Delaware's English language arts content test for middle school teachers addresses informational texts, the state should strengthen its policy and ensure that teachers are able to challenge students with texts of increasing complexity.

Incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.

To ensure that middle school students are capable of accessing varied information about the world around them, Delaware should also—either through testing frameworks or teacher standards—include literacy skills and using text to build content knowledge in history/social studies, science, technical subjects and the arts.

Support struggling readers.

Delaware should articulate more specific requirements ensuring that middle school teachers are prepared to intervene and support students who are struggling. While college- and career-readiness standards will increase the need for all middle school teachers to be able to help struggling readers to comprehend grade-level material, training for English language arts teachers in particular must emphasize identification and remediation of reading deficiencies.

Figure 9 Are states ensuring that new middle school teachers are prepared for the instructional shifts associated with college- and career-readiness standards Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California		MCORORORATING LITES	SUPPORTING STRUGGUNG
igure 9		AL AL	
Are states ensuring that new			875
middle school teachers are	ever.		CS H
prepared for the instructional	Q Q	Se Se	
shifts associated with college-	Ľ.	805	Le Sa
and career-readiness standards	25		E SCI
Alabama		· · ·	
Alabama			
Arizona			
Arkansas			
California			
Colorado			
Connecticut			
DELAWARE			
District of Columbia			
Florida			
Georgia			
Hawaii			
Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana			
lowa			
Kansas			
Kentucky			
Louisiana			
Maine			
Maryland			
Massachusetts			
Michigan			
Minnesota			
Mississippi			
Missouri			
Montana			
Nebraska			
Nevada			
New Hampshire			
New Jersey			
New Mexico			
New York			
North Carolina			
North Dakota			
Ohio			
Oklahoma			
Oregon			
Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina			
South Dakota			
Tennessee			
Texas			
Utah			
Vermont			
Virginia			
Washington			
West Virginia			
Wisconsin			
Wyoming			

SUMMARY OF MIDDLE SCHOOL TEACHER **PREP FIGURES**

Figure 9

Requirements for instructional shifts associated with college- and career-readiness standards

Figure 10

Distinctions in licenses betweeen middle and elementary teachers

Figure 11

Content test requirements

Figure 12

Teacher Prep Review findings about middle school teacher prep

Fully addresses instructional component 🥖 Partially addresses instructional component

Figure 10	K-8 LICENVE NOT DEC	Relicense offerd for	Sun
	0 10	dfor	SSTOC Ed
Do states distinguish	NO NO	offen d 2	en,
middle grade preparation from	SNS	laine c	¹ Se
elementary preparation?	K-8110	K-8 lice self.com	K-Blicense offered
Alabama		· ,	
Alaska			
Arizona			1
Arkansas			
California		2	
Colorado			
Connecticut			
DELAWARE			
District of Columbia			
Florida			
Georgia			
Hawaii			
Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana			
lowa			
Kansas			
Kentucky			
Louisiana			
Maine			
Maryland			
Massachusetts			
Michigan			
Minnesota			
Mississippi Missouri			
Montana			
Nebraska			
Nevada			
New Hampshire			
New Jersey			
New Mexico			
New York			
North Carolina			
North Dakota			1
Ohio			
Oklahoma			3
Oregon			4
Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina			
South Dakota			
Tennessee			
Texas			
Utah			
Vermont			
Virginia			
Washington			
West Virginia			
Wisconsin			1
Wyoming			
	32	5	14

EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE

Illinois ensures that middle school teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and career-readiness standards for students. The state's new standards for the middle grades include the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through increasingly complex texts and careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with these standards. The standards also address the needs of struggling readers.

Illinois's requirements connecting literacy to all subject areas are particularly noteworthy. All middle school teachers must understand "the role, perspective and purpose of text in specific disciplines" and be able to perform tasks such as scaffolding reading to allow students to understand and learn from challenging text; guiding reading discussions that require students to identify key ideas and details of a text; analyze craft and structure and critically evaluate the text; and model reading strategies to improve comprehension.

In addition, Georgia, Mississippi, New Jersey and South Carolina ensure that all middle school teacher candidates are adequately prepared to teach middle school-level content. None of these states offers a K-8 generalist license and all require passing scores on subject-specific content tests. Georgia, Mississippi and South Carolina explicitly require at least two content-area minors, and New Jersey requires a content major along with a minor for each additional area of certification.

1. Offers 1-8 license.

- 2. California offers a K-12 generalist license for all self-contained classrooms.
- 3. With the exception of mathematics.


4. Oregon offers 3-8 license.

Figure 11		No text does not ten-	No. K-8 license rac	No, testing of all subjects not required of all subjects
Do middle school teachers		[en]	ins all	st line
have to pass an appropriate		not	Se re	f all
content test in every core		5 G	licer .	De la
subject they are licensed		ores ores	16-4 16-4	est
to teach?	YES	0 2 2 2 3 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	on the	ot r
	_ /	~ /		
Alabama				1
Alaska				
Arizona				
Arkansas				2
California				
Colorado				
Connecticut DELAWARE	-			
District of Columbia				
Florida				
Georgia				
Hawaii				
Idaho			3	
Illinois				4
Indiana				
lowa				
Kansas				
Kentucky				
Louisiana				
Maine				
Maryland	5			
Massachusetts				
Michigan				
Minnesota				
Mississippi				
Missouri				
Montana				
Nebraska				
Nevada				
New Hampshire			6	
New Jersey				
New Mexico				
New York	7			
North Carolina	8			
North Dakota				
Ohio				
Oklahoma				
Oregon				
Pennsylvania				
Rhode Island				
South Carolina South Dakota				
Tennessee Texas				
Utah				
Vermont				
Virginia				
Washington				
West Virginia				
Wisconsin				
Wyoming				
	27	2	15	7
	27	2	15	7

Figure 12

TEACHER PREP REVIEW FINDINGS

82 percent of programs ensure that middle school teachers are well prepared in the subjects they will teach.



From NCTQ's 2014 Teacher Prep Review Standard 7: Middle School Content (n=375 middle school programs). State licensing test requirements are also included in evaluating this standard.

- 1. Alaska does not require content tests for initial licensure.
- 2. Candidates teaching multiple subjects only have to pass the elementary test. Single-subject credential does not require content test.
- 3. For K-8 license, Idaho also requires one single-subject test.
- 4. Illinois requires candidates to take a middle level core content test if a test is available. It is not clear that this will result in teachers passing a test in each subject and draft test frameworks are not yet available for review.
- 5. Maryland allows elementary teachers to teach in departmentalized middle schools if not less than 50 percent of the teaching assignment is within the elementary grades.
- 6. New Hampshire requires K-8 candidates to pass a middle school content test in one core area.
- 7. For nondepartmentalized classrooms, generalist in middle childhood education candidates must pass the new assessment with three subtests.
- 8. Teachers may have until second year to pass tests, if they attempt to pass them during their first year.

Secondary Teacher Preparation

Key Components

(The factors considered in determining the states' ratings for this topic.)

- The state should ensure that all secondary teachers are sufficiently prepared for the ways that collegeand career-readiness English language arts standards affect instruction of all subject areas. Specifically,
 - A. The state should require that all new secondary teachers are prepared to incorporate complex texts and academic language into instruction.
 - B. The state should ensure that all new secondary teachers are prepared to incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.
 - C. The state should ensure that all new secondary teachers of English language arts are prepared to support struggling readers.
- 2. The state should require that secondary teachers pass a licensing test in every subject they are licensed to teach.
- 3. The state should require secondary general science and general social studies teachers to pass a subject-matter test of each discipline they are licensed to teach.
- 4. The state should require that secondary teachers pass a content test when adding subject-area endorsements to an existing license.

How well are states ensuring that secondary teachers are prepared for college- and career-readiness standards?



Alaska, California, Hawaii, Montana, New Mexico, Wyoming

Alabama, Arizona, Colorado, Connecticut, DELAWARE, District of Columbia, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Nebraska, Nevada, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Washington, Wisconsin

Florida, Georgia, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia


Arkansas, Indiana, Minnesota, New York, Tennessee

DELAWARE NCTQ STATE TEACHER POLICY YEARBOOK 2014 : 19

PREPARING SECONDARY TEACHERS FOR COLLEGE- AND CAREER-READINESS STANDARDS

To be prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and career-readiness standards for their students, secondary teachers must be experts in the subject matter they teach. States should ensure that secondary teachers have sufficient content knowledge in all the subjects they are licensed to teach.

Currently, Delaware offers single-subject secondary licenses to teach grades 9-12. Key licensing requirements for secondary school teachers in Delaware include:



Not only must secondary teachers possess strong backgrounds in content knowledge as required by college- and career-readiness standards, they must also be able to address the key instructional shifts associated with the standards. Delaware addresses some of the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with the state's college- and career-readiness standards for students through its required assessment for English language arts teachers, the Praxis II English Language Arts: Content Knowledge (5038) test.

Neither teacher standards nor secondary tests in other content areas address incorporating literacy skills.

Delaware has no requirements for the preparation of secondary teachers that address struggling readers.

Supporting Research Praxis Tests www.ets.org/praxis

RECOMMENDATIONS

Ensure that secondary teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and careerreadiness standards for students.

Incorporate informational text of increasing complexity into classroom instruction.

Although Delaware's required secondary English language arts content test addresses informational texts, the state should strengthen its policy and ensure that teachers are able to challenge students with texts of increasing complexity.

Incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.

To ensure that secondary students are capable of accessing varied information about the world around them, Delaware should also—either through testing frameworks or standards—include literacy skills and using text as a means to build content knowledge in history/social studies, science, technical subjects and the arts.

Support struggling readers.

Delaware should articulate requirements ensuring that secondary teachers are prepared to intervene and support students who are struggling. While college- and career-readiness standards will increase the need for all secondary teachers to be able to help struggling readers to comprehend grade-level material, training for English language arts teachers in particular must emphasize identification and remediation of reading deficiencies.

Require secondary teachers with umbrella certifications to pass a content test for each discipline they are licensed to teach.

By allowing general social studies and general science certifications—and only requiring general knowledge exams for each—Delaware is not ensuring that these secondary teachers possess adequate subject-specific content knowledge. The state's required general social studies assessment combines

DELAWARE RESPONSE TO ANALYSIS Delaware recognized the factual accuracy of this analysis.

RECOMMENDATIONS CONTINUED

all subject areas (e.g., history, geography, economics), and its required general science assessment combines subject areas that include biology, chemistry and physics. Neither assessment reports separate scores for each area. Therefore, candidates could answer many—perhaps all—chemistry questions, for example, incorrectly, yet still be licensed to teach chemistry to high school students.

igure 13		\$ 1	2 1.0
-	ted ted	H H	SUPPORTING STRUGGLING
Are states ensuring that			
new secondary teachers	141		15, 25
are prepared for the		E S	R D
nstructional shifts associat	ted 🖉	07	82
with college-and career-	D H	CO LICO	45
readiness standards?	S	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	RE S
Alabama			
Alaska			
Arizona			
Arkansas			
California			
Colorado			
Connecticut			
DELAWARE			
District of Columbia			
Florida			
Georgia			
Hawaii			
Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana			
lowa			
Kansas			
Kentucky			
Louisiana			
Maine			
Maryland			
Massachusetts			
Michigan			
Minnesota			
Mississippi			
Missouri			
Montana			
Nebraska			
Nevada			
New Hampshire			
New Jersey			
New Mexico			
New York			
North Carolina			
North Dakota			
Ohio			
Oklahoma			
Oregon			
Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina			
South Dakota			
	_		
Tennessee			
Texas			
Utah			
Vermont			
Virginia			
Washington			
_			
West Virginia			
_			


SUMMARY OF SECONDARY TEACHER **PREP FIGURES**

- Figure 13
 - Requirements for instructional shifts associated with college- and career-readiness standards
- Figure 14 Content test requirements
- Figure 15 Requirements for general science teachers
- Figure 16 Requirements for general social studies teachers
- Figure 17

Teacher Prep Review findings about secondary teacher prep

Fully addresses instructional component 🛛 Partially addresses instructional component

Do secondary teachers have to pass a content test in every subject area for licensure?



1. Strong Practice: Indiana, Minnesota, Missouri, South Dakota, Tennessee

- 2. Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina⁴, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin [For more on loopholes, see Figure 15 (science) and Figure 16 (social studies).]
- 3. Alaska⁵, Arizona⁶, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Montana, Washington, Wyoming
- 4. Teachers may have until second year to pass tests, if they attempt to pass them during their first year.
- 5. Alaska does not require content tests for initial licensure.
- 6. Candidates with a master's degree in the subject area do not have to pass a content test.


EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE

Arkansas has done more than other states to ensure that secondary teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and career-readiness standards for students. Not only does the state address the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through increasingly complex informational texts and careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with these new standards in its educator competencies for secondary English language arts teachers, it also requires teachers to incorporate literacy skills into all content areas. For example, the secondary social studies competency to "incorporate disciplinary literacy" states that "reading competencies for literacy in history/social studies for grades 7-12 include the ability to read informational texts in history and social studies closely and critically to analyze the key ideas and details as well as craft and structure with the purpose of integrating knowledge and ideas both within and across texts." A similar competency exists for both the life science and physical science secondary certifications.

Indiana, Minnesota and Tennessee require that all secondary teacher candidates pass a content test to teach any core subject both as a condition of licensure and to add an additional field to a secondary license. Further, neither of these states offers secondary certification in general social studies or science; all teachers must be certified in a specific discipline.

Also worthy of mention is **Missouri**, which requires general social studies teachers to pass a multi-content test with six independently scored subtests. Missouri also offers a general science license that can only be used to teach general science courses. All other science teachers must be certified in a specific discipline.

Do states ensure that secondary general science teachers have adequate subject-matter knowledge?



1. Strong Practice: Florida, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New York, South Dakota, Tennessee, Virginia

2. Strong Practice: Missouri, New Jersey, Rhode Island⁵, West Virginia⁵


3. California

4. Alabama, Alaska, Arizona⁶, Arkansas, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Georgia⁷, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Michigan, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, Wisconsin, Wyoming

5. Teachers with the general science license may only teach general science courses.

6. Arizona limits teachers with the general science license to teaching only general science courses. However, candidates with a master's degree in the subject area do not have to pass a content test.

7. Georgia's science test consists of two subtests.



1. Strong Practice: Georgia, Indiana, South Dakota, Tennessee

2. Strong Practice: Minnesota⁵, Missouri

3. Arizona⁶

4. Alabama, Alaska, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma⁷, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming


5. Minnesota's test for general social studies is divided into two individually scored subtests.

6. Candidates with a master's degree in the subject area do not have to pass a content test.

7. Oklahoma offers combination licenses without adequate testing.

Figure 17 TEACHER PREP REVIEW FINDINGS

Less than 40 percent of preparation programs ensure that secondary teachers are well prepared in the subjects they will teach.



From NCTQ's 2014 *Teacher Prep Review* Standard 8: High School Content (n=1,110 high school programs). State licensing test requirements are also considered in evaluating this standard.

Special Education Teacher Preparation

Key Components

(The factors considered in determining the states' ratings for this topic.)

- The state should ensure that all special education teachers are sufficiently prepared for the ways that college- and career-readiness English language arts standards affect instruction of all subject areas. Specifically,
 - A. The state should ensure that all new secondary special education teachers are prepared to support struggling readers.
 - B. The state should require that all new secondary special education teachers are prepared to incorporate complex texts and academic language into instruction.
 - C. The state should ensure that all new secondary special education teachers are prepared to incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.
- 2. The state should require that new elementary special education teachers pass a rigorous test of reading instruction in order to attain licensure.
- The state should not permit special education teachers to teach on a K-12 license that does not differentiate between the preparation of elementary teachers and that of secondary teachers.
- All elementary special education candidates should be required to pass a subject-matter test for licensure that is no less rigorous than what is required of general education candidates.
- 5. The state should ensure that secondary special education teachers possess adequate content knowledge.



How well are states ensuring that special education teachers are prepared for college- and career-readiness standards?

Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, DELAWARE, 30 District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Carolina, South Dakota, Utah, Vermont, Washington, Wyoming California, Colorado, Connecticut, Idaho, Iowa, Maryland, New Jersey, Tennessee, Virginia Alabama, Indiana, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Missouri, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Texas, West Virginia, Wisconsin New York

PREPARING SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHERS FOR COLLEGE- AND CAREER-READINESS STANDARDS

Although most special education students are expected to meet the same high college- and career-readiness standards as typical students, too many states set an even lower bar for the preparation and licensure requirements of special education teachers. States must ensure that special education teachers are well grounded in all of the subject matter they will be licensed to teach.

Currently, Delaware offers special education licenses to teach grades K-12 and birth to grade 2. Key licensing requirements for special education teachers in Delaware include:

DELAWARE SPECIAL ED TEACHER PREP SNAPSHOT State only offers discrete elementary and secondary special education licenses. Elementary subject-matter test required for special education license. Elementary test in at least one subject area required for secondary special education license. Secondary test in at least one subject area required for secondary special education license.

Special education teachers must also be prepared for the key instructional shifts that differentiate college- and career-readiness standards from previous student standards. Regrettably, Delaware's preparation and licensure requirements for special education teachers are not aligned with the state's college- and career-readiness standards for students.

Delaware does not require its special education teachers who teach the elementary grades to pass a rigorous test of reading instruction. The state does not require content testing, and teacher standards do not address informational texts or literacy skills.

Delaware has no requirements for the preparation of elementary or secondary special education teachers that address struggling readers.

Supporting Research

Delaware Administrative Code 14.1571; 14.1220

RECOMMENDATIONS

Ensure that special education teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and careerreadiness standards for students.

Require all special education teacher candidates who teach the elementary grades to pass a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.

Delaware should require a rigorous reading assessment tool to ensure that its elementary special education teacher candidates are adequately prepared in the science of reading instruction before entering the classroom. The assessment should clearly test knowledge and skills related to the science of reading and address all five instructional components of scientifically based reading instruction: phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary and comprehension. If the test is combined with an assessment that also tests general pedagogy or elementary content, it should report a subscore for the science of reading specifically. Elementary special education teachers who do not possess the minimum knowledge in this area should not be eligible for licensure.

Incorporate informational text of increasing complexity into classroom instruction.

Either through testing frameworks or teacher standards, Delaware should specifically address the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through increasingly complex informational texts and careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with the state's college- and career-readiness standards for students.

Incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.

To ensure that special education students are capable of accessing varied information about the world around them, Delaware should also include specific requirements regarding literacy skills and using text as a means to build content knowledge in history/social studies, science, technical subjects and the arts.

DELAWARE RESPONSE TO ANALYSIS

Delaware recognized the factual accuracy of this analysis. The state added that new policy requires teachers applying for special education certification to concurrently apply and be eligible for a content area certification that may include elementary education. Pending action from the Professional Standards Board will clarify this policy in the updated regulation 1571 (special education certification). Therefore, for example, teachers who wish to teach elementary special education will have to meet the requirements for both elementary and special education certification.

LAST WORD FROM NCTQ

NCTQ looks forward to reviewing the state's progress in future editions of the *Yearbook*. Delaware is encouraged to ensure that its new rules establish discrete requirements for the preparation and licensure of elementary and secondary special education teachers.

RECOMMENDATIONS CONTINUED

Support struggling readers.

Delaware should articulate requirements ensuring that all special education teachers are prepared to intervene and support students who are struggling with reading. With reading difficulties generally representing the primary reason for special education placements, it is essential that all special education teachers have the knowledge and skills to diagnose and support students with literacy needs.

End licensure practices that fail to distinguish between the skills and knowledge needed to teach elementary grades and secondary grades.

It is virtually impossible and certainly impractical for Delaware to ensure that a K-12 special education teacher knows all the subject matter he or she is expected to be able to teach, especially considering state and federal expectations that special education students should meet the same high standards as other students. While the broad K-12 umbrella may be appropriate for teachers of low-incidence special education students, such as those with severe cognitive disabilities, it is deeply problematic for the overwhelming majority of high-incidence special education students, who are expected to learn grade-level content.

Require that elementary special education candidates pass a rigorous content test as a condition of initial licensure.

To ensure that special education teacher candidates who will teach elementary grades possess sufficient knowledge of the subject matter at hand, Delaware should require a rigorous content test that reports separate passing scores for each content area. Delaware should also set these passing scores to reflect high levels of performance. Failure to ensure that teachers possess requisite content knowledge deprives special education students of the opportunity to reach their academic potential. Delaware's pending policy requiring all special

RECOMMENDATIONS CONTINUED

education candidates to also be eligible for a content area certification, which would include elementary education, is a step in the right direction. The forthcoming policy should further clarify certification requirements and which grade levels can be taught with elementary certification.

Ensure that secondary special education teachers possess adequate content knowledge.

Secondary special education teachers are frequently generalists who teach many core subject areas. While it may be unreasonable to expect secondary special education teachers to meet the same requirements for each subject they teach as other teachers who teach only one subject, Delaware's pending policy requiring secondary special education candidates teaching in core subject areas to achieve a passing score on a content test is a step in the right direction. To provide a middle ground, Delaware should consider a customized HOUSSE route for new secondary special education teachers and look to the flexibility offered by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), which allows for a combination of testing and coursework to demonstrate requisite content knowledge in the classroom.

Le la				
Alaska	Figure 18		of the a	UBJECTS UGGLING
Alaska	Are states ensuring that new special	147		CT ES
Alaska	education teachers are prepared for the	Ę,	82	
Alaska			200	E S
Alaska		JE C	NUT NO	SCP EAD
Alaska				
Arizona Image: California Image: California California Image: California Image: California Colorado Image: California Image: California Colorado Image: California Image: California Connecticut Image: California Image: California District of Columbia Image: California Image: California Florida Image: California Image: California Georgia Image: California Image: California Georgia Image: California Image: California Georgia Image: California Image: California Idaho Image: California Image: Cali				
Arkansas California California Connecticut District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Mine Minesota Minsissippi Mississippi New Hampshire New Hampshire New Hampshire New Hampshire New Hampshire New Hampshire Ohio <				
California I Colorado I Connecticut I DELAWARE I District of Columbia I Florida I Georgia I Hawaii I Idaho I Illinois I Indiana I Iowa I Kansas I Kentucky I Louisiana I Maine I Maryland I Mississippi I Missouri I Missouri I New Jamska I New Jamska I New Jersey I New Mexico I New Vork I North Carolina I North Carolina I North Carolina I North Dakota I Ohio I Oklahoma I Oregon I Pennsylvania I Rho				
ColoradoIConnecticutDistrict of ColumbiaFloridaGeorgiaHawaiiIdahoIllinoisIllinoisIllinoisIllinoisIllinoisIndianaIowaKansasKentuckyLouisianaMaineMarylandMinesotaMinesotaMississippiMississippiMissouriAvexadaNevradaNevradaNew HampshireNew MexicoNorth CarolinaOregonOklahomaOriadoSouth CarolinaSouth CarolinaVermontVirginiaWashingtonWest VirginiaWashingtonWest VirginiaVisconsinI washingtonWest VirginiaI west VirginiaI wes				
Connecticut DELAWARE District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maine Minnesota Missispipi Missispipi Missispipi New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New Vork North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Carolina Itah Yinginia West Virginia Wisconsin Wisconsin Wisconsin Itah Vermont Virginia Wisconsin Visconsin Itah Visconsin Itah				
DELAWARE				
Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Iowa Iowa Idano Iowa Indiana Iowa Indiana Iowa Indiana Iowa Indiana Iowa Indiana Iowa Indiana Iowa Iowa Kansas Indiana Iowa				
Georgia	District of Columbia			
Hawaii Image: Second Secon	Florida			
Idaho Image: Second	Georgia			
Illinois Indiana Iowa Iowa Kansas Kansas Kansas Louisiana Maine Iowa Maine Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Michigan Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska New Jersey New Hampshire New Vork New York North Carolina Ohio Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Carolina South Carolina South Carolina Virginia Washington West Virginia Wyoming	Hawaii			
Indiana Iowa Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Aevada Nevada Nevada New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina Ohio Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Carolina South Dakota Utah Vermont Virginia Washington Wyoming				
IowaKansasKentuckyLouisianaMaineMarylandMassachusettsMichiganMichiganMinnesotaMississippiMississippiMontanaNebraskaNewadaNew HampshireNew HexicoNew YorkNorth CarolinaNorth DakotaOhioOhioOklahomaOregonPensylvaniaRhode IslandSouth CarolinaSouth CarolinaImage: South CarolinaImage: South CarolinaOhioOhioOhioOregonPensylvaniaImage: South DakotaImage: South CarolinaImage: South Carolina<	Illinois			
KansasKentuckyLouisianaMaineMarylandMarylandMassachusettsMichiganMinesotaMinnesotaMinnesotaMinnesotaMississippiMissouriMontanaNebraskaNewadaNew HampshireNew JerseyNew YorkNorth CarolinaNorth CarolinaOhioOhioOklahomaOregonPennsylvaniaSouth CarolinaSouth CarolinaSouth CarolinaVermontYirginiaWashingtonWest VirginiaWashingtonWest VirginiaWashington	Indiana			
KentuckyLouisianaMaineMarylandMassachusettsMichiganMinnesotaMississispipiMontanaNebraskaNevadaNevadaNew HampshireNew MexicoNew YorkNorth DakotaOhioOregonPennsylvaniaRhode IslandSouth CarolinaSouth CarolinaImage: South Carolina <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
LouisianaMaineMarylandMassachusettsMichiganMinnesotaMinnesotaMississispipiMontanaNebraskaNevadaNew HampshireNew HampshireNew MexicoNew YorkNorth DakotaOhioOklahomaOklahomaOklahomaImage SupplicationNetraskaNeraskaNew JerseyNew MexicoNew YorkNorth DakotaOhioOhioOklahomaOregonPennsylvaniaRhode IslandSouth CarolinaSouth DakotaTennesseeTexasUtahVermontVirginiaWashingtonWisconsinWyoming				
MaineMarylandMassachusettsMichiganMinnesotaMinnesotaMississippiMissouriMontanaNebraskaNew JarseyNew JerseyNew JerseyNew YorkNorth CarolinaNorth DakotaOhioOregonPennsylvaniaRhode IslandSouth DakotaOregonPennsylvaniaIntenseeIntenseeIntenseeIntenseeIntenseeIntenseeIntenseeIntenseeIntenseeIntenseeIntenseeIntenseIntenseiIntense<	-			
MarylandMassachusettsMichiganMinnesotaMinnesotaMississippiMissouriAMontanaNebraskaNevadaNew HampshireNew JerseyNew MexicoNew YorkNorth CarolinaNorth DakotaOhioOklahomaOregonPennsylvaniaRhode IslandSouth CarolinaSouth DakotaOregonPennsylvaniaItennesseeTexasUtahVermontVirginiaWashingtonWest VirginiaWisconsinWyoming				
MassachusettsMichiganMinnesotaMinnesotaMississispiMissouriAMontanaNebraskaNevadaNew HampshireNew HampshireNew HerseyNew MexicoNew YorkNorth CarolinaNorth DakotaOhioOklahomaOregonPennsylvaniaRhode IslandSouth CarolinaSouth DakotaItennesseeItennesseeItenseVirginiaWashingtonWest VirginiaWisconsinWyoming				
MichiganImage: Constraint of the second of the	-			
MinnesotaMississippiMissouriMontanaNebraskaNevadaNewadaNew HampshireNew JerseyNew MexicoNew MexicoNew YorkNorth CarolinaNorth DakotaOhioOklahomaOregonPennsylvaniaRhode IslandSouth CarolinaSouth CarolinaImage: South CarolinaSouth CarolinaVermontYirginiaWashingtonWest VirginiaWisconsinWyoming				
Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada Newada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Carolina South Carolina Yermont Vermont Virginia Washington Wyoming	-			
MissouriImage: Constraint of the second				
MontanaNebraskaNevadaNew HampshireNew HampshireNew JerseyNew MexicoNew YorkNorth CarolinaNorth DakotaOhioOklahomaOklahomaOregonPennsylvaniaRhode IslandSouth CarolinaSouth CarolinaImage: South DakotaImage: Sou				
NebraskaNevadaNew HampshireNew JerseyNew MexicoNew YorkNorth CarolinaNorth DakotaOhioOklahomaOregonPennsylvaniaRhode IslandSouth CarolinaSouth CarolinaImage: South CarolinaSouth CarolinaSouth CarolinaVermontUtahVermontVirginiaWashingtonWisconsinWyoming				
Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Carolina South Dakota Itennessee Itennessee Itennessee Virginia Vermont Virginia Washington Wisconsin				
New HampshireImage: Constraint of the second se				
New JerseyImage: Constraint of the second secon				
New MexicoNew YorkNorth CarolinaNorth DakotaOhioOhioOklahomaOregonPennsylvaniaRhode IslandSouth CarolinaSouth DakotaTennesseeTexasUtahVermontVirginiaWashingtonWisconsinWyoming				
North CarolinaNorth DakotaOhioOhioOklahomaOregonPennsylvaniaRhode IslandSouth CarolinaSouth CarolinaSouth DakotaTennesseeTexasUtahVermontVirginiaWashingtonWest VirginiaWisconsinWyoming				
North DakotaOhioOklahomaOregonPennsylvaniaRhode IslandSouth CarolinaSouth CarolinaSouth DakotaTennesseeItahVermontVirginiaWashingtonWest VirginiaWisconsinWyoming	New York	_		
OhioIOklahomaIOregonIPennsylvaniaIRhode IslandISouth CarolinaISouth DakotaITennesseeITexasIUtahIVermontIVirginiaIWashingtonIWisconsinIWyomingI	North Carolina			
OklahomaOregonPennsylvaniaRhode IslandSouth CarolinaSouth DakotaTennesseeImage: Construction of the second of th	North Dakota			
OregonPennsylvaniaRhode IslandSouth CarolinaSouth DakotaTennesseeTennesseeUtahVermontVirginiaWashingtonWisconsinWyoming	Ohio			
PennsylvaniaRhode IslandSouth CarolinaSouth DakotaTennesseeTexasUtahVermontVirginiaWashingtonWest VirginiaWisconsinWyoming	Oklahoma			
Rhode IslandImage: Constraint of the systemSouth CarolinaImage: Constraint of the systemSouth DakotaImage: Constraint of the systemTennesseeImage: Constraint of the systemTexasImage: Constraint of the systemUtahImage: Constraint of the systemVermontImage: Constraint of the systemVirginiaImage: Constraint of the systemWest VirginiaImage: Constraint of the systemWisconsinImage: Constraint of the systemWyomingImage: Constraint of the system	Oregon			
South CarolinaSouth DakotaTennesseeTexasUtahVermontVirginiaWashingtonWest VirginiaWisconsinWisconsinWyoming	-			
South DakotaTennesseeTexasUtahVermontVirginiaWashingtonWest VirginiaWisconsinImage: Source of the second				
TennesseeTexasUtahVermontVirginiaWashingtonWest VirginiaWisconsinImage: Strain S				
Texas Image: Constraint of the second se				
UtahVermontVirginiaWashingtonWest VirginiaWisconsinImage: State of the state				
VermontVirginiaWashingtonWest VirginiaWisconsinWyoming				
Virginia Image: Constraint of the second s				
WashingtonImage: Constraint of the second secon				
West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming				
Wisconsin Image: Constraint of the second secon	-			
Wyoming	_			

📕 Fully addresses instructional component 🛛 🖌 Partially addresses instructional component

SUMMARY OF SPECIAL EDUCATION **TEACHER PREP FIGURES**

Figure 18

Requirements for instructional shifts associated with college- and career-readiness standards

Figure 19

Distinctions in licenses between elementary and secondary teachers

Figure 20

Content test requirements

Figure 21

Science of reading requirements

Figure 22

Teacher Prep Review findings about special education teacher prep

EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE

Although all states have weaknesses when it comes to special education teachers' preparedness to meet the instructional requirements of college- and careerreadiness standards for students, both Indiana and New York are notable for addressing the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through increasingly complex informational texts and careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with these standards.

Unfortunately, states are also weak in other areas of special education teacher preparation. However, three states-Missouri, New York and Rhode Island-are worthy of mention for taking steps in the right direction in ensuring that all special education teachers know the subject matter they are required to teach. These three states require that elementary special education candidates pass the same elementary content tests, which are comprised of individual subtests, as general education elementary teachers.

Secondary special education teachers in New York must pass a multi-subject content test for special education teachers comprised of three separately scored sections. Rhode Island requires its secondary special education teachers to hold certification in another secondary area. Secondary special education teachers in Missouri can either take a multi-subject test comprised of four separately scored sections or a single-subject secondary assessment.

Figure 19	a a	3	Offers only a K-12 certification & 12
Do states distinguish	DFFE	ATIC	Ley K
between elementary	5		12.5
and secondary special	E CE	fers k	fers iffical
education teachers?	02'	Q E	రక్ర
Alabama			
Alaska			
Arizona			
Arkansas			
California			
Colorado			
Connecticut			
DELAWARE District of Columbia			
Florida			
Georgia			
Hawaii			
Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana			
lowa			
Kansas			
Kentucky			
Louisiana Maine			
Maryland			
Massachusetts			
Michigan			
Minnesota			
Mississippi			
Missouri	1		
Montana			
Nebraska			
Nevada			
New Hampshire	1		
New Jersey New Mexico			
New York			
North Carolina			
North Dakota			
Ohio			
Oklahoma			
Oregon	1		
Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina South Dakota			
Tennessee			
Texas			
Utah			
Vermont	1		
Virginia			
Washington			
West Virginia			
Wisconsin			
Wyoming			
	17	12	22

Figure 20

Which states require subject-matter testing for special education teachers?

Elementary Subject-Matter Test				
Required for an elementary special education license	Alabama, Iowa, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Missouri ¹ , New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania ² , Rhode Island, Texas, West Virginia ³ , Wisconsin			
Required for a K-12 special education license	Colorado, Idaho, North Carolina			
Secondary Subject-Matter Test(s)				
Tests in all core subjects required for secondary special education license	Missouri ¹ , New York ⁴ , Wisconsin ⁵			
Test in at least one subject required for secondary special education license	Louisiana, New Jersey, Pennsylvania², Rhode Island, West Virginia³			
Required for a K-12 special education license	None			

1. Missouri offers a K-12 certification but candidates must pass either the elementary multi-content assessment or the middle/secondary multi-content assessment.

2. In Pennsylvania, a candidate who opts for dual certification in elementary or secondary special education and as a reading specialist does not have to take a content test.

3. West Virginia also allows elementary special education candidates to earn dual certification in early childhood, which would not require a content test. Secondary special education candidates earning a dual certification as a reading specialist are similarly exempted.

4. New York requires a multi-subject content test specifically geared to secondary special education candidates. It is divided into three subtests.

5. Wisconsin requires a middle school level content area test which does not report subscores for each area.


Figure 19

1. Missouri, New Jersey, Oregon and Vermont issue a K-12 certificate, but candidates must meet discrete elementary and/or secondary requirements.



Figure 22 TEACHER PREP REVIEW FINDINGS

Less than 5 percent of preparation programs ensure that special education teachers are well prepared in the subjects they will teach.



 These states do not offer a standalone early childhood certification that includes elementary grades or the state's early childhood certification is the de facto license to teach elementary grades.

Admission into Teacher Preparation

Key Components

(The factors considered in determining the states' ratings for this topic.)

- 1. The state should limit admission to teacher preparation programs to candidates in the top half of the college-going population.
- 2. The state should require teacher candidates to pass a test of academic proficiency that assesses reading, writing and mathematics skills as a criterion for admission to teacher preparation programs. Alternatively, academic proficiency could be demonstrated by grade point average.



RAISING THE BAR FOR TEACHER PREP THROUGH HIGHER ADMISSION STANDARDS

NCTQ has repeatedly found that too many teacher preparation programs are in need of major improvement, graduating first-year teachers lacking skills and content knowledge adequate to thrive in the classroom. One important way states can raise the bar for teacher preparation programs is to set more ambitious admission requirements for new elementary, secondary and special education teachers. This is even more relevant and important as the increasing expectations of college- and career-readiness standards demand more from teachers academically. A key criterion for admissions is evidence of a strong academic background, and states should require programs to select candidates from the top half of the college-going population. Countries like Singapore and Finland are even more restrictive in admissions; the top half goal is realistic and achievable while representing a significantly higher standard for programs throughout the United States. Until recently, few states had rigorous academic standards for admission, but with states like Rhode Island and Delaware significantly raising the bar by taking the lead in establishing higher standards and new accreditation requirements from CAEP, this is beginning to change.

DELAWARE ADMISSION INTO TEACHER PREP SNAPSHOT

State requires a minimum GPA of 3.0 for admission into teacher prep.

State requires a test normed to college-bound population prior to admission to prep program.

Yes 🗡 No

Delaware requires prospective teachers to have a minimum GPA of 3.0 or a GPA in the "top 50th percentile for coursework completed during the most recent two years of the applicant's general education." Applicants must also achieve a minimum score on a standardized test "normed to the general college-bound population." Educator preparation programs may waive admissions requirements for up to 10 percent of students admitted. In addition, Delaware expects programs to maintain CAEP accreditation, which requires programs to meet a high bar for program admissions.

Supporting Research

Delaware Administrative Code Title 14 Chapter 12 Section 1280

DELAWARE RESPONSE TO ANALYSIS

While not asked to respond to the full analysis for this section, Delaware did not indicate any policy updates related to admission to teacher preparation.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Ensure that the passing score for the test of academic proficiency is sufficiently rigorous.

Delaware is commended for setting one of the highest bars in the country for program admission. The state should ensure that the minimum score established for the required test of academic proficiency is appropriately rigorous and selects from the top half of test takers. The state may also want to consider using a sliding scale of GPA and test scores to allow flexibility for candidates in demonstrating academic ability. When using such multiple measures, a sliding scale that still ensures minimum standards would allow students to earn program admission through a higher GPA and a lower test score, or vice-versa.

 Consider requiring candidates to pass subject-matter tests as a condition of admission into teacher programs.

In addition to ensuring that programs require a measure of academic performance for admission, Delaware might also want to consider requiring content testing prior to program admission as opposed to at the point of program completion. Program candidates are likely to have completed coursework that covers related test content in the prerequisite classes required for program admission. Thus, it would be sensible to have candidates take content tests while this knowledge is fresh rather than wait two years to fulfill the requirement, and candidates lacking sufficient expertise would be able to remedy deficits prior to entering formal preparation.

Figure 23		HA CAR		No test required	,
			t giat	S or	
Do states measure the	, p		p Dr.	p pro	
academic proficiency of teacher candidates?	MED III	Ted Par		ulired of the	
leacher canufales?	200	DON Jate	for t	trion c	
				o tes	
	A B	, c b		5/ <i>×</i>	
Alabama					
Alaska					
Arizona Arkansas					
California					
Colorado					
Connecticut					
DELAWARE					
District of Columbia	1				
Florida					
Georgia					
Hawaii	1				
Idaho					
Illinois					
Indiana					
lowa					
Kansas					
Kentucky Louisiana					
Maine					
Maryland					
Massachusetts					
Michigan	1				
Minnesota					
Mississippi					
Missouri					
Montana					
Nebraska					
Nevada					
New Hampshire					
New Jersey	1				
New Mexico New York	1				
North Carolina	1				
North Dakota					
Ohio					
Oklahoma		2			
Oregon					
Pennsylvania					
Rhode Island					
South Carolina	1				
South Dakota					
Tennessee					
Texas					
Utah	1				
Vermont					
Virginia Washington	1				
Washington West Virginia					
Wisconsin					
Wyoming					
	13	18	13	7	
	15	10	15	/	

SUMMARY OF ADMISSION INTO TEACHER **PREP FIGURES**

- Figure 23 Test of academic proficiency requirements
- Figure 24
 - **GPA** requirements
- Figure 25

Teacher Prep Review findings about admissions


EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE

While many states now require CAEP accreditation which includes a standard requiring strong admission practices, Rhode Island and Delaware have set requirements independent of the accreditation process, ensuring that the states' expectations are clear. Both states require a test of academic proficiency normed to the general college-bound population rather than a test that is normed just to prospective teachers. Delaware also requires teacher candidates to have a 3.0 GPA or be in the top 50th percentile for general education coursework completed. Rhode Island also requires an average cohort GPA of 3.0, and, beginning in 2016, the cohort mean score on nationally-normed tests such as the ACT, SAT or GRE must be in the top 50th percentile. In 2020, the requirement for the mean test score will increase from the top half to the top third.

1. Requirements for admissions test normed to college-bound population is based on CAEP accreditation standards, not state's own admission policy.

2. Candidates in Oklahoma also have the option of gaining admission with a 3.0 GPA.

Do states require a minimum GPA for admission to teacher prep?




 Strong Practice: Delaware, District of Columbia⁵, Georgia⁶, Hawaii⁵, Louisiana⁵, Michigan⁵, Mississippi⁶, New Jersey⁶, New York⁵, North Carolina⁵, Oklahoma⁷, Pennsylvania⁸, Rhode Island, South Carolina⁵, Utah, Virginia⁵

- 2. Kentucky, Texas
- 3. Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut⁹, Florida, Nebraska, South Dakota, Wisconsin¹⁰
- Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Tennessee, Vermont, Washington, West Virginia, Wyoming
- 5. Required minimum GPA of 3.0 is based on CAEP accreditation standards, not state's own admission policy.
- 6. The 3.0 GPA requirement is a cohort average; individual candidates in Mississippi and New Jersey must have a 2.75 GPA. Individual candidates in Georgia must have a 2.5 GPA.
- 7. Candidates in Oklahoma also have the option of gaining admission by passing a basic skills test.
- 8. Students can also be admitted with a combination of a 2.8 GPA and qualifying scores on the basic skills test or SAT/ACT.
- 9. Connecticut requires a B- grade point average for all undergraduate courses.
- 10. The GPA admission requirement is 2.5 for undergraduate and 2.75 for graduate programs.

Figure 25

TEACHER PREP REVIEW FINDINGS

Only 28 percent of teacher preparation programs have a high bar for admissions.



From NCTQ's 2014 *Teacher Prep Review* Standard 1: Selection Criteria (n=2,396 elementary, secondary and special education programs)

Teacher Preparation Program Accountability

Key Components

(The factors considered in determining the states' ratings for this topic.)

- The state should incorporate preparation to teach to college- and career-readiness standards into its accountability requirements for teacher preparation programs.
- 2. The state should collect data that connects student achievement gains to teacher preparation programs. Such data can include value-added or growth analyses conducted specifically for this purpose or evaluation ratings that incorporate objective measures of student learning to a significant extent.
- 3. The state should establish the minimum standard of performance for each category of data. Programs should be held accountable for meeting these standards, with articulated consequences for failing to do so, including loss of program approval.
- 4. The state should produce and publish on its website an annual report card that shows all the data the state collects on individual teacher preparation programs.
- The state should retain full authority over its process for approving teacher preparation programs.

How well are states ensuring that teacher preparation programs are accountable for their performance?

18

Alaska, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Hawaii, Idaho, Minnesota, Nebraska, New York, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, Wyoming

Arizona, Arkansas, California, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Maryland, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, New Hampshire, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia

Alabama, Indiana, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, South Carolina, Washington, Wisconsin

Colorado, **DELAWARE**, Florida, Georgia, Michigan, North Carolina, Ohio, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Texas

Louisiana

HOLDING PREPARATION PROGRAMS ACCOUNTABLE FOR RESULTS

The ultimate goal of teacher preparation programs should be to produce teachers who are effective in educating their students and ensure that they are ready for college and career. As programs operate by virtue of state approval, it is the state's responsibility to connect approval to accountability measures that ensure high performance. While this goal may have been hard to assess a few years ago, that is no longer the case. Redesigned evaluations of teacher effectiveness in the majority of states offer an opportunity for states to collect meaningful objective data on the performance of program graduates. To date, few states connect their process of approving teacher preparation programs to measurable outcome data about programs' graduates.

DELAWARE

Yes

TEACHER PREP ACCOUNTABILITY SNAPSHOT

State collects data that connects student achievement gains to teacher preparation programs.

State collects other meaningful data that reflect program performance.

State has set minimum standards for program performance.

State publishes an annual report card on its own website.

State retains full authority over its approval process.

X No

Based on recent legislation, educator preparation programs will now be required to collect and report data on the performance and effectiveness of program graduates. Programs are required to annually report metrics including number of program completers and noncompleters; placement in Delaware schools by subject area, grade level and LEA, including notes for high-need schools and subjects; pass rates on program performance assessments; average DPAS-II teacher evaluation ratings, including the student growth component; measures of employer or supervisor satisfaction; and retention for five years. Delaware will make this data available to the public.

Delaware has not indicated plans to apply any transparent, measurable criteria for conferring program approval. In Delaware, national accreditation is required for program approval.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Establish the minimum standard of performance for each category of data.

Merely collecting data about program performance is insufficient for accountability purposes. The next and perhaps more critical step is for the state to establish precise minimum standards for teacher preparation program performance for each category of data. Programs should then be held accountable for meeting these standards, and there should be consequences for failing to do so, including loss of program approval.

 Maintain full authority over the process for approving teacher preparation programs.

Delaware should not cede its authority and must ensure that it is the state that considers the evidence of program performance and makes the decision about whether programs should continue to be authorized to prepare teachers.

Supporting Research

SB 51 (2013) Delaware Administrative Code Title 14, 290 www.ncate.org

DELAWARE RESPONSE TO ANALYSIS

While not asked to respond to the full analysis for this section, Delaware was helpful in providing additional information related to teacher prep program accountability.



SUMMARY OF TEACHER PREP PROGRAM ACCOUNTABILITY FIGURES

Figure 26

Accountability requirements


Figure 27 Use of student achievement data

EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE

No state has yet implemented a full accountability system for teacher preparation that features data, including student achievement gains, connected to teacher preparation programs (not just the institution level); has clear minimum standards of performance for those data; and publishes the results for use by prospective teachers, hiring school districts and the general public. Some states are well on their way. **Georgia** and **Louisiana** collect student achievement gains and set minimum standards of performance, while **Ohio** and **Tennessee** have published report cards that include connections to student achievement gains.

Figure 27

Do states connect student achievement data to teacher preparation programs?



1. Strong Practice: Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, North Carolina, Ohio, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Texas

 Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, District of Columbia³, Hawaii³, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland³, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York³, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming

3. Included in state's Race to the Top plan, but not in policy or yet implemented.

Figure 26

1. For traditional preparation programs only.

2. State does not distinguish between alternate route programs and traditional preparation

programs in public reporting. 3. For alternate routes only.

Teacher Preparation Policy Priorities for Delaware

Prepare all teachers to meet the instructional shifts of college- and career-readiness standards for students.

- Strengthen preparation requirements to ensure teacher candidates have the ability to address the use of informational texts as well as incorporate complex informational texts into classroom instruction.
 Priority for elementary, middle, secondary and special education teacher preparation.
- Through testing frameworks or teacher standards, include literacy skills and using text to build content knowledge in history/social studies, science, technical subjects and the arts.
 Priority for elementary, middle, secondary and special education teacher preparation.
- Ensure teachers are prepared to intervene and support students who are struggling with reading. *Priority for elementary, middle, secondary and special education teacher preparation.*

Additional priorities for elementary teacher preparation:

- Require a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.
- Require early childhood education teachers who teach at the elementary level to pass a content test with separate passing scores for each of the core subject areas.
- Require a content specialization in an academic subject area.

Additional priorities for secondary teacher preparation:

Require secondary science and social studies teachers to pass a content test for each discipline they are licensed to teach.

Additional priorities for special education teacher preparation:

- Eliminate the K-12 special education certificate, and require licenses that differentiate between preparation of elementary and secondary teacher candidates.
- Require elementary special education candidates to pass a rigorous content test as a condition of initial licensure, as well as a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.
- Ensure secondary special education teachers possess adequate content knowledge for the grades and subjects they teach.

Hold preparation programs accountable:

Set minimum standards for program performance with consequences for failure to meet those standards.

National Council on Teacher Quality 1120 G Street, NW • Washington, DC 20005 Tel: 202-393-0020 Fax: 202-393-0095 Web: www.nctg.org

Follow NCTQ on Twitter 🕒 and Facebook 📑

NCTQ is available to work with individual states to improve teacher policies. For more information, please contact.

> Sandi Jacobs Vice President sjacobs@nctq.org 202-393-0020