
Colorado

Are New Teachers Being Prepared for College- and Career-Readiness Standards?



Acknowledgments

STATES

State education agencies remain our most important partners in this effort, and their gracious cooperation has helped to ensure the factual accuracy of the final product. Although this year's edition did not require the extensive review that comprehensive editions require, we still wanted to make sure that we captured all relevant policy changes and that states' perspectives were represented. Every state formally received a draft of the policy updates we identified in June 2014 as well as a draft of analyses and recommendations for the new indicators related to college- and career-readiness standards for comment and correction. States also received a final draft of their reports a month prior to release. All but two states responded to our inquiries. While states do not always agree with our recommendations, their willingness to engage in dialogue, explain their differing points of view and often acknowledge the imperfections of their teacher policies are important steps in moving forward.

FUNDERS

The primary funders for the 2014 Yearbook were:

- Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation
- Carnegie Corporation of New York
- Gleason Family Foundation
- J.A. and Kathryn Albertson Foundation
- The Joyce Foundation
- The Walton Family Foundation

The National Council on Teacher Quality does not accept any direct funding from the federal government.

STAFF

Sandi Jacobs, *Project Director*Kathryn M. Doherty, *Special Contributor*Kelli Lakis, *Lead Researcher*Phil Lasser and Lisa N. Staresina, *Researchers*

Special thanks to Leigh Zimnisky and Justin Rakowski at Ironmark for their design of the 2014 *Yearbook*. Thanks also to Colleen Hale and Jeff Hale at EFA Solutions for the original *Yearbook* design and ongoing technical support.



Teacher Preparation Policy Priorities for Colorado

Prepare all teachers to meet the instructional shifts of college- and career-readiness standards for students.

- Strengthen preparation requirements to ensure teacher candidates have the ability to address the use of
 informational texts as well as incorporate complex informational texts into classroom instruction.
 Priority for elementary, middle, secondary and special education teacher preparation.
- Through testing frameworks or teacher standards, include literacy skills and using text to build content knowledge in history/social studies, science, technical subjects and the arts.

 Priority for elementary, middle, secondary and special education teacher preparation.

Additional priorities for elementary teacher preparation:

- Require all elementary teacher candidates—including candidates for an early childhood license—to pass
 a rigorous content test that assesses knowledge of all core subjects, including mathematics, and requires
 a meaningful passing score for each area.
- Require a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.

Additional priorities for middle school teacher preparation:

■ Require teacher candidates to pass a content test in every core area they are licensed to teach.

Additional priorities for secondary teacher preparation:

- Require secondary candidates to pass a content test in each subject they are licensed to teach.
- Require secondary science and social studies teachers to pass a content test for each discipline they are licensed to teach.

Additional priorities for special education teacher preparation:

- Eliminate the K-12 special education certificate, and require licenses that differentiate between preparation of elementary and secondary teacher candidates.
- Require elementary special education candidates to pass a rigorous content test as a condition of initial licensure, as well as a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.
- Ensure secondary special education teachers possess adequate content knowledge for the grades and subjects they teach.

Raise admission requirements:

Limit admission to teacher preparation programs to candidates in the top half of the college-going population, measured by a test normed to the general college-bound population or minimum GPA.

Hold preparation programs accountable:

• Set minimum standards for program performance with consequences for failure to meet those standards.

Teacher Preparation in Colorado

The 2014 State Teacher Policy Yearbook keeps the spotlight on the critical issue of teacher preparation. In addition to updating the full set of teacher preparation policies reviewed in last year's comprehensive edition, the 2014 Yearbook casts a critical eye on whether states have established requirements for teacher preparation and licensure that help to ensure that teachers are ready for the increased demands of states' college- and career-readiness standards for K-12 students.

Current Status of Colorado Teacher Prep Policy



Prior Grades: D- 2013 D- 2012 D- 2011

Yearbook Goal	Торіс	2014 Score	2013 Score
1-A	Admission into Preparation Programs	0	0
1-B	Elementary Teacher Preparation	•	
1-C	Elementary Teacher Preparation in Reading Instruction		
1-D	Elementary Teacher Preparation in Mathematics		
1-E	Middle School Teacher Preparation		
1-F	Secondary Teacher Preparation	•	
1-G	Secondary Teacher Preparation in Science	0	
1-H	Special Education Teacher Preparation	•	
1-I	Assessing Professional Knowledge		0
1-J	Student Teaching		
1-K	Teacher Preparation Program Accountability	•	•

2014 Teacher Prep Policy Update for Colorado

Based on a review of state legislation, rules and regulations, NCTQ has identified the following recent teacher prep policy changes in Colorado:

Elementary Teacher Preparation

Colorado requires candidates to pass either the revised Praxis II Elementary Education: Content Knowledge (5018) test or the PLACE elementary education content test.

Praxis Test Requirement www.ets.org PLACE Test Requirement www.place.nesinc.com

Colorado Response to Policy Update

States were asked to review NCTQ's identified updates and also to comment on policy changes related to teacher preparation that have occurred in the last year, pending changes, or teacher preparation in the state more generally.

Colorado noted that two current initiatives are engaged in aligning educator preparation with all current K-12 initiatives. One project involves the integration of Colorado Academic Standards and the Quality Standards into educator preparation programs. The other initiative involves the alignment of licensing rules with Colorado Academic Standards and the selection of relevant content assessments.

http://highered.colorado.gov/academics/TeacherEd/EdPrepProject.html

igure A				
elivering well-				
repared teachers	2014 GRADE	2013 GRADE	2012 GRADE	2011 GRADE
Alabama	B-	В	B-	С
Alaska	F	F	F	F
Arizona	D	D-	D-	D-
Arkansas	C+	C+	С	C
California	D+	D+	D	D
COLORADO	D-	D-	D	D-
Connecticut	B-	B-	C+	C-
Delaware	B-	C+	D-	D-
District of Columbia	C-	D+	D	D
Florida	B+	B+	B-	B-
Georgia	C+	C+	С	С
Hawaii	D-	F	D	D
Idaho	D+	D+	D	D
Illinois	D+	D+	D	D
Indiana	B+	B+	B-	C+
lowa	D+	D+	D	D
Kansas	D+	D+	D+	D+
Kentucky	B-	B-	C+	C-
Louisiana	C	C-	C	C
Maine	D+	D+	D+	D
Maryland	D+	D+	D+	D+
Massachusetts	B-	B-	C+	C+
Michigan	D+	D	D+	D+
Minnesota	C+	C+	C+	С
Mississippi	С	C-	С	С
Missouri	B-	C-	D+	D+
Montana	F	F	F	F
Nebraska	D-	F	D-	D-
Nevada	D-	D-	D-	D-
New Hampshire	C-	C-	C-	D
New Jersey	B-	B-	C-	D+
New Mexico	D+	D	D+	D+
New York	В	B-	C-	D+
North Carolina	C+	C+	D-	D-
North Dakota	D	D	D	D
Ohio	С	С	C-	D+
Oklahoma	C	С	С	С
Oregon	D+	D	D-	D-
Pennsylvania	C	С	С	С
Rhode Island	B+	B+	С	D+
South Carolina	C+	С	C-	C-
South Dakota	D	D-	D	D
Tennessee	B-	B-	B-	B-
Texas	В	В	C+	C+
Utah	C-	D+	D	D
Vermont	С	С	C-	D+
Virginia	B-	C+	C-	C-
Washington	D+	D+	D+	D+
West Virginia	C+	C+	C-	C-
Wisconsin	C	C-	D+	D
Wyoming	D-	F	F	F
WVOming				

Figure B	
Delivering well-	
prepared teachers	2014 GRADE
Florida	B+
Indiana	B+
Rhode Island	B+
New York	В
Texas	В
Alabama	B-
Connecticut	B-
Delaware	B-
Kentucky	B-
Massachusetts	B-
Missouri	B-
New Jersey	B-
Tennessee	B-
Virginia	B-
Arkansas	C+
Georgia	C+
Minnesota	C+
North Carolina	C+
South Carolina	C+
West Virginia	C+
Louisiana	С
Mississippi	С
Ohio	С
Oklahoma	С
Pennsylvania	С
Vermont	С
Wisconsin	С
District of Columbia	C-
New Hampshire	C-
Utah	C-
California	D+
Idaho	D+
Illinois	D+
lowa	D+
Kansas	D+
Mandand	D+
Maryland	D+
Michigan New Mexico	D+
	D+
Oregon Washington	D+ D+
Arizona	D
North Dakota	D
South Dakota	D
COLORADO	D-
Hawaii	D-
Nebraska	D-
Nevada	D-
Wyoming	D-
Alaska	F
Montana	F
Average State Grade	C

Elementary Teacher Preparation

Key Components

(The factors considered in determining the states' ratings for this topic.)

- 1. The state should ensure that all elementary teachers are sufficiently prepared for the ways that college- and career-readiness standards affect instruction of all subject areas. Specifically,
 - A. The state should require that all new elementary teachers are prepared to incorporate complex texts and academic language into instruction.
 - B. The state should ensure that all new elementary teachers are prepared to incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.
 - C. The state should ensure that all new elementary teachers of English language arts are prepared to support struggling readers.
- 2. The state should require that new elementary teachers, including those who can teach elementary grades on an early childhood license, pass a rigorous test of reading instruction in order to attain licensure.
- 3. The state should ensure that all elementary teacher candidates, including those who can teach elementary grades on an early childhood license, possess sufficient content knowledge in all core subjects, including mathematics.
- 4. The state should require that its approved teacher preparation programs deliver a comprehensive program of study in broad liberal arts coursework. An adequate curriculum is likely to require approximately 45 credit hours to ensure appropriate depth in the core subject areas of English, mathematics, science, social studies and fine arts.
- 5. The state should require elementary teacher candidates to complete a content specialization in an academic subject area. In addition to enhancing content knowledge, this requirement ensures that prospective teachers have taken higher-level academic coursework.



Elementary Teacher Prep Analysis: Colorado

PREPARING ELEMENTARY TEACHERS FOR COLLEGE- AND **CAREER-READINESS STANDARDS**

The new demands of college- and career-readiness standards for students heighten the need for elementary teachers to have a strong content background in all of the subject matter taught in the elementary grades. Colorado, like most states, has adopted such standards and must ensure that its preparation and licensure requirements for new teachers address this need.

Currently, Colorado offers an elementary license to teach grades K-6. The state also offers an early childhood license from birth through age 8. Key licensing requirements for elementary school teachers in Colorado include:



COLORADO ELEMENTARY TEACHER PREP SNAPSHOT



X State requires passing a content test in each of the four core subjects.



State requires adequate test on the science of reading.



State requires academic content specialization.



State has adequate/appropriate requirements for teachers who teach elementary grades on an early childhood license.





In addition to the strong content background called for by collegeand career-readiness standards, teacher candidates must also be prepared for the key instructional shifts that differentiate these standards from their predecessors. Colorado requires candidates to pass either the revised Praxis II Elementary Education: Content Knowledge (5018) test or the PLACE elementary education content test. The Praxis II's reading and language arts subtest includes some of the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with these standards. However, although the framework now addresses complex texts, it only does so in the context of measuring text complexity and does not address how to also incorporate increasingly complex texts into instruction. The PLACE test only requires teachers to "understand strategies for locating, selecting, and using information from a variety of sources."

Early childhood education teachers are required to pass the PLACE Early Childhood Education assessment, which does not address informational texts.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Ensure that elementary teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and careerreadiness standards for students.

Incorporate informational text of increasing complexity into classroom instruction.

Although the revised Praxis II Content Knowledge test is a step in the right direction, this assessment still does not adequately capture all the major instructional shifts of college- and career-readiness standards. Colorado's literacy standards address complex texts but, as with the revised test, they do not ensure teachers' ability to incorporate these texts into instruction. Colorado is therefore encouraged to strengthen its teacher preparation requirements and ensure that all candidates who teach the elementary grades have the ability to address the use of informational texts as well as incorporate complex informational texts into classroom instruction.

Incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.

To ensure that elementary students are capable of accessing varied information about the world around them, Colorado should also either through testing frameworks or teacher standards—more specifically include literacy skills and using text to build content knowledge in history/social studies, science, technical subjects and the arts.

Require all elementary teacher candidates—including candidates for an early childhood license—to pass a subjectmatter test designed to ensure sufficient content knowledge of all subjects.

Colorado should ensure that all elementary content tests are appropriately aligned with its college- and career-readiness standards. The state should require separate, meaningful passing scores for each core subject covered on the test, including reading/language arts, math, science and social studies. A candidate may achieve a passing score and still be seriously deficient in a

Colorado's literacy standards for preparation programs require teachers to develop reading comprehension that incorporates content area literacy. The state outlines the following competencies:

- Teach students to summarize, make inferences, draw conclusions and interpret complex information in literary, informational and technical texts
- Teach students to follow extended instructions in informational or technical texts
- Teach the conventions, elements and text structures associated with informational texts drawn from history, mathematics, science, and other content areas, including an author's purpose or stance, organizational plan, etc.
- Teach students to analyze literary and informational texts to determine 1) text forms, literary elements, and text features related to meaning, and 2) the historical period in which they were written.

Colorado's literacy standards also require teachers to "select, use and interpret formal and informal assessments of reading comprehension and use them to make instructional decisions and to plan instructional interventions targeted for improved student outcomes." Similar competencies exist regarding assessments of phonological and phonemic awareness; phonics surveys, writing samples and word identification assessments; and assessments of reading fluency. The state's standards also require that a teacher be "knowledgeable about learning exceptionalities and conditions that affect the rate and extent of student learning, and is able to adapt instruction for all learners."

Supporting Research

Praxis Test

www.ets.org/praxis

PLACE Test

www.place.nesinc.com

Literacy Standards

http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdeprof/epp_review_resources/license_review.htm

Code of Colorado Regulations, 1 CCR 301-37, 2260.5-R-5.00, 5.02, 5.04

Commission on Higher Education Part P, Section I, 3.03

Spurlin, M. D. (1985) Colorado Teacher Education and Certification Report (pg. iii). Colorado State Publications Library: ED 5/80.2/T22/.

Colorado Commission on Higher Education Meeting, March 24, 1986, pg. 3

RECOMMENDATIONS CONTINUED

particular subject area. Mathematics content in particular should be assessed with a rigorous assessment tool, such as the test required in Massachusetts, that evaluates mathematics knowledge beyond an elementary school level and challenges candidates' understanding of underlying mathematics concepts.

In addition, Colorado is urged to require all early childhood education teacher candidates who teach elementary grades to pass an appropriate test, either the same test as required of other elementary teachers or a comparably rigorous one geared to early childhood content. It is especially worrisome that the state allows teachers up through grade 3 to teach without ever having passed a content test

Require all teacher candidates who teach elementary grades to pass a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.

Colorado should require a rigorous reading assessment tool to ensure that its elementary teacher candidates are adequately prepared in the science of reading instruction before entering the classroom. The assessment should clearly test knowledge and skills related to the science of reading and address all five instructional components of scientifically based reading instruction: phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary and comprehension. If the test is combined with an assessment that also tests general pedagogy or elementary content, it should report a subscore for the science of reading specifically. Elementary teachers who do not possess the minimum knowledge in this area should not be eligible for licensure. Colorado should also require all early childhood education teacher candidates who teach elementary grades to pass a rigorous assessment to ensure that they are adequately prepared in the science of reading instruction before entering the classroom.

COLORADO RESPONSE TO ANALYSIS

Colorado was helpful in providing NCTQ with the facts necessary for this analysis. The state also pointed out that it is in the process of content assessment alignment to address some of what NCTQ reports in its analysis. This alignment includes an examination of all PLACE tests that may not align with current expectations of the state's teachers. Further, the Department of Higher Education is aligning educator preparation programs with the current academic standards and the instructional shifts required within.

In addition, Colorado noted that any educator preparation entity seeking to prepare elementary candidates must submit detailed course syllabi demonstrating how the literacy expectations are met.

Supporting Research

http://highered.colorado.gov/academics/TeacherEd/EdPrepProject.html http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdeprof/epp_review_resources/license_ review.htm

LAST WORD FROM NCTQ

While reviewing syllabi as part of program approval is commendable, an assessment is the best way to ensure that teachers have the full range of literacy skills needed for the classroom.

RECOMMENDATIONS CONTINUED

Ensure that teacher preparation programs deliver a comprehensive program of study in broad liberal arts coursework.

Colorado should either articulate a more specific set of standards or establish comprehensive coursework requirements for elementary teacher candidates that align with college- and career-readiness standards to ensure that candidates will complete coursework relevant to the common topics in elementary grades. An adequate curriculum is likely to require approximately 45 credit hours in the core subject areas of English, mathematics, science, social studies and fine arts.

Figure 1		14 14	FEACTS SELVE
Are states ensuring that new		<i>§</i> / <i>§</i>	
elementary teachers are prepa	red	Ž / Ž	14.5
for the instructional shifts	Ž,	5 / 84	
associated with college- and	<i>₹</i>	/ % S	\$ \\ \Q \\ \S \\ \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
career-readiness standards?	³ 5/ ₁	MCORDORATIONAL TEXT	SUPPORTING STRUGGING
Alabama			
Alaska			
Arizona			
Arkansas			
California			
COLORADO			
Connecticut			
Delaware			
District of Columbia			
Florida			
Georgia Hawaii			
Hawaii Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana			
lowa			
Kansas			
Kentucky			
Louisiana			
Maine			
Maryland			
Massachusetts			
Michigan	ī		
Minnesota			
Mississippi			
Missouri			
Montana			
Nebraska			
Nevada			
New Hampshire			
New Jersey			
New Mexico			
New York			
North Carolina			
North Dakota			
Ohio			
Oklahoma			
Oregon			
Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina			
South Dakota			
Tennessee Texas			
Utah			
Vermont			
Virginia			
Washington			
West Virginia			-
Wisconsin			
Wyoming			
۵۰۰۰۰۰۰			

SUMMARY OF ELEMENTARY TEACHER PREP FIGURES

■ Figure 1

Requirements for instructional shifts associated with college- and career-readiness standards

- Figure 2

 Content test requirements
- **Figure 3**Specific subject-matter requirements
- **Figure 4**Science of reading requirements
- Figure 5

 Math requirements
- **Figure 6**Requirements for academic concentrations
- Figure 7

 Requirements for early childhood teachers
- Figure 8

 Teacher Prep Review findings about elementary teacher prep

Figure 2		Lementary Content (ex-	Elementary content	± /
Do states ensure that	NE NE	1		n te
elementary teachers	, <u>Ş</u>		osem Inter	No test required
know core content?	28	7 \ 2 \ 2 \ 3 \ 3 \ 3 \ 3 \ 3 \ 3 \ 3 \ 3		oui,
know core content?	ZE,	Para,		. / ½
	ZZ Z	iene ith se	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1) te
•		TA Z Z	Nit I	/ >
Alabama				
Alaska				1
Arizona				
Arkansas				
California				
COLORADO				
Connecticut				
Delaware				
District of Columbia				
Florida				
Georgia				
Hawaii				
Idaho				
Illinois				
Indiana				
lowa				
Kansas				
Kentucky				
Louisiana				
Maine				
Maryland				
Massachusetts			2	
Michigan				
Minnesota				
Mississippi				
Missouri				
Montana				
Nebraska				
Nevada				
New Hampshire				
New Jersey				
New Mexico				
New York				
North Carolina			2	
North Dakota				
Ohio				3
Oklahoma				
Oregon				
Pennsylvania				
Rhode Island				
South Carolina				
South Dakota				
Tennessee				
Texas				
Utah				
Vermont				
Virginia				
Washington				
West Virginia				
Wisconsin				
Wyoming				
Tryoning .	21	_	_	_
	41	9	17	4



EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE

Both Arkansas and California ensure that elementary teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of collegeand career-readiness standards for students. These states specify that elementary teacher candidates must have the ability to not only build content knowledge and vocabulary through careful reading of informational and literary texts, but also to challenge students with texts of increasing complexity.

Candidates are also required to incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject and are prepared to intervene and support students who are struggling.

In addition, Indiana ensures that all candidates licensed to teach the elementary grades, including early childhood education candidates, possess the requisite knowledge of core content and of the key elements of scientifically based reading instruction before entering the classroom. Elementary and early childhood teacher candidates are required to pass a content test comprised of four independently scored subtests, including mathematics. In addition, these candidates are required to pass a comprehensive assessment that tests the five elements of scientifically based reading instruction: phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary and comprehension. Elementary teacher candidates in Indiana must also earn either a major or minor in an academic content area.


Massachusetts's MTEL mathematics subtest continues to set the standard in this area by evaluating mathematics knowledge beyond an elementary school level and challenging candidates' understanding of underlying mathematics concepts.

- 1. Alaska does not require testing for initial licensure.
- 2. Massachusetts and North Carolina require a general curriculum test that does not report scores for each elementary subject. A separate score is reported for math.
- 3. Only teachers of grades 4 and 5 are required to pass a content test in Ohio.

Figure 3		ENGLISH	/	SCIENCE		SOCIAL STUDIES / FII	NE RTS
Do states expect elementary teachers	American Literature World L.	Writing Grammar/ Composition mar/ Childen's Lis.	erature	Geheral Physical Science Earth Science Biology/Life Sci	e) Juan	American History / American History / World History (Modem) World History (Modem) World History (Godem) World History Geography Art History Music	
to have in-depth	in Lit	fritist 8/5/3 8/1/6/1		Phys. Life	/ .	1	
knowledge of core content?	heric,		Chemistry Physics	General Physical Earth Science Biology/Life Sci.	neric.	American His American Go World Histor World Histor (Non-Wester Geography Art History Music	
	4/3	/ z 3/8/	5/2/	(3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4,	4		
Alabama		* -					
Alaska Arizona		<u> </u>		+ + +	<u> </u>	★★■■□★□★	
Arkansas		→ □		2 2 2			
California	пп	*	* *	₹ ₹	•	* * * * - * - *	
COLORADO							
Connecticut					*		
Delaware		* -			*	★ ★ ★ □ □ ■ □ □	
District of Columbia		★ □			*	* * *	
Florida		* -	* -	\star			
Georgia		* -		* * *	*	* *	
Hawaii							
Idaho				* *		* * *	
Illinois		*		* * *			
Indiana				* * *			
lowa Kansas							
Kentucky							
Louisiana							
Maine							
Maryland							
Massachusetts							
Michigan		* *		* * *			
Minnesota		* *		* * *			
Mississippi							
Missouri		★ □		\star \star	*		
Montana							
Nebraska		* -					
Nevada							
New Hampshire							
New Jersey							
New Mexico New York		★ □					
North Carolina							
North Dakota							
Ohio							
Oklahoma		*		* * *			
Oregon		★ □		* * *	*	* * * * * *	
Pennsylvania		★ □		* * *	*		
Rhode Island		★ □			*	★ ★ ☆ □ □ □ □ □	
South Carolina							
South Dakota							
Tennessee		* -		* * *			
Texas		* •		$\star\star\star$	*	* * • • • • • •	
Utah		* -			*	* * * 0 0 0 0	
Vermont Virginia		★ □	□ ★ ■ ■	★ ★ ★ ★		* * * * * *	
Washington		* •		* * *			
West Virginia							
Wisconsin		*			*	* *	
Wyoming							
						Subject mentioned 🜟 Subject covered in	depth

Figure 4


Do states measure new elementary teachers' knowledge of the science of reading?



- Strong Practice: Alabama, California⁴, Connecticut, Florida, Indiana, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Mississippi, New Hampshire, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina⁵, Ohio, Oklahoma, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin
- Arizona, Arkansas, Delaware, District of Columbia, Georgia, Idaho, Kentucky, Maine, Missouri, New Jersey, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, Wyoming
- 3. Alaska, Colorado, Hawaii, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, North Dakota, South Dakota
- California allows an exemption from the state's reading test for teachers who already have a single subject credential.
- 5. Teachers have until their second year to pass the reading test.

Figure 6


Do states expect elementary teachers to complete an academic concentration?




- 1. Strong Practice: Colorado, Massachusetts, New Mexico
- 2. Strong Practice: Indiana, Mississippi, Oklahoma
- California, Connecticut, Iowa, Maryland, Michigan, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia
- These states require a major, minor or concentration but there is no assurance it will be in an academic subject area.
- 4. Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire⁵, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Utah, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming
- Only K-8 teachers must complete an area of concentration in a field such as humanities, fine arts, social sciences and sciences.

Figure 5

Do states measure new elementary teachers' knowledge of math?



- Strong Practice: Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Idaho, Indiana, Kentucky, Maine, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wyoming
- Arizona, California, Colorado, Georgia, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Mississippi, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, Washington, Wisconsin
- 3. Alaska⁴, Hawaii, Montana, Ohio⁵
- 4. Testing is not required for initial licensure.
- 5. Only teachers of grades 4 and 5 are required to pass an adequate content test.






Figure 7

- 1. These states do not offer a standalone early childhood certification that includes elementary grades or the state's early childhood certification is the de facto license to teach elementary grades.
- Early childhood candidates may pass either multiple subjects (subscores) or content knowledge (no subscores) test.

Middle School Teacher Preparation

Key Components

(The factors considered in determining the states' ratings for this topic.)

- The state should ensure that all middle school teachers are sufficiently prepared for the ways that college- and career-readiness English language arts standards affect instruction of all subject areas. Specifically,
 - A. The state should require that all new middle school teachers are prepared to incorporate complex texts and academic language into instruction.
 - B. The state should ensure that all new middle school teachers are prepared to incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.
 - C. The state should ensure that all new middle school teachers of English language arts are prepared to support struggling readers.
- 2. The state should require that new middle school teachers pass a licensing test in every core academic area they are licensed to teach.
- 3. The state should not permit middle school teachers to teach on a generalist license that does not differentiate between the preparation of middle school teachers and that of elementary teachers.



How well are states ensuring that middle school teachers are prepared for college- and career-readiness standards?

- Alaska, Arizona, California, Hawaii,
 Idaho, Maine, Michigan, Montana,
 Nebraska, New Mexico, Oklahoma,
 Oregon, South Dakota, Utah,
 Washington, Wyoming
- COLORADO, Massachusetts,
 Nevada, North Dakota, Wisconsin
 - Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware,
 District of Columbia, Iowa, Kansas,
 Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland,
 Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri,
 New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York,
 North Carolina, Ohio, Rhode Island,
 South Carolina, Vermont, Virginia,
 West Virginia
- Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas
- Arkansas, Indiana

Middle School Teacher Prep Analysis: Colorado

PREPARING MIDDLE SCHOOL TEACHERS FOR COLLEGE-AND CAREER-READINESS STANDARDS

The middle school years are critical to students' education, but, too often, states fail to distinguish the knowledge and skills needed by middle school teachers from those needed by an elementary teacher. Middle school teachers should not only be prepared to teach grade-level content, but should also be prepared to meet the increased instructional requirements of college- and career-readiness standards for students.

Currently, Colorado offers single-subject secondary licenses to teach grades 7-12. Key licensing requirements for middle school teachers in Colorado include:



COLORADO MIDDLE SCHOOL TEACHER PREP SNAPSHOT



State requires teachers to pass a content test for each subject they teach.



State requires middle school teachers to hold a middle grade or secondary license.



Yes



Preparation and licensure requirements for middle school teachers must address more than just content knowledge; the key instructional shifts articulated in college- and career-readiness standards must also be incorporated. Colorado addresses some of the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with these new standards through its literacy standards for preparation programs, which require teachers to develop reading comprehension that incorporates content area literacy. The state outlines the following competencies:

- Teach students to summarize, make inferences, draw conclusions, and interpret complex information in literary, informational and technical texts
- Teach students to follow extended instructions in informational or technical texts
- Teach the conventions, elements and text structures associated with informational texts drawn from history, mathematics, science and other content areas, including author's purpose or stance, organizational plan, etc.
- Teach students to analyze literary and informational texts to determine 1) text forms, literary elements and text features related to meaning, and 2) the historical period in which they were written

RECOMMENDATIONS

Ensure that middle school teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and careerreadiness standards for students.

Incorporate informational text of increasing complexity into classroom instruction.

Although Colorado's literacy standards address complex texts, they do not ensure teachers' ability to incorporate these texts into instruction. The state is therefore encouraged to strengthen its teacher preparation requirements and ensure that all candidates who teach the middle grades have the ability to address the use of informational texts as well as incorporate complex informational texts into classroom instruction.

Incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.

To ensure that middle school students are capable of accessing varied information about the world around them, Colorado should also more specifically include literacy skills and using text to build content knowledge in history/social studies, science, technical subjects and the arts.

■ Require content testing in all core areas.

Middle school teachers in Colorado may demonstrate content knowledge by either completing 24 semester hours of course credit as demonstrated through transcript evaluation or passing a content test relevant to the subject area. While coursework may be generally indicative of background in a particular subject area, only a subject-matter test ensures that teachers know the specific content they will need to teach. Colorado should require subject-matter testing for all middle school teacher candidates in every core academic area they intend to teach as a condition of initial licensure. To ensure meaningful middle school content tests, the state should set its passing scores to reflect high levels of performance.

 Teach students to evaluate complex informational and technical texts, including electronic texts, for their accuracy, clarity and coherence.

Regarding struggling readers, Colorado's literacy standards require teachers to "select, use and interpret formal and informal assessments of reading comprehension and use them to make instructional decisions and to plan instructional interventions targeted for improved student outcomes." A similar competency exists for reading fluency.

Supporting Research

Code of Colorado Regulations, 1 CCR 301-37, 2260.5-R-5.00

Literacy Standards

http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdeprof/epp_review_resources/license_review.htm

COLORADO RESPONSE TO ANALYSIS

Colorado was helpful in providing NCTQ with the facts necessary for this analysis. The state added that the Department of Higher Education is engaged in important work to align educator preparation programs with the current academic standards and the instructional shifts required within.

Supporting Research

http://highered.colorado.gov/academics/TeacherEd/EdPrepProject.html

RECOMMENDATIONS CONTINUED

Close the loophole that allows teachers to add middle grade levels to an existing license without demonstrating content knowledge.

Colorado allows teachers to add new secondary areas with either coursework or a passing grade on a content test. The state is urged to require that all teachers who add the middle grade levels to their certificates pass a rigorous subject-matter test to ensure content knowledge of all subject areas before they are allowed in the classroom.

rigure 9 Are states ensuring that new middle school teachers are prepared for the instructional shifts associated with collegeand career-readiness standard. Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California		MCORPORATING LITTE	SUPPORTING STRUC
are states ensuring that new	1	0/7	SCB. / SCB.
middle school teachers are	Ž	7 7	
prepared for the instructional	Me	\ \delta	188
shifts associated with college-	φ, ,	0 3	15 JE
and career-readiness standard	s? Š /	< 35 \	RES
Alabama			
Alaska			
Arizona			
Arkansas			
California			
COLORADO			
Connecticut			
Delaware			
District of Columbia			
Florida			
Georgia			
Hawaii			
Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana			
lowa			
Kansas			
Kentucky			
Louisiana			
Maine			
Maryland Massachusetts			
Michigan			
Minnesota			
Mississippi			
Missouri			
Montana			
Nebraska			
Nevada			
New Hampshire			
New Jersey			
New Mexico			П
New York			
North Carolina			
North Dakota			
Ohio			
Oklahoma			
Oregon			
Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina			
South Dakota			
Tennessee			
Texas			
Utah			
Vermont			
Virginia			
Washington			
West Virginia			
Wisconsin			
Wyoming			

SUMMARY OF MIDDLE SCHOOL TEACHER PREP FIGURES

Figure 9

Requirements for instructional shifts associated with college- and career-readiness standards

■ Figure 10

Distinctions in licenses betweeen middle and elementary teachers

■ Figure 11

Content test requirements

■ Figure 12

Teacher Prep Review findings about middle school teacher prep

Figure 10)FFERE	swo
Do states distinguish	ć		lassr _o
middle grade preparation from		6	7 / 6
	Ř	ense rtair,	ense
elementary preparation?	K-8 LICENSE NOT	K-8 license office of for	K-8 license offered
Alabama			
Alaska			
Arizona			■ 1
Arkansas			
California		2	
COLORADO			
Connecticut			
Delaware			
District of Columbia			
Florida			
Georgia			
Hawaii			
Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana			
lowa			
Kansas			
Kentucky			
Louisiana			
Maine			
Maryland			
Massachusetts			
Michigan			
Minnesota			
Mississippi			
Missouri			
Montana			
Nebraska			
Nevada			
New Hampshire			
New Jersey			
New Mexico			
New York			
North Carolina			
North Dakota			1
Ohio			
Oklahoma			3
Oregon			4
Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina			
South Dakota			
Tennessee			
Texas			
Utah			
Vermont			
Virginia			
Washington			
West Virginia			
Wisconsin			1
Wyoming			
	32	5	14



EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE

Illinois ensures that middle school teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and career-readiness standards for students. The state's new standards for the middle grades include the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through increasingly complex texts and careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with these standards. The standards also address the needs of struggling readers.

Illinois's requirements connecting literacy to all subject areas are particularly noteworthy. All middle school teachers must understand "the role, perspective and purpose of text in specific disciplines" and be able to perform tasks such as scaffolding reading to allow students to understand and learn from challenging text; guiding reading discussions that require students to identify key ideas and details of a text; analyze craft and structure and critically evaluate the text; and model reading strategies to improve comprehension.

In addition, **Georgia**, **Mississippi**, **New Jersey** and **South Carolina** ensure that all middle school teacher candidates are adequately prepared to teach middle school-level content. None of these states offers a K-8 generalist license and all require passing scores on subject-specific content tests. Georgia, Mississippi and South Carolina explicitly require at least two content-area minors, and New Jersey requires a content major along with a minor for each additional area of certification.


^{1.} Offers 1-8 license.

^{2.} California offers a K-12 generalist license for all self-contained classrooms.

 $^{3.} With \ the \ exception \ of \ mathematics.$

^{4.} Oregon offers 3-8 license.

Figure 11 Do middle school teachers have to pass an appropriate content test in every core subject they are licensed to teach? Alabama Alaska Alaska Arizona Arkansas California COLORADO COINCRADO COINCRAD	Figure 11			o jecti	ું /
Alaska	Do middle school teachers		/ 8	200	est
Alaska			10,		
Adaska			\$ 2		
Alaska			Leg est	1 8 6	esti,
Adaska		ES /	25	\ \(\sigma^2\)	0,5°
Alaska		~ /	٠ %	/ '0'	/ ' [
Arizona Arkansas California ColORADO Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Illinois Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Missispip Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New Work North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Colorado New Hanpslvania Rhode Island South Carolina Routh Dakota Routh Carolina Ro					
Arkansas					1
California	Arizona				
Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgía Hawaii Idaho Illinois Illinois Indiana Illinois Indiana Illinois Illinois Indiana Illinois Illinois Indiana Illinois	Arkansas				
Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Ildiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Michigan Michigan Michigan Michigan Michigan Michigan Michigan Michigan Michigan Michigan	California				2
Delaware	COLORADO				
District of Columbia					
Florida	Delaware				
Georgia	District of Columbia				
Hawaii	Florida				
Idaho	Georgia				
Illinois					
Indiana				3	
Iowa	Illinois				4
Kansas	Indiana				
Kentucky	Iowa				
Louisiana					
Maine <td>Kentucky</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Kentucky				
Maryland 5 <	Louisiana				
Massachusetts	Maine				
Massachusetts	Maryland	5			
Minnesota	Massachusetts				
Mississippi	Michigan				
Missouri	Minnesota				
Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Washington West Virginia Wyoming	Mississippi				
Nebraska	Missouri				
New Hampshire	Montana				
New Hampshire 6 New Jersey 0 New Mexico 0 New York 7 North Carolina 8 North Dakota 0 Ohio 0 Oklahoma 0 Oregon 0 Pennsylvania 0 Rhode Island 0 South Carolina 0 South Dakota 0 Tennessee 0 Texas 0 Utah 0 Vermont 0 Virginia 0 West Virginia 0 Wisconsin 0 Wyoming 0	Nebraska				
New Jersey	Nevada				
New Mexico	New Hampshire			6	
New York 7 <	New Jersey				
North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington Wyoming	New Mexico				
North Dakota	New York	7			
Ohio	North Carolina	8			
Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	North Dakota				
Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	Ohio				
Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	Oklahoma				
Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	Oregon				
South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	Pennsylvania				
South Dakota	Rhode Island				
Tennessee	South Carolina				
Texas	South Dakota				
Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	Tennessee				
Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	Texas				
Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	Utah				
Washington	Vermont				
Washington					
West Virginia					
Wisconsin					
Wyoming					
	, ,				_



1. Alaska does not require content tests for initial licensure.

this standard.

- 2. Candidates teaching multiple subjects only have to pass the elementary test. Single-subject credential does not require content test.
- 3. For K-8 license, Idaho also requires one single-subject test.
- 4. Illinois requires candidates to take a middle level core content test if a test is available. It is not clear that this will result in teachers passing a test in each subject and draft test frameworks are not yet available for review.
- 5. Maryland allows elementary teachers to teach in departmentalized middle schools if not less than 50 percent of the teaching assignment is within the elementary grades.
- 6. New Hampshire requires K-8 candidates to pass a middle school content test in one core area.
- 7. For nondepartmentalized classrooms, generalist in middle childhood education candidates must pass the new assessment with three subtests.
- 8. Teachers may have until second year to pass tests, if they attempt to pass them during their first year.

Secondary Teacher Preparation

Key Components

(The factors considered in determining the states' ratings for this topic.)

- The state should ensure that all secondary teachers are sufficiently prepared for the ways that collegeand career-readiness English language arts standards affect instruction of all subject areas. Specifically,
 - A. The state should require that all new secondary teachers are prepared to incorporate complex texts and academic language into instruction.
 - B. The state should ensure that all new secondary teachers are prepared to incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.
 - C. The state should ensure that all new secondary teachers of English language arts are prepared to support struggling readers.
- 2. The state should require that secondary teachers pass a licensing test in every subject they are licensed to teach.
- 3. The state should require secondary general science and general social studies teachers to pass a subject-matter test of each discipline they are licensed to teach.
- 4. The state should require that secondary teachers pass a content test when adding subject-area endorsements to an existing license.



Secondary Teacher Prep Analysis: Colorado

PREPARING SECONDARY TEACHERS FOR COLLEGE- AND CAREER-READINESS STANDARDS

To be prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and career-readiness standards for their students, secondary teachers must be experts in the subject matter they teach. States should ensure that secondary teachers have sufficient content knowledge in all the subjects they are licensed to teach.

Currently, Colorado offers single-subject secondary licenses to teach grades 7-12. Key licensing requirements for secondary school teachers in Colorado include:



COLORADO SECONDARY TEACHER PREP SNAPSHOT



State requires a content test to teach any single core subject.



State offers only single-subject science certifications or has appropriate requirements for teachers with general science license.



State offers only single-subject social studies certifications or has appropriate requirements for teachers with general social studies license.



State requires a content test in order to add an endorsement to a license.



Yes



Not only must secondary teachers possess strong backgrounds in content knowledge as required by college- and career-readiness standards, they must also be able to address the key instructional shifts associated with the standards. Colorado addresses some of the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with these new standards through its literacy standards for preparation programs, which require teachers to develop reading comprehension that incorporates content area literacy. The state outlines the following competencies:

- Teach students to summarize, make inferences, draw conclusions and interpret complex information in literary, informational and technical texts
- Teach students to follow extended instructions in informational or technical texts
- Teach the conventions, elements and text structures associated with informational texts drawn from history, mathematics, sci-

RECOMMENDATIONS

 Ensure that secondary teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and careerreadiness standards for students.

Incorporate informational text of increasing complexity into classroom instruction.

Although Colorado's literacy standards address complex texts, they do not ensure teachers' ability to incorporate these texts into instruction. The state is therefore encouraged to strengthen its teacher preparation requirements and ensure that all candidates who teach the secondary grades have the ability to address the use of informational texts as well as incorporate complex informational texts into classroom instruction.

Incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.

To ensure that secondary students are capable of accessing varied information about the world around them, Colorado should also more specifically include literacy skills and using text to build content knowledge in history/social studies, science, technical subjects and the arts.

Require subject-matter testing for secondary teacher candidates.

As a condition of licensure, Colorado should require its secondary teacher candidates to pass a content test in each subject area they plan to teach to ensure that they possess adequate subject-matter knowledge and are prepared to teach grade-level content.

 Require secondary teachers with umbrella certifications to pass a content test for each discipline they are licensed to teach

By allowing general social studies and general science certifications—and only requiring general knowledge exams for each—Colorado is not ensuring that these secondary teachers possess adequate subject-specific content knowledge. The state's required general social studies assessment combines

ence and other content areas, including the author's purpose or stance, organizational plan, etc.

- Teach students to analyze literary and informational texts to determine 1) text forms, literary elements and text features related to meaning, and 2) the historical period in which they were written
- Teach students to evaluate complex informational and technical texts, including electronic texts, for their accuracy, clarity and coherence.

Regarding struggling readers, Colorado's literacy standards require teachers to "select, use and interpret formal and informal assessments of reading comprehension and use them to make instructional decisions and to plan instructional interventions targeted for improved student outcomes." A similar competency exists for reading fluency.

Supporting Research

Code of Colorado Regulations, 1 CCR 301-37, 2260.5-R-5.00

Literacy Standards

http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdeprof/epp_review_resources/license_review.htm

www.ets.org

www.place.nesinc.com

Colorado Initial Teaching License-Approved Programs

www.cde.state.co.us/cdeprof/Licensure_tch_approved.asp

Adding an Additional Endorsement to a Valid License

http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdeprof/Licensure_tch_approved.asp

COLORADO RESPONSE TO ANALYSIS

Colorado was helpful in providing NCTQ with the facts necessary for this analysis. The state added that all educator preparation programs offer a Reading Across the Content Areas course in their preparation of secondary teachers. While the rigor of the course may vary across institutions, this is a starting place for the kinds of literacy expectations mentioned in the analysis. Information is contained within the curriculum plans designed by each institution.

RECOMMENDATIONS CONTINUED

all subject areas (e.g., history, geography, economics), and its required general science assessment combines subject areas that include biology, chemistry and physics. Neither assessment reports separate scores for each area. Therefore, candidates could answer many—perhaps all—chemistry questions, for example, incorrectly, yet still be licensed to teach chemistry to high school students. Further, Colorado should require content tests as a condition of initial licensure.

Require subject-matter testing when adding subject-area endorsements.

Colorado should require passing scores on subject-specific content tests, regardless of other coursework or degree requirements, for teachers who are licensed in core secondary subjects and wish to add another subject area, or endorsement, to their licenses. While coursework may be generally indicative of background in a particular subject area, only a subject-matter test ensures that teachers know the specific content they will need to teach.

Figure 13 Are states ensuring that		17/1/	£ 5 /
new secondary teachers			
are prepared for the	Ž		27/2
instructional shifts associate	ed §	8	
with college-and career-	\ <u>\</u>	180	18 0 SE
readiness standards?	J USE OF WORM		SUPPORTING STRICTS READERS
Alabama			
Alaska			
Arizona			
Arkansas			
California			
COLORADO			
Connecticut			
Delaware			
District of Columbia			
Florida			
Georgia			
Hawaii			
Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana			
lowa			
Kansas			
Kentucky			
Louisiana			
Maine			
Maryland			
Massachusetts			
Michigan			
Minnesota			
Mississippi Missouri			
Montana			
Nebraska			
Nevada			
New Hampshire			
New Jersey			
New Mexico			
New York			
North Carolina			
North Dakota			
Ohio			
Oklahoma			
Oregon			
Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina			
South Dakota			
Tennessee			
Texas			
Utah			
Vermont			
Virginia			
Washington			
West Virginia			
Wisconsin			
Wyoming			

SUMMARY OF SECONDARY TEACHER PREP FIGURES

■ Figure 13

Requirements for instructional shifts associated with college- and career-readiness standards

- **Figure 14**Content test requirements
- Figure 15


 Requirements for general science teachers
- Figure 16

 Requirements for general social studies teachers
- Figure 17

 Teacher Prep Review findings about secondary teacher prep

Figure 14

Do secondary teachers have to pass a content test in every subject area for licensure?



- 1. Strong Practice: Indiana, Minnesota, Missouri, South Dakota, Tennessee
- 2. Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Nebraska, Newada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina⁴, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin [For more on loopholes, see Figure 15 (science) and Figure 16 (social studies).}
- 3. Alaska⁵, Arizona⁶, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Montana, Washington, Wyoming
- 4. Teachers may have until second year to pass tests, if they attempt to pass them during their first year.
- 5. Alaska does not require content tests for initial licensure.
- 6. Candidates with a master's degree in the subject area do not have to pass a content test.



EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE


Arkansas has done more than other states to ensure that secondary teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and career-readiness standards for students. Not only does the state address the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through increasingly complex informational texts and careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with these new standards in its educator competencies for secondary English language arts teachers, it also requires teachers to incorporate literacy skills into all content areas. For example, the secondary social studies competency to "incorporate disciplinary literacy" states that "reading competencies for literacy in history/social studies for grades 7-12 include the ability to read informational texts in history and social studies closely and critically to analyze the key ideas and details as well as craft and structure with the purpose of integrating knowledge and ideas both within and across texts." A similar competency exists for both the life science and physical science secondary certifications.

Indiana, Minnesota and Tennessee require that all secondary teacher candidates pass a content test to teach any core subject—both as a condition of licensure and to add an additional field to a secondary license. Further, neither of these states offers secondary certification in general social studies or science; all teachers must be certified in a specific discipline.

Also worthy of mention is **Missouri**, which requires general social studies teachers to pass a multi-content test with six independently scored subtests. Missouri also offers a general science license that can only be used to teach general science courses. All other science teachers must be certified in a specific discipline.


Figure 15

Do states ensure that secondary general science teachers have adequate subject-matter knowledge?



- 1. Strong Practice: Florida, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New York, South Dakota, Tennessee, Virginia
- 2. Strong Practice: Missouri, New Jersey, Rhode Island⁵, West Virginia⁵
- 3. California
- 4. Alabama, Alaska, Arizona⁶, Arkansas, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Georgia⁷, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Michigan, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, Wisconsin, Wyoming
- 5. Teachers with the general science license may only teach general science courses.
- Arizona limits teachers with the general science license to teaching only general science courses.However, candidates with a master's degree in the subject area do not have to pass a content test.
- 7. Georgia's science test consists of two subtests.


Figure 16



- 1. Strong Practice: Georgia, Indiana, South Dakota, Tennessee
- 2. Strong Practice: Minnesota⁵, Missouri
- 3. Arizona⁶
- 4. Alabama, Alaska, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma', Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming
- 5. Minnesota's test for general social studies is divided into two individually scored subtests.
- 6. Candidates with a master's degree in the subject area do not have to pass a content test.
- $\hbox{7. Oklahoma offers combination licenses without adequate testing.}\\$



Less than 40 percent of preparation programs ensure that secondary teachers are well prepared in the subjects they will teach.



Undergraduate (n=765)

Graduate (n=345)

From NCTQ's 2014 *Teacher Prep Review* Standard 8: High School Content (n=1,110 high school programs). State licensing test requirements are also considered in evaluating this standard.

Special Education Teacher Preparation

Key Components

(The factors considered in determining the states' ratings for this topic.)

- The state should ensure that all special education teachers are sufficiently prepared for the ways that college- and career-readiness English language arts standards affect instruction of all subject areas. Specifically,
 - A. The state should ensure that all new secondary special education teachers are prepared to support struggling readers.
 - B. The state should require that all new secondary special education teachers are prepared to incorporate complex texts and academic language into instruction.
 - C. The state should ensure that all new secondary special education teachers are prepared to incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.
- 2. The state should require that new elementary special education teachers pass a rigorous test of reading instruction in order to attain licensure.
- 3. The state should not permit special education teachers to teach on a K-12 license that does not differentiate between the preparation of elementary teachers and that of secondary teachers.
- 4. All elementary special education candidates should be required to pass a subject-matter test for licensure that is no less rigorous than what is required of general education candidates.
- The state should ensure that secondary special education teachers possess adequate content knowledge.



Special Education Teacher Prep Analysis: Colorado

PREPARING SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHERS FOR **COLLEGE- AND CAREER-READINESS STANDARDS**

Although most special education students are expected to meet the same high college- and career-readiness standards as typical students, too many states set an even lower bar for the preparation and licensure requirements of special education teachers. States must ensure that special education teachers are well grounded in all of the subject matter they will be licensed to teach.

Currently, Colorado offers a special education license to teach grades K-12 or birth to grade 3. Key licensing requirements for special education teachers in Colorado include:



COLORADO SPECIAL ED TEACHER PREP SNAPSHOT



X State only offers discrete elementary and secondary special education licenses.



Elementary subject-matter test required for special education license.



Secondary test in at least one subject area required for secondary special education license.



Yes



Special education teachers must also be prepared for the key instructional shifts that differentiate college- and career-readiness standards from previous student standards.

Colorado does not require its special education teachers who teach the elementary grades to pass a rigorous test of reading instruction. However, the state's K-12 special education candidates must pass the same test as elementary candidates—either the revised Praxis II Elementary Education: Content Knowledge (5018) test or the PLACE elementary education content test. The Praxis II's reading and language arts subtest includes some of the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with these standards. However, although the framework now addresses complex texts, it does so only in the context of measuring text complexity and does not address how to also incorporate increasingly complex texts into instruction. The PLACE test only requires teachers to "understand strategies for locating, selecting, and using information from a variety of sources."

Colorado's literacy standards for preparation programs require teachers to develop reading comprehension that incorporates content area literacy. The state outlines the following competencies:

RECOMMENDATIONS

Ensure that special education teachers are prepared to meet the instructional requirements of college- and careerreadiness standards for students.

Require all special education teacher candidates who teach the elementary grades to pass a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.

Colorado should require a rigorous reading assessment tool to ensure that its elementary special education teacher candidates are adequately prepared in the science of reading instruction before entering the classroom. The assessment should clearly test knowledge and skills related to the science of reading and address all five instructional components of scientifically based reading instruction: phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary and comprehension. If the test is combined with an assessment that also tests general pedagogy or elementary content, it should report a subscore for the science of reading specifically. Elementary special education teachers who do not possess the minimum knowledge in this area should not be eligible for licensure.

Incorporate informational text of increasing complexity into classroom instruction.

Although the revised Praxis II Content Knowledge test is a step in the right direction, this assessment still does not adequately capture all of the major instructional shifts of collegeand career-readiness standards—and it fails to provide subscores for each core content area. Colorado's literacy standards address complex texts, but, as with the revised test, they do not ensure teachers' ability to incorporate these texts into instruction. Colorado is therefore encouraged to strengthen its teacher preparation requirements and ensure that all candidates who teach special education have the ability to address the use of informational texts as well as incorporate complex informational texts into classroom instruction.

- Teach students to summarize, make inferences, draw conclusions and interpret complex information in literary, informational and technical texts
- Teach students to follow extended instructions in informational or technical texts
- Teach the conventions, elements and text structures associated with informational texts drawn from history, mathematics, science and other content areas, including author's purpose or stance, organizational plan, etc.
- Teach students to analyze literary and informational texts to determine 1) text forms, literary elements, and text features related to meaning and 2) the historical period in which they were written.

Regarding struggling readers, Colorado's literacy standards require teachers to "select, use and interpret formal and informal assessments of reading comprehension and use them to make instructional decisions and to plan instructional interventions targeted for improved student outcomes." Similar competencies exist regarding assessments of phonological and phonemic awareness; phonics surveys, writing samples and word identification assessments; and assessments of reading fluency. The state's standards also require that a teacher be "knowledgeable about learning exceptionalities and conditions that affect the rate and extent of student learning, and is able to adapt instruction for all learners."

Supporting Research

Praxis Test

www.ets.org/praxis

PLACE Test

www.place.nesinc.com

Requirements for a Colorado Initial Teacher License

http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdeprof/Licensure_tch_req.asp

Available Endorsement Areas

http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdeprof/Licensure_tch_approved.asp

Code of Colorado Regulations, 1 CCR 301-37, 2260.5-R-5.00

Literacy Standards

http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdeprof/epp_review_resources/license_review.htm

COLORADO RESPONSE TO ANALYSIS

Colorado was helpful in providing NCTQ with the facts necessary for this analysis.

RECOMMENDATIONS CONTINUED

Incorporate literacy skills as an integral part of every subject.

To ensure that special education students are capable of accessing varied information about the world around them, Colorado should also—either through testing frameworks or teacher standards—more specifically include literacy skills and using text to build content knowledge in history/social studies, science, technical subjects and the arts.

 End licensure practices that fail to distinguish between the skills and knowledge needed to teach elementary grades and secondary grades.

It is virtually impossible and certainly impractical for Colorado to ensure that a K-12 special education teacher knows all the subject matter he or she is expected to be able to teach, especially considering state and federal expectations that special education students should meet the same high standards as other students. While the broad K-12 umbrella may be appropriate for teachers of low-incidence special education students, such as those with severe cognitive disabilities, it is deeply problematic for the overwhelming majority of high-incidence special education students, who are expected to learn grade-level content.

Require that elementary special education candidates pass a rigorous content test as a condition of initial licensure.

Although Colorado is on the right track in ensuring that special education teacher candidates who will teach elementary grades possess sufficient knowledge of the subject matter at hand, the state should require a rigorous content test that reports separate passing scores for each content area. Colorado should also set these passing scores to reflect high levels of performance. Failure to ensure that teachers possess requisite content knowledge deprives special education students of the opportunity to reach their academic potential.

RECOMMENDATIONS CONTINUED

 Ensure that secondary special education teachers possess adequate content knowledge.

Secondary special education teachers are frequently generalists who teach many core subject areas. While it may be unreasonable to expect secondary special education teachers to meet the same requirements for each subject they teach as other teachers who teach only one subject, Colorado's current policy of only requiring an elementary content test is problematic and will not help secondary special education students to meet rigorous learning standards. To provide a middle ground, Colorado should consider a customized HOUSSE route for new secondary special education teachers and look to the flexibility offered by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), which allows for a combination of testing and coursework to demonstrate requisite content knowledge in the classroom.

Figure 18 Are states ensuring that new special education teachers are prepared for the instructional shifts associated with collegeand career-readiness standards? Alabama Alaska Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Arkansas California Around Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Idaho Idaho Idaho Idaho Idaho Idaho Idwa Illinois Indiana Illinois Illinoi	Figure 18		ONA F.	CARECTE STATE
Alaska	Are states ensuring that new special	ž		14 S
Alaska	education teachers are prepared for	the 🔏	\ \&{	
Alaska	instructional shifts associated with c	ollege- 🗸	185	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
Alaska	and career-readiness standards?	¥5		
Arkansas	Alabama			
Arkansas				
California				
Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Iouisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Carolina South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Iexas Iowa Iowa Iowa Iowa Iowa Iowa Iowa Iowa	Arkansas			
Connecticut Image: Connecticut of Columbia Image: Connecticut of Connecticut of Columbia Image: Connecticut of Connecticut o	California			
Delaware	COLORADO			
District of Columbia	Connecticut			
Florida	Delaware			
Georgia	District of Columbia			
Hawaii	Florida			
Idaho	9			
Illinois				
Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Carolina South Dakota Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin				
Iowa				
Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Newada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Wisconsin				
Kentucky	17.17			
Louisiana		Ц		_
Maine </td <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	-			
Maryland <				
Massachusetts				
Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Missouri I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	•			
Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Wisconsin				
Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin				_
Missouri				
Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia				_
Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin				
New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I				
New Jersey	Nevada			
New Jersey	New Hampshire			
New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin				
North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin	New Mexico			
North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin	New York			
Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin	North Carolina			
Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin	North Dakota			
Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin	Ohio			
Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin				
Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin				
South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin	-			
South Dakota				
Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin		=		
Texas				
Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin				
Vermont				
Virginia				
Washington				
West Virginia				
Wisconsin				
	Wyoming			

SUMMARY OF SPECIAL EDUCATION **TEACHER PREP FIGURES**

Figure 18

Requirements for instructional shifts associated with college- and career-readiness standards

Figure 19

Distinctions in licenses between elementary and secondary teachers

Figure 20

Content test requirements

Figure 21

Science of reading requirements

Figure 22

Teacher Prep Review findings about special education teacher prep



EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE

Although all states have weaknesses when it comes to special education teachers' preparedness to meet the instructional requirements of college- and careerreadiness standards for students, both Indiana and New York are notable for addressing the instructional shifts toward building content knowledge and vocabulary through increasingly complex informational texts and careful reading of informational and literary texts associated with these standards.

Unfortunately, states are also weak in other areas of special education teacher preparation. However, three states—Missouri, New York and Rhode Island—are worthy of mention for taking steps in the right direction in ensuring that all special education teachers know the subject matter they are required to teach. These three states require that elementary special education candidates pass the same elementary content tests, which are comprised of individual subtests, as general education elementary teachers.

Secondary special education teachers in New York must pass a multi-subject content test for special education teachers comprised of three separately scored sections. Rhode Island requires its secondary special education teachers to hold certification in another secondary area. Secondary special education teachers in Missouri can either take a multi-subject test comprised of four separately scored sections or a single-subject secondary assessment.

Figure 19	_	Offes K-12 and	Cation
Do states distinguish	J. J	OF D	Pertiin /
between elementary	0,0		
and secondary special	% }} }}	/ '	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
education teachers?	27.7	7.56 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0	Offe Pitifi
	~~ <u>~</u>	/ S & /	
Alabama			
Alaska			
Arizona			
Arkansas California			
COLORADO			
Connecticut			
Delaware			
District of Columbia			
Florida			
Georgia			
Hawaii			
Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana			
lowa			
Kansas			
Kentucky			
Louisiana			
Maine			
Maryland			
Massachusetts			
Michigan			
Minnesota			
Mississippi			
Missouri			
Montana Nebraska			
Nebraska Nevada			
New Hampshire New Jersey	1		
New Mexico			
New York			
North Carolina			
North Dakota			
Ohio		П	
Oklahoma			
Oregon	1		
Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina			
South Dakota			
Tennessee			
Texas			
Utah			
Vermont	□ ¹		
Virginia			
Washington			
West Virginia			
Wisconsin			
Wyoming			
	16	12	23


Figure 20
Which states require subject-matter testing for special education teachers?


jor special education tea	circis.					
Elementary Subject-Matter Test						
Required for an elementary special education license	Alabama, Iowa, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Missouri ¹ , New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania ² , Rhode Island, West Virginia ³ , Wisconsin					
Required for a K-12 special education license	COLORADO, Idaho, North Carolina					
Secondary	Secondary Subject-Matter Test(s)					
Tests in all core subjects required for secondary special education license	Missouri¹, New York⁴, Wisconsin⁵					
Test in at least one subject required for secondary special education license	Louisiana, New Jersey, Pennsylvania², Rhode Island, West Virginia³					
Required for a K-12 special education license	None					
Missouri offers a K-12 certification but candidates must pass either the elementary multi-content assessment or the middle/secondary multi-content assessment.						
In Pennsylvania, a candidate who opts for dual certification in elementary or secondary special education and as a reading specialist does not have to take a content test.						
West Virginia also allows elementary special education candidates to earn dual certification in early childhood, which would not require a content test. Secondary special education candidates earning a dual certification as a reading specialist are similarly exempted.						
New York requires a multi-subject content test specifically geared to secondary special education candidates. It is divided into three subtests.						

Figure 19

Missouri, New Jersey, Oregon and Vermont issue a K-12 certificate, but candidates must meet discrete elementary and/or secondary requirements.

5. Wisconsin requires a middle school level content area test which does not report subscores for each area.





These states do not offer a standalone early childhood certification that includes elementary grades or the state's early childhood certification is the de facto license to teach elementary grades.

Admission into Teacher Preparation

Key Components

(The factors considered in determining the states' ratings for this topic.)

- 1. The state should limit admission to teacher preparation programs to candidates in the top half of the college-going population.
- 2. The state should require teacher candidates to pass a test of academic proficiency that assesses reading, writing and mathematics skills as a criterion for admission to teacher preparation programs. Alternatively, academic proficiency could be demonstrated by grade point average.



Admission into Teacher Prep Analysis: Colorado

RAISING THE BAR FOR TEACHER PREP THROUGH HIGHER ADMISSION STANDARDS

NCTQ has repeatedly found that too many teacher preparation programs are in need of major improvement, graduating first-year teachers lacking skills and content knowledge adequate to thrive in the classroom. One important way states can raise the bar for teacher preparation programs is to set more ambitious admission requirements for new elementary, secondary and special education teachers. This is even more relevant and important as the increasing expectations of college- and career-readiness standards demand more from teachers academically. A key criterion for admissions is evidence of a strong academic background, and states should require programs to select candidates from the top half of the college-going population. Countries like Singapore and Finland are even more restrictive in admissions; the top half goal is realistic and achievable while representing a significantly higher standard for programs throughout the United States. Until recently, few states had rigorous academic standards for admission, but with states like Rhode Island and Delaware significantly raising the bar by taking the lead in establishing higher standards and new accreditation requirements from CAEP, this is beginning to change.



COLORADO

ADMISSION INTO TEACHER PREP SNAPSHOT



State requires a minimum GPA of 3.0 for admission into teacher prep.



State requires a test normed to college-bound population prior to admission to prep program.



Yes



No

Colorado does not require aspiring teachers to pass a test of academic proficiency as a criterion for admission to teacher preparation programs or any time thereafter.

COLORADO RESPONSE TO ANALYSIS

While not asked to respond to the full analysis for this section, Colorado did not indicate any policy updates related to admission to teacher preparation.

RECOMMENDATIONS

 Require that teacher preparation programs screen candidates for academic proficiency prior to admission.

Teacher preparation programs that do not screen candidates invest considerable resources in individuals who may not be able to successfully complete the program and pass licensing tests. Candidates in need of additional support should complete remediation before entering the program to avoid the possibility of an unsuccessful investment of significant public tax dollars. Colorado should require candidates to pass a test of academic proficiency that assesses reading, mathematics and writing prior to program admission. Alternatively, the state could require a minimum grade point average to establish that candidates have a strong academic history.

 Require preparation programs to use a common test normed to the general college-bound population.

Colorado should require an assessment demonstrating that candidates are academically competitive with all peers, regardless of their intended profession. Requiring a common test normed to the general college population would allow for the selection of applicants in the top half of their class, as well as facilitate program comparison.

 Consider requiring candidates to pass subject-matter tests as a condition of admission into teacher programs.

In addition to ensuring that programs require a measure of academic performance for admission, Colorado might also want to consider requiring content testing prior to program admission as opposed to at the point of program completion. Program candidates are likely to have completed coursework that covers related test content in the prerequisite classes required for program admission. Thus, it would be sensible to have candidates take content tests while this knowledge is fresh rather than wait two years to fulfill the requirement, and candidates lacking sufficient expertise would be able to remedy deficits prior to entering formal preparation.

Figure 23	4	\$ 2 E	her ram	or afte
Do states measure the	Š	SE SE	Prog. 2	15 60 G
academic proficiency o	of \tilde{a}	2 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	15 P	
teacher candidates?	TETNORY BOUND POP	Test norm	Test norme	No test required
Alabama				
Alaska				
Arizona				
Arkansas				
California				
COLORADO Connecticut				
Delaware				
District of Columbia	1			
Florida				
Georgia				
Hawaii	1			
Idaho				
Illinois				
Indiana				
lowa				
Kansas				
Kentucky				
Louisiana	1			
Maine				
Maryland				
Massachusetts				
Michigan Minnesota				
Mississippi				
Missouri				
Montana				
Nebraska				
Nevada				
New Hampshire				
New Jersey	1			
New Mexico				
New York	1			
North Carolina	1			
North Dakota				
Ohio				
Oklahoma		2		
Oregon				
Pennsylvania Rhode Island				
South Carolina	1			
South Dakota				
Tennessee				
Texas				
Utah	1			
Vermont				
Virginia	1			
Washington				
West Virginia				
Wisconsin				
Wyoming				
	13	18	13	7

SUMMARY OF ADMISSION INTO TEACHER PREP FIGURES

- **Figure 23**Test of academic proficiency requirements
- Figure 24

 GPA requirements
- Figure 25

 Teacher Prep Review findings about admissions



EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE


While many states now require CAEP accreditation which includes a standard requiring strong admission practices, **Rhode Island** and **Delaware** have set requirements independent of the accreditation process, ensuring that the states' expectations are clear. Both states require a test of academic proficiency normed to the general college-bound population rather than a test that is normed just to prospective teachers. Delaware also requires teacher candidates to have a 3.0 GPA or be in the top 50th percentile for general education coursework completed. Rhode Island also requires an average cohort GPA of 3.0, and, beginning in 2016, the cohort mean score on nationally-normed tests such as the ACT, SAT or GRE must be in the top 50th percentile. In 2020, the requirement for the mean test score will increase from the top half to the top third.

^{1.} Requirements for admissions test normed to college-bound population is based on CAEP accreditation standards, not state's own admission policy.


^{2.} Candidates in Oklahoma also have the option of gaining admission with a 3.0 GPA.

Figure 24

Do states require a minimum GPA for admission to teacher prep?



- Strong Practice: Delaware, District of Columbia⁵, Georgia⁶, Hawaii⁵, Louisiana⁵, Michigan⁵, Mississippi⁶, New Jersey⁶, New York⁵, North Carolina⁵, Oklahoma⁷, Pennsylvania⁸, Rhode Island, South Carolina⁵, Utah, Virginia⁵
- 2. Kentucky, Texas
- 3. Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut⁹, Florida, Nebraska, South Dakota, Wisconsin¹⁰
- Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Tennessee, Vermont, Washington, West Virginia, Wyoming
- 5. Required minimum GPA of 3.0 is based on CAEP accreditation standards, not state's own admission policy.
- 6. The 3.0 GPA requirement is a cohort average; individual candidates in Mississippi and New Jersey must have a 2.75 GPA. Individual candidates in Georgia must have a 2.5 GPA.
- 7. Candidates in Oklahoma also have the option of gaining admission by passing a basic skills test.
- 8. Students can also be admitted with a combination of a 2.8 GPA and qualifying scores on the basic skills test or SAT/ACT.
- 9. Connecticut requires a B- grade point average for all undergraduate courses.
- 10. The GPA admission requirement is 2.5 for undergraduate and 2.75 for graduate programs.



Teacher Preparation Program Accountability

Key Components

(The factors considered in determining the states' ratings for this topic.)

- The state should incorporate preparation to teach to college- and career-readiness standards into its accountability requirements for teacher preparation programs.
- 2. The state should collect data that connects student achievement gains to teacher preparation programs. Such data can include value-added or growth analyses conducted specifically for this purpose or evaluation ratings that incorporate objective measures of student learning to a significant extent.
- 3. The state should establish the minimum standard of performance for each category of data. Programs should be held accountable for meeting these standards, with articulated consequences for failing to do so, including loss of program approval.
- 4. The state should produce and publish on its website an annual report card that shows all the data the state collects on individual teacher preparation programs.
- The state should retain full authority over its process for approving teacher preparation programs.



How well are states ensuring that teacher preparation programs are accountable for their performance?

- Alaska, Connecticut, District of
 Columbia, Hawaii, Idaho, Minnesota,
 Nebraska, New York, North Dakota,
 South Dakota, Utah, Wyoming
- Arizona, Arkansas, California,
 Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Maryland,
 Mississippi, Missouri, Montana,
 New Hampshire, Oklahoma, Oregon,
 Pennsylvania, Vermont, Virginia,
 West Virginia
- Alabama, Indiana, Kentucky,
 Massachusetts, Nevada, New Jersey,
 New Mexico, South Carolina,
 Washington, Wisconsin
- COLORADO, Delaware, Florida, Georgia,
 Michigan, North Carolina, Ohio,
 Rhode Island, Tennessee, Texas
- 1 Louisiana

Teacher Prep Program Accountability Analysis: Colorado


HOLDING PREPARATION PROGRAMS ACCOUNTABLE FOR RESULTS

The ultimate goal of teacher preparation programs should be to produce teachers who are effective in educating their students and ensure that they are ready for college and career. As programs operate by virtue of state approval, it is the state's responsibility to connect approval to accountability measures that ensure high performance. While this goal may have been hard to assess a few years ago, that is no longer the case. Redesigned evaluations of teacher effectiveness in the majority of states offer an opportunity for states to collect meaningful objective data on the performance of program graduates. To date, few states connect their process of approving teacher preparation programs to measurable outcome data about programs' graduates.





COLORADO

TEACHER PREP ACCOUNTABILITY SNAPSHOT



program performance.





State publishes an annual report card on its own website.

X State retains full authority over its approval process.



Yes



No

Colorado's approval process for its traditional and alternate route teacher preparation programs is on the right track but could do more to hold programs accountable for the quality of the teachers they produce.

The state will produce an annual report that shows the relationship between teacher preparation programs and student academic growth. The effectiveness of programs will be examined using aggregate data, including the correlation among different preparation programs and student academic growth, educator placement, and educator mobility and retention. The report will be limited to language arts and math teachers in grades 3-10 because those are the only students tested by the Colorado Student Assessment Program (CSAP).

RECOMMENDATIONS

 Establish the minimum standard of performance for accountability purposes.

In order to make use of the data Colorado plans to collect and publish for accountability purposes, it is critical that the state establish minimum standards for teacher preparation program performance for each category of data. Programs should then be held accountable for meeting these standards, and there should be consequences for failing to do so, including loss of program approval.

Maintain full authority over the process for approving teacher preparation programs.

Colorado should ensure that it is the state that considers the evidence of program performance and makes the decision about whether programs should continue to be authorized to prepare teachers. However, it does not appear that Colorado has articulated a plan to apply any transparent, measurable criteria for conferring program approval. These reports will be available to the public on the state's website.

In Colorado, there is some overlap of accreditation and state approval. Although CAEP and the state conduct concurrent on-site reviews, Colorado delegates its subject-matter program review process to CAEP.

Supporting Research

Colorado Revised Statute 23-1-121 SB 10-036 (2010)

Reports http://highered.colorado.gov/i3/Reports.aspx www.ncate.org

COLORADO RESPONSE TO ANALYSIS

While not asked to respond to the full analysis for this section, Colorado did not indicate any policy updates related to teacher prep program accountability.

Do states hold teacher preparation programs accountable? Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California COLORADO Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Illowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska New Hampshire New Hampshire New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Carolina North Carolina North Carolina Rhode Island South Dakota Dennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming Wisconsin Wyoming Ney South Dakota Indiana I	Figure 26	ZZ ZZ	WEGED W	£ / ,
Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas Galifornia COLORADO Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Illinoi	De states I I I I	\$ Z	2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 /	
Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas Galifornia COLORADO Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Illinoi		\$3	255	PLE BLE
Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California COLORADO Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida			\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	ZZZ
Alaska	accountable?	0g /	/ < C. F. /	0,€,
Arizona			■ 1	
Arkansas				
California				
COLORADO Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Icuisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada¹ New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina¹ South Dakota Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Wisconsin Wisco				
Connecticut				
Delaware				
District of Columbia				
Georgia		Ē		Ē
Hawaii				2
Hawaii				
Illinois				
Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada¹ New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio¹ Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina¹ South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wisconsin Wyoming				
Iowa				
Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada¹ New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio¹ Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina¹ South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	Indiana			
Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada¹ New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio¹ Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina¹ South Carolina¹ South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming 1	lowa			
Louisiana	Kansas			
Maine 1 <td>Kentucky</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2</td>	Kentucky			2
Maryland 3 Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada¹ New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio¹ Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Dakota Texas Utah Vermont Virgin	Louisiana			2
Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada¹ New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio¹ Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina¹ South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington Wyoming	Maine	1		
Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada¹ New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina Ohio¹ Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina¹ South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming 1	Maryland	3		
Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada¹ New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio¹ Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina¹ South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I				
Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada¹ New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio¹ Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina¹ South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington Wyoming I	_		1	
Missouri				
Montana Nebraska Nevada¹ New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina Ohio¹ Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina¹ South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington Wyoming		1		
Nebraska				
Nevada¹		1		
New Hampshire				
New Jersey				
New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio¹ Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina¹ South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming				
New York				
North Carolina North Dakota Ohio¹ Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina¹ South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wyoming				
North Dakota Ohio¹ Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina¹ South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming				
Ohio¹ Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina¹ South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming				2
Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina¹ South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming				
Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina¹ South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming				
Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina¹ South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming				
Rhode Island South Carolina¹ South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming				
South Carolina¹ South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming		'		
South Dakota				
Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming				
Texas				
Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming				
Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming				
Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming				
Washington		1		
West Virginia				
Wisconsin		<u> </u>		
Wyoming	_			
35 4 18				

SUMMARY OF TEACHER PREP PROGRAM **ACCOUNTABILITY FIGURES**

Figure 26

Accountability requirements

Figure 27


Use of student achievement data



EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE

No state has yet implemented a full accountability system for teacher preparation that features data, including student achievement gains, connected to teacher preparation programs (not just the institution level); has clear minimum standards of performance for those data; and publishes the results for use by prospective teachers, hiring school districts and the general public. Some states are well on their way. Georgia and Louisiana collect student achievement gains and set minimum standards of performance, while Ohio and Tennessee have published report cards that include connections to student achievement gains.

Figure 27 Do states connect student achievement data to teacher preparation programs?



- 1. Strong Practice: Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, North Carolina, Ohio, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Texas
- 2. Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, District of Columbia³, Hawaii³, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland³, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York³, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming
- 3. Included in state's Race to the Top plan, but not in policy or yet implemented.

- 1. For traditional preparation programs only.
- 2. State does not distinguish between alternate route programs and traditional preparation programs in public reporting.
- 3. For alternate routes only.

Teacher Preparation Policy Priorities for Colorado

Prepare all teachers to meet the instructional shifts of college- and career-readiness standards for students.

- Strengthen preparation requirements to ensure teacher candidates have the ability to address the use of
 informational texts as well as incorporate complex informational texts into classroom instruction.
 Priority for elementary, middle, secondary and special education teacher preparation.
- Through testing frameworks or teacher standards, include literacy skills and using text to build content knowledge in history/social studies, science, technical subjects and the arts.

 *Priority for elementary, middle, secondary and special education teacher preparation.

Additional priorities for elementary teacher preparation:

- Require all elementary teacher candidates—including candidates for an early childhood license—to pass
 a rigorous content test that assesses knowledge of all core subjects, including mathematics, and requires
 a meaningful passing score for each area.
- Require a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.

Additional priorities for middle school teacher preparation:

• Require teacher candidates to pass a content test in every core area they are licensed to teach.

Additional priorities for secondary teacher preparation:

- Require secondary candidates to pass a content test in each subject they are licensed to teach.
- Require secondary science and social studies teachers to pass a content test for each discipline they are licensed to teach.

Additional priorities for special education teacher preparation:

- Eliminate the K-12 special education certificate, and require licenses that differentiate between preparation of elementary and secondary teacher candidates.
- Require elementary special education candidates to pass a rigorous content test as a condition of initial licensure, as well as a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.
- Ensure secondary special education teachers possess adequate content knowledge for the grades and subjects they teach.

Raise admission requirements:

Limit admission to teacher preparation programs to candidates in the top half of the college-going population, measured by a test normed to the general college-bound population or minimum GPA.

Hold preparation programs accountable:

• Set minimum standards for program performance with consequences for failure to meet those standards.

