2012 State Teacher Policy Yearbook

Improving Teacher Preparation in Maine



Acknowledgments

STATES

State education agencies remain our most important partners in this effort, and their continued cooperation has helped to ensure the factual accuracy of the final product. Although this year's edition did not require the extensive review that the comprehensive editions require, we still wanted to make sure that we captured all relevant policy changes and that states' perspectives were represented. Every state formally received a draft of the policy updates we identified in July 2012 for comment and correction; states also received a final draft of their reports a month prior to release. All but one state responded to our inquiries. We thank the states for their ongoing willingness to engage in dialogue with us.

FUNDERS

The primary funders for the 2012 Yearbook were:

Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation

■ The Joyce Foundation

Carnegie Corporation of New York

■ The Walton Family Foundation

The National Council on Teacher Quality does not accept any direct funding from the federal government.

STAFF

Sandi Jacobs, *Project Director*Sarah Brody, *Project Assistant*Kathryn M. Doherty, *Special Contributor*Kelli Lakis, *Lead Researcher*Stephanie T. Maltz, *Researcher*

Thank you to the team at CPS Gumpert for their design of the 2012 *Yearbook*. Thanks also to Colleen Hale and Jeff Hale at EFA Solutions for the original *Yearbook* design and ongoing technical support.



Improving Teacher Preparation in Maine

The 2012 State Teacher Policy Yearbook puts a spotlight on the critical issue of teacher preparation. The 2011 edition of the Yearbook provided a comprehensive review of all aspects of states' teacher policies, and although considerable progress was noted in areas related to teacher effectiveness, the same could not be said for teacher preparation. While many states have made advancements in teacher evaluation and tenure requirements, teacher preparation has yet to capture states' attention.

Good preparation does not guarantee that teachers will ultimately be effective, but there is much more that can be done to help ensure that new teachers are "classroom ready." This edition of the Yearbook offers states a roadmap of their teacher preparation policies, identifying priorities that need critical attention and also identifying low-hanging fruit, policy changes that states can implement in relatively short order.



Current Status of Maine's Teacher Preparation Policy

Last year's State Teacher Policy Yearbook provided an in-depth analysis of each of the topics identified below. The 2012 score includes any policy changes identified in the last year. The n symbol indicates a score increase from 2011.

Yearbook Goal	Торіс	2012 Score
1-A	Admission into Preparation Programs	
1-B	Elementary Teacher Preparation	
1-C	Elementary Teacher Preparation in Reading Instruction	O
1-D	Elementary Teacher Preparation in Mathematics	
1-E	Middle School Teacher Preparation	
1-F	Secondary Teacher Preparation	
1-G	Secondary Teacher Preparation in Science	
1-H	Secondary Teacher Preparation in Social Studies	
1-I	Special Education Teacher Preparation	
1-J	Assessing Professional Knowledge	
1-K	Student Teaching	•
1-L	Teacher Preparation Program Accountability	O



2012 Policy Update for Maine

Based on a review of state legislation, rules and regulations, NCTQ has identified the following recent policy changes in Maine:

Elementary Teacher Preparation

Recent legislation requires that the state board of education amend its rules to require that any candidate seeking en elementary K-8 endorsement must demonstrate proficiency in math and evidence-based reading instruction, which is defined as "instructional practices that have been proven by systematic, objective, valid and peer-reviewed research to lead to predictable gains in reading achievement." LD 1858, Public Law 2011, Chapter 635

Student Teaching

Recent legislation in Maine now requires all candidates to complete at least 15 weeks of student teaching to qualify for a provisional teacher certificate. *LD 1858, Public Law 2011, Chapter 635*

Alternate Route

Maine has expanded its alternate route to include five options: Adjunct Teaching Path, Advanced Degree Alternative Path, Core Academic Preparation Path, Clinical Practice Path and One-Year Supervised Practicum Path. All candidates must pass the appropriate Praxis II content assessment and receive mentoring from a Local Support Team. *Rule 05-071, Chapter 114*

Teacher Preparation Program Accountability

Teacher preparation programs must now collect data on program completers, certification test pass rates, provisional and professional licensure rates and retention rates. *LD 1858, Public Law 2011, Chapter 635*

Maine Response to Policy Update

States were asked to review NCTQ's identified updates and also to comment on policy changes related to teacher preparation that have occurred in the last year, pending changes or teacher preparation in the state more generally. States were also asked to review NCTQ's analysis of teacher preparation authority (See Figure 20).

Maine was helpful in providing NCTQ with additional information about policy changes related to teacher preparation. The state added that in terms of admission to teacher preparation programs, "the means for establishing candidacy status have been addressed more fully." The state also adopted the InTASC Core Teaching Standards; the National Educational Technology Standards for Students (NETS-S), Teachers (NETS-T) and Administrators (NETS-A); and school leader and district leader standards, and it expanded alternate pathways to teacher certification. The state has also amended its statutes to allow school administrative units to employ conditionally certified teachers notwithstanding the availability of fully certified teachers.

Maine also pointed out that it has adopted the Common Core State Standards in English/language arts and math, and that it will adopt the Core Standards in science when they are available. The state noted that it has been a lead state in developing these science standards.

Further, Maine's recent legislation requires the following provisions: school administrative units that must develop and implement performance evaluations and professional growth systems for teachers and principals; standards that must be met by these systems, including a requirement that multiple measures of effectiveness must be used in evaluations; and the creation of the Maine Educator Effectiveness Council, which is authorized to develop detailed standards for implementing educator performance evaluations and professional growth systems. This

legislation also includes various provisions regarding ineffective teacher ratings; for example, teachers found to be ineffective must be allowed to implement a professional development plan, and effectiveness ratings must be treated as a factor in determining the order of

Maine asserted that it is committed to transitioning to standards-based learning systems statewide, and that it has convened a working group to develop standards and procedures by July 1, 2013, to enable school administrative units to transition to a system of education that awards a high school diploma based on a student's demonstrated proficiency in the areas of assessment under Maine learning standards.

The state noted that while transitional work has already begun, passage of recent legislation "provides" further support of the Legislature for moving this important work to full implementation." Maine added that "the need to redesign [its] educator preparation programs to prepare teacher leaders and school leading environments has been fully recognized."

In addition, Maine confirmed that the descriptions in Figure 20 accurately reflect state authority for teacher preparation and licensing.

COMING SOON

NCTQ Teacher Prep Review

Preparing teachers to be effective and successful in the classroom requires both the strong state policy framework described in the Yearbook and quality implementation by states' teacher preparation programs.

How are Maine's programs doing? NCTQ will soon answer that question with our forthcoming review of the nation's higher education-based teacher preparation programs that produce 99 percent of traditionally-prepared teachers. The Review will find the programs that are doing the best job preparing tomorrow's educators, those that need to improve and those that need to be radically restructured.

The Review will be released in Spring 2013. Find out more at www.nctq.org/p/edschools.

For a sneak peek, see page 6.

Figure 1		/
Delivering well- orepared teachers	2012 Grade	2011 Grade
Alabama	В-	С
Alaska	F	F
Arizona	D-	D-
Arkansas	С	С
California	D	D
Colorado	D	D-
Connecticut	C+	C-
Delaware	D-	D-
District of Columbia	D	D
Florida	B-	B-
Georgia	С	С
Hawaii	D	D
Idaho	D	D
Illinois	D	D
Indiana	B-	C+
lowa	D	D
Kansas	D+	D+
Kentucky	C+	C-
Louisiana	С	С
MAINE	D+	D
Maryland	D+	D+
Massachusetts	C+	C+
Michigan	D+	D+
Minnesota	C+	C C
Mississippi	С	С
Missouri	D+	D+
Montana	F	F
Nebraska	D-	D-
Nevada	D-	D-
New Hampshire	C-	D
New Jersey	C-	D+
New Mexico	D+	D+
New York	C-	D+
North Carolina	D-	D-
North Dakota	D	D
Ohio	C-	D+
Oklahoma	C	С
Oregon	D-	D-
Pennsylvania	C	C
Rhode Island	C	D+
South Carolina	C-	C-
South Dakota	D	D
Tennessee	B-	B-
Texas	C+	C+
Utah	D	D D
Vermont	C-	D+
Virginia	C-	C-
Washington	D+	D+
West Virginia	C-	C-
Wisconsin	D+	D D
Wyoming	F F	F
Average State Grade	-	D
Average state Grade	D+	U

Teacher Preparation Policy Checklist for States

1.	Raise admission standards.	 Require teacher candidates to pass a test of academic proficiency that assesses reading, writing and mathematics skills as a criterion for admission into teacher preparation programs. Require preparation programs to use a common test normed to the general college-bound population.
2.	Align teacher preparation with Common Core State Standards.	 Ensure that coursework and subject-matter testing for elementary teacher candidates are well aligned with standards. Ensure that teacher preparation programs prepare elementary teaching candidates in the science of reading instruction and require a rigorous assessment of reading instruction. Require teacher preparation programs to provide mathematics content specifically geared to the needs of elementary teachers.
3.	Improve clinical preparation.	 Ensure that cooperating teachers have demonstrated evidence of effectiveness as measured by student learning. Require summative clinical experience for all prospective teachers that includes at least 10 weeks of full-time student teaching.
4.	Raise licensing standards.	 ✓ Eliminate K-8 generalist licenses. ✓ Require subject-matter testing for middle school teacher candidates. ✓ Require subject-matter testing for secondary teacher candidates. ✓ Require middle school and secondary science and social studies teachers to pass a test of content knowledge that ensures sufficient knowledge of the subjects taught.
5.	Don't lower the bar for special education teachers.	 ✓ Do away with K-12 special education teacher licenses. ✓ Require special education teachers to pass a subject-matter test for licensure that is no less rigorous than what is required of general education candidates.
6.	Hold teacher preparation programs accountable.	 Collect data that connect student achievement gains to teacher preparation programs. Gather other meaningful data that reflect program performance. Establish the minimum standard of performance for each category of data. Produce and publish an annual report card for each teacher preparation program.

Critical Issues for State Teacher Preparation Policy

Critical Attention: Admission into Teacher Preparation Programs



Maine does not ensure that teacher preparation programs admit candidates with strong academic records.

The demands of K-12 classrooms today require teachers with strong academic backgrounds who can positively affect student learning. To ensure that such strong candidates enter classrooms, it is important to set rigorous standards for entry into the teacher pipeline. This begins with teacher preparation program admissions.

Looking to international examples, such top-performing countries as Finland and South Korea admit prospective teacher candidates from the top 10 percent of the college-going population. While a bar that high is a long way from average standards in the United States, it seems reasonable and appropriate that states should limit access to teacher preparation programs to those who are in the top half of the college-going population in terms of academic achievement.

Most states limit their academic screening to basic skills tests, which generally assess only middle school-level skills and which are generally only normed to the prospective teacher population.

At present, Maine does not require prospective teachers to pass a test of academic proficiency as a criterion for admission to teacher preparation programs. Rather, the

basic skills assessment requirement is delayed until teacher candidates are ready to apply for licensure. The state gives "pre-candidacy" status to "traditional or non-traditional student[s] who [are] admitted to a state approved teacher education program but [have] not yet taken and passed the required Praxis I exam or its equivalent as defined by the Maine State Board of Education," which allows candidates to be admitted into programs prior to demonstrating academic proficiency.

NEXT STEPS FOR MAINE:

Require that teacher preparation programs screen candidates for academic proficiency prior to admission.

Teacher preparation programs that do not screen candidates invest considerable resources in individuals who may not be able to successfully complete the program and pass licensing tests. Candidates in need of additional support should complete remediation before entering the program to avoid the possibility of an unsuccessful investment of significant public tax dollars. Maine should require candidates to pass a test of academic proficiency that assesses reading, mathematics and writing prior to program admission. Importantly, candidates should be permitted to submit comparable scores on such rigorous tests as the SAT/ACT/GRE.

Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, MAINE, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming Illinois

Texas

Require that programs use a common admissions test normed to the general college-bound population.

Maine should require programs to use an assessment that demonstrates that candidates are academically competitive with all peers, regardless of their intended profession. Requiring a common test normed to the general college population would allow for the selection of applicants in the top half of their class while also facilitating program comparison.

Consider requiring candidates to pass subject-matter tests as a condition of admission into teacher programs.

In addition to ensuring that programs require a measure of academic performance for admission, Maine might also want to consider requiring content testing prior to program admission as opposed to at the point of program completion. Program candidates are likely to have completed coursework that covers related test content in the prerequisite classes required for program admission. Thus, it would be sensible to have candidates take content tests while this knowledge is fresh rather than wait two years to fulfill the requirement, and candidates lacking sufficient expertise would be able to remedy deficits prior to entering formal preparation.

SNEAK PEEK: Teacher Prep Review

Are Maine's undergraduate teacher preparation programs in the *Review* sufficiently selective?

94% are not sufficiently selective.

The *Review* will be released in Spring 2013. Find out more at www.nctq.org/p/edschools.

PET NORMED TO COLLEGE.
ADMISSION TO PREP PROPERTO Figure 2 Do states appropriately test teacher candidates' academic proficiency? Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware П District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming 1 23 18 9

New Hampshire is in the process of adopting a requirement that will make the test a condition of admission.

Critical Attention: Elementary Teacher Preparation



Maine does not ensure that new elementary teachers are ready to teach to the Common Core Standards.

To be effective, elementary teacher candidates need liberal arts coursework relevant to the K-6 classroom, and they should also be required to pass a rigorous content test that ensures appropriate subject-matter knowledge.

The Common Core State Standards, adopted by nearly all states including Maine, represent an effort to significantly raise expectations for the knowledge and skills American students will need for college readiness and global competitiveness. And Maine, like all states, must ensure that its teachers are prepared to teach to these high standards.

Although a "standards-based" approach grants greater flexibility to teacher preparation programs regarding program design, it is difficult to monitor or enforce absent a rigorous test. Further, alignment of preparation program instruction with student learning standards should be augmented with a broader and deeper content perspective than what will actually be taught in the elementary classroom.

Unfortunately, Maine's policies fail to ensure that elementary teacher candidates will have the subject-area knowledge necessary to teach to these standards. The state does not require a subject-matter test that reports subscores in all areas, and Alabama, California, Connecticut, Indiana, Minnesota, New Hampshire

Wisconsin, Wyoming

Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado,

Delaware, District of Columbia,

Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky,

Louisiana, MAINE, Maryland, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri,

Montana, Nebraska, Nevada,

North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma,

Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia,

Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota,

New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina,

Massachusetts

its coursework requirements lack the specificity to guarantee relevancy to the elementary classroom. In addition, Maine does not ensure that teachers will be adequately prepared in the science of reading instruction, another key element of the Common Core State Standards.

NEXT STEPS FOR MAINE:

Require elementary teacher candidates to pass a subject-matter test designed to ensure sufficient content knowledge of all subjects.

Maine should ensure that its elementary content test is appropriately aligned with the Common Core State Standards and require separate, meaningful passing scores for each area on the test. Use of a composite passing score offers no assurance of adequate knowledge in each subject area. A candidate may achieve a passing score and still be seriously deficient in a particular subject area.

Require teacher preparation programs to provide mathematics content specifically geared to the needs of elementary teachers and require candidates to pass a rigorous math assessment.

Maine should require teacher preparation programs to provide mathematics content specifically geared to the needs of elementary teachers. This includes specific coursework in foundations, algebra and geometry, with some statistics. NCTQ acknowledges that new rules will be promulgated regarding math proficiency; therefore, Maine is urged to require a rigorous assessment that reports a separate subscore for and evaluates mathematics knowledge beyond an elementary school level and challenges candidates' understanding of underlying mathematics concepts.

■ Require teacher candidates to pass a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction.

Maine should require a rigorous reading assessment to ensure that its elementary teacher candidates are adequately prepared in the science of reading instruction before entering the classroom. NCTQ acknowledges the promulgation of new rules in the area of reading and recommends that the new assessment clearly test knowledge and skills related to the science of reading, and if it is combined with an assessment that also tests general pedagogy or elementary content, it should report a subscore for the science of reading specifically.


■ Ensure that teacher preparation programs deliver a comprehensive program of study in broad liberal arts coursework.

Maine should either articulate a specific set of standards or establish more comprehensive coursework requirements for elementary teacher candidates that align with the Common Core Standards to ensure that candidates will complete coursework relevant to the common topics in elementary grades. An adequate curriculum is likely to require approximately 36 credit hours in the core subject areas of English, science, social studies and fine arts. Presently, Maine's coursework requirements are defined too broadly to guarantee that the courses used to meet them will be relevant to the topics taught in the PK-6 classroom, and the state's teacher performance standards are far too ambiguous to set a meaningful standard for holding either programs or teachers accountable.

Require elementary teacher candidates to complete a content specialization in an academic subject area.


In addition to enhancing content knowledge, this requirement would ensure that prospective teachers in Maine take higher-level academic coursework. This requirement also provides an important safeguard in the event that candidates are unable to successfully complete clinical practice requirements. With an academic concentration (or better still a major or minor), candidates who are not ready for the classroom and do not pass student teaching can still be on track to complete a degree.

Figure 3 Do states measure new teachers' knowledge of the science of reading?



- 1. Strong Practice: Alabama⁴, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Minnesota⁵, New Hampshire, New Mexico⁶, Oklahoma, Tennessee, Virginia, Wisconsin
- 2. California, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, New York, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Texas
- 3. Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Delaware, District of Columbia, Hawaii, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Jersey, North Carolina⁷, North Dakota, Ohio, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Utah, Vermont, Washington, West Virginia, Wyoming
- 4. Alabama's reading test spans the K-12 spectrum.
- 5. Based on the limited information available about the test on Minnesota's website
- 6. Test is under development and not yet available for review.
- 7. North Carolina has adopted a task force recommendation to require the Foundations of Reading test. Rules have yet to be promulgated, including whether the test will be required for initial licensure. Current rules require such tests for professional licensure only.

Figure 4 Do states measure new elementary teachers' knowledge of math?




- 1. Strong Practice: Alabama, Connecticut, Indiana, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Rhode Island, Utah, Vermont
- 2. Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, Nevada, New Mexico, New York⁴, North Carolina⁵, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming
- 3. Montana, Nebraska
- 4. New York is in the process of developing a stand-alone math test.
- 5. North Carolina has adopted a task force recommendation to require the Massachusetts Test of General Curriculum, including the math subtest. Rules have yet to be promulgated, including whether the test will be required for initial licensure. Current rules require such tests for professional licensure only.
 - 1. Testing is not required for initial licensure.
 - 2. The required test is a questionable assessment of content knowledge, instead emphasizing methods and instructional strategies.
 - 3. Massachusetts requires a general curriculum test that does not report scores for each elementary subject. A separate score is reported for math (see Figure 4).
 - 4. North Carolina has adopted a task force recommendation to require the Massachusetts Test of General Curriculum. Rules have yet to be promulgated, including whether the test will be required for initial licensure. Current rules require such tests for professional licensure only.
 - 5. Oregon allows "alternative assessment" for candidates who fail twice.

Figure 5	ELEMENTARY CONTENT SCORE FOR SEPARATION	Separate passing	Elementary content to	with /	
Do states ensure that	ENT	17 / 24 / 18 / 18 / 18 / 18 / 18 / 18 / 18 / 1)re f	7	
elementary teachers	W 2	SCB SCB Ten	, scc	/ 6	
know core content?	7.7.	49. 49. 49. 49. 49. 49. 49. 49. 49. 49.	\$ 60,00		
Know core content?	Z E S		yec, 'tary' 'te sc	No test required	
	ENE TW REFE	leme Parau Pe su	men Poss	, tes	
		Son, se	CON	/ >	
Alabama					
Alaska				1	
Arizona				_	
Arkansas					
California			_		
Colorado					
Connecticut					
Delaware	_				
District of Columbia					
Florida					
Georgia					
Hawaii					
Idaho					
Illinois					
Indiana					
lowa	_				
Kansas					
Kentucky					
Louisiana					
MAINE					
Maryland			2		
Massachusetts			3		
Michigan					
Minnesota					
Mississippi					
Missouri			_		
Montana					
Nebraska					
Nevada			2		
New Hampshire					
New Jersey	-				
New Mexico	_				
New York					
North Carolina				4	
North Dakota					
Ohio					
Oklahoma					
Oregon		5			
Pennsylvania					
Rhode Island					
South Carolina			2		
South Dakota			_		
Tennessee					
Texas					
Utah					
Vermont					
Virginia					
Washington					
West Virginia					
Wisconsin					
Wyoming					
wyoning					
	9	9	29	4	

igure 6				IGLISH		/		SCIE					OCIA					/	FINE
Do states expect			/ 6	/ /	/		/	/ 80	/ /	/		/	146	(44)		^/	/ /		/ /
elementary teachers		ture	, erat	7 Jar .		,	/ /	\ so _i	/ / हु	ر بو	3/	/ 1/2/		1 A 1 1	100 N	/ /	/ / /	/	′ /
to have in-depth		/ ite.	sh Li		'/ /		/	Vsi _{Ca}	, ce	/ .	/ ₁₁ st	\ \ \ \ '	ું /	\$ /	5/		_ / /	. /	
knowledge of	Š.			ositie en's	/ / .£	بر / ج	$\int_{0}^{2\pi}$	ز / ت	\$ \ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\		· / . f	· / . ģ		ž / ž	1811 / P	Kes.		§ /	
core content?	4mer	World/Rein	Writing/C	Children's Literat	Chemistr	Physics .	Zenet /	Earth Science	Biology/Life Science	American	4mer	America.	World LL:	World H:	World Hist	Geograph.	Art History	Music	/
Alabama			*																
Alaska																			
Arizona			*				*	*	*	*	*	*				*		*	
Arkansas																			
California			*				*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*		*	
Colorado																			
Connecticut										*	*								
Delaware																			
District of Columbia																			
Florida			*		*		*	*	*			*				*			
Georgia			*				*	*	*	*	*	*				*			
Hawaii																			
Idaho																			
Illinois			*				*	*	*			*				*			
Indiana			*				*	*	*			*	*	*		*		*	
Iowa																			
Kansas			*	*			*	*	*			*				*			
Kentucky																			
Louisiana																			
MAINE																			
Maryland																			
Massachusetts																			
Michigan			*	*			*	*	*			*				*			
Minnesota			*	*			*	*	*			*							
Mississippi																			
Missouri							_												
Montana		Ц					_								Ц				
Nebraska			X																
Nevada							Ш												
New Hampshire																			
New Jersey																			
New Mexico			*															*	
New York																			
North Carolina North Dakota																			
Ohio																			
Oklahoma			*				*	*	†			*				<u> </u>			
Oregon			*				*	1	*	*	4	1	*	4	1	*		*	
Pennsylvania																			
Rhode Island																			
South Carolina																		-	
South Dakota																			
Tennessee			*				*	+	*			*				*			
Texas			*				-	4	*	*	*	*				*	*	*	
Utah						П													
Vermont																			
Virginia			*		*		*	+	*	*	*	*	*	*	•	*			
Washington			*				*	*	*			*				*		*	
West Virginia						П													
Wisconsin																			


Figure 7
Where do states set the passing score on elementary content licensure tests¹?



¹ Based on the most recent technical data that could be obtained; data not available for Arizona, California, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Michigan, Minnesota, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Oregon and Washington. Montana and Nebraska do not require a content test. Colorado score is for Praxis II, not PLACE. Alabama, Connecticut, Indiana, Kentucky, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Rhode Island, Utah and Vermont now require the Multiple Subjects test and Maryland, Nevada and South Carolina now require the Instructional Practice and Applications test. Both are new Praxis tests for which technical data are not yet available; analysis is based on previously required test.

Figure 8

Teacher licensing structure in Maine



Critical Attention: Middle School Teacher Preparation



Maine does not ensure that new middle school teachers will be prepared to teach appropriate grade-level content.

The middle school years are critical to students' education, yet the preparation and licensure requirements for middle school teachers often do not ensure that hey are sufficiently prepared to teach grade-level content.

Too many states, including Maine, fail to distinguish the knowledge and skills needed by middle school teachers from those needed by an elementary teacher. Whether teaching a single subject in a departmentalized setting or teaching multiple subjects in a self-contained classroom, middle school teachers must be able to teach significantly more advanced content than what elementary teachers are expected to teach.

Regrettably, Maine allows middle school teachers to teach on a generalist K-8 license, even though it offers a middle grades certification.

The state does not explicitly require a major or minor in the subject areas that prospective middle school teachers plan to teach, and candidates who plan to teach middle school on the generalist license are only required to pass the general elementary content test.

Alaska, Arizona, California, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, MAINE, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Washington, Wisconsin, Wyoming

Maryland, Massachusetts, New York

Alabama, Arkansas, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, New Jersey, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia

NEXT STEPS FOR MAINE:

Eliminate the generalist license.

Teachers with a K-8 license are less likely to be adequately prepared to teach core academic areas at the middle school level because their preparation requirements are not specific to the middle or secondary levels. By requiring specific middle grades certification, Maine will help ensure that students in those grades have teachers who are appropriately prepared to teach grade-level content, which is different and more advanced than what elementary teachers teach.

Require content testing in all core areas.

As a condition of initial licensure, all candidates teaching middle grades in Maine should have to pass a subject-matter test in every core academic area they intend to teach.

Encourage middle school teachers licensed to teach multiple subjects to earn two subjectmatter minors.

This would allow candidates to gain sufficient knowledge to pass state licensing tests and be highly qualified in both subjects, and it would increase schools' staffing flexibility. However, middle school candidates in Maine who intend to teach a single subject should earn a major in that area.

o states distinguish i	middle Googles (1997) was a second of the contract of the cont	K-8 license offered for	, Loon	
rade preparation fro	m &	, fere		
lementary preparati	on?	se o,	, / , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
31 1	IICE	licer conts	/ licer	
	4.8	K-8	K.8 license of eyed	
Alabama				
Alaska				
Arizona				
Arkansas				
California		1		
Colorado				
Connecticut	_			
Delaware				
District of Columbia Florida				
Georgia Hawaii				
Idaho				
Illinois	2			
Indiana				
Iowa				
Kansas				
Kentucky				
Louisiana				
MAINE				
Maryland				
Massachusetts				
Michigan				
Minnesota				
Mississippi				
Missouri				
Montana				
Nebraska Nevada				
New Hampshire New Jersey				
New Mexico				
New York				
North Carolina				
North Dakota				
Ohio				
Oklahoma			3	
Oregon			4	
Pennsylvania				
Rhode Island				
South Carolina				
South Dakota				California offers a K-12 generalist license for self-contained classrooms.
Tennessee				Illinois has repealed its K-9 license and is in
Texas				the process of revising middle school certifi-
Utah				cation requirements.
Vermont				3. With the exception of mathematics.
Virginia				4. Oregon offers 3-8 license.
Washington				5. Wisconsin offers 1-8 license.
West Virginia Wisconsin			5	
Wyoming				
wyoning	32	5	14	

Figure 10				No, testing of all subjects	
Do middle school teach	ers	No test does not all tone subscoper	No, K-8 liense requires	15 / L	
nave to pass an appropri	iate	100	2 7 2 70 8 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	all si	
content test in every cor	e		Ye _{ct}	, \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
ubject they are licensed		test t sul	10 / 20 / 20 / 20 / 20 / 20 / 20 / 20 /	estif	
o teach?	YES	\ \&\ \&\ \&\ \&\ \&\ \&\ \&\ \&\ \&\ \	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	
Alabama	Σ' /	7 % /	, , o ,		
Alaska					
Arizona					
Arkansas					
California				1	
Colorado					
Connecticut					
Delaware					
District of Columbia					
Florida					
Georgia					
Hawaii					
Idaho			2		
Illinois			3		
Indiana					
lowa				4	
Kansas					
Kentucky					
Louisiana					
MAINE					
Maryland	5				
Massachusetts					
Michigan					
Minnesota					
Mississippi Missouri					
Montana					
Nebraska					
Nevada					
New Hampshire					
New Jersey					
New Mexico					
New York	6				
North Carolina					
North Dakota					
Ohio					
Oklahoma					
Oregon			7		
Pennsylvania					
Rhode Island					
South Carolina					
South Dakota					
Tennessee					
Texas					
Utah					
Vermont					
Virginia					
Washington West Virginia					
West Virginia Wisconsin					
Wyoming					
rryoning		•		_	
	25	4	15	7	

- 1. Candidates teaching multiple subjects only have to pass the elementary test.
- 2. For K-8 license, Idaho also requires a single-subject test.
- Illinois has repealed its K-9 license. The state is in the process of revising its middle school certification requirements.
- It is unclear how new legislation will affect testing requirements for middle school candidates.
- 5. Maryland allows elementary teachers to teach in departmentalized middle schools if not less than 50 percent of the teaching assignment is within the elementary education grades.
- For nondepartmentalized classrooms, generalist in middle childhood education candidates must pass new assessment with three subtests.
- 7. Candidates opting for middle-level endorsement may either complete a major or pass a content test. Oregon allows "alternative assessment" for candidates who fail twice.

Critical Attention: Secondary Teacher Preparation



Maine could do more to ensure that new secondary teachers will be prepared to teach appropriate grade-level content.

Secondary teachers must be experts in the subject matter they teach, and only a rigorous test ensures that teacher candidates are sufficiently and appropriately knowledgeable in their content area. Coursework is generally only indicative of background in a subject area; even a major offers no certainty of what content has been covered.

Yet not all states ensure that secondary teachers have sufficient content knowledge in the subjects they are licensed to teach. And nearly all states—even those that do generally require content testing for secondary teachers—allow some science and/or social studies teachers to teach with broad licenses that have significant loopholes.

Most high school science courses are specialized, and the teachers of these subjects are not interchangeable. Nonetheless, most states allow teachers to obtain general science or combination licenses across multiple science disciplines, and, in most cases, these teachers need only pass a general knowledge science exam that does not ensure subject-specific content knowledge. This means that a teacher with a background in biology could be fully certified to teach advanced chemistry or

physics having passed only a general science test—and perhaps answering most of the chemistry or physics questions incorrectly.

Just as with broad field science, most states offer a general social studies license at the secondary level. For this certification, teachers can have a background in a wide variety of fields, ranging from history and political science to anthropology and psychology. Under such a license a teacher who majored in psychology could teach history to high school students having passed only a general knowledge test and answering most—and perhaps all—history questions incorrectly.

Commendably, Maine requires that its secondary teacher candidates pass a Praxis II content test to teach any core secondary subjects. Although Maine does not offer a general science certification for secondary science teachers, it does offer an endorsement in physical science, which combines both physics and chemistry. Further, the state only offers a general social studies certification to secondary teachers. Teachers with these licenses are not required to pass individual content tests for each discipline they are permitted to teach.

NEXT STEPS FOR MAINE:

Require secondary science teachers to pass a content test for each discipline they are licensed to teach.

By allowing a combination certification—and only requiring a comprehensive content exam—Maine is not ensuring that these secondary teachers possess adequate subject-specific content knowledge. The state's required assessment combines both chemistry and physics and does not report separate scores for each subject area.

Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Iowa, Montana, Nebraska, New Hampshire, North Carolina, Oregon, Washington, Wyoming

Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, MAINE, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin

Indiana, Minnesota, Tennessee

Require secondary social studies teachers to pass a content test for each discipline they are licensed to teach.

By allowing a general social studies certification—and only requiring a general knowledge social studies exam—Maine is not ensuring that its secondary teachers possess adequate subject-specific content knowledge. The state's required assessment combines all subject areas (e.g., history, geography, economics) and does not report separate scores for each subject area.

 It is unclear at this point how new legislation will affect content test requirements for secondary teachers.

F' . 11			/	No Social studies	/
Figure 11		Loophole i.	/ ,	/d/ie	/
Do all secondary tead		5 /	مرره /	1,254	/
have to pass a conter	nt	/	ζc _{ie} /	00/9	
test in every subject		/ .!	į / . <u>į</u>	5/11	
area they are licensed	d	104	, \ 90'	//	
to teach?	YES	8	/ %	/ 0	
	_ /	7	7	/ <	
Alabama	Ц				
Alaska					
Arizona					
Arkansas					
California					
Colorado					
Connecticut					
Delaware		_			
District of Columbia Florida					
Georgia Hawaii					
Idaho Illinois					
111111111111111111111111111111111111111					
Indiana Iowa ¹					
Kansas					
Kentucky					
Louisiana					
MAINE					
Maryland Massachusetts					
Michigan Minnesota					
Mississippi					
Missouri					
Montana					
Nebraska					
Nevada					
New Hampshire					
New Jersey					
New Mexico					
New York					
North Carolina					
North Dakota					
Ohio					
Oklahoma					
Oregon					
Pennsylvania					
Rhode Island		_			
South Carolina					
South Dakota					
Tennessee					
Texas					
Utah					
Vermont					
Virginia					
Washington					
West Virginia					
Wisconsin					
Wyoming					
	3	28	34	12	
	,	20	J-T	12	

Critical Attention: Special Education Teacher Preparation



Maine could do more to ensure that new special education teachers will know the subject matter that they will be required to teach.

Across the country, states are raising performance expectations to ensure that students who graduate from high school are college and career ready. These more rigorous standards apply to special education students just as they do to other students. The challenge of ensuring that teachers are prepared to teach to the new Common Core State Standards is even more pronounced for special education teachers, who typically have had to meet an even lower bar for content preparation than general educators. And certification rules for special education teachers that do not differentiate between teaching at the elementary and secondary levels only exacerbate the problem.

Allowing a generic K-12 special education certification makes it virtually impossible and certainly impractical for states to ensure that these teachers know all the subject matter they are expected to teach; this issue is just as valid in terms of pedagogical knowledge.

While a K-12 special education license may be appropriate for low-incidence special education students, such as those with severe cognitive disabilities, it is

deeply problematic for the overwhelming majority of high-incidence special education students who are expected to learn grade-level content.

Commendably, Maine does not offer a K-12 special education certification for low-incidence disabilities. However, this policy is undermined because the state requires an even lower bar for its elementary special education teachers than for its general elementary teachers, who are already held to a low bar that does not ensure that teachers will be prepared to teach to the Common Core State Standards, as noted in the elementary section. Further, secondary special education teachers are not required to pass content tests.

NEXT STEPS FOR MAINE:

Provide a broad liberal arts program of study to elementary special education candidates and require that they pass the same content test as general education teachers.

Maine should ensure that special education teacher candidates who will teach elementary grades possess knowledge of the subject matter at hand. Not only should the state require core-subject coursework relevant to the elementary classroom, but it should also require that these candidates pass the same subject-matter test required of all elementary teachers. Failure to ensure that teachers possess requisite content knowledge deprives special education students of the opportunity to reach their academic potential.

Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Mexico, Nevada, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina. South Dakota, Tennessee, Utah, Virginia, Washington, Wyoming

> Alabama, Arkansas, Iowa, Louisiana, MAINE, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Texas, Vermont, West Virginia, Wisconsin

Ensure that secondary special education teachers possess adequate content knowledge.

Secondary special education teachers are frequently generalists who teach many core subject areas. While it may be unreasonable to expect secondary special education teachers to meet the same requirements for each subject they teach as other teachers who teach only one subject, Maine's current policy of requiring no subject-matter testing is unacceptable and will not help special education students to meet rigorous learning standards. To provide a middle ground, Maine should consider a customized HOUSSE route for new secondary special education teachers and look to the flexibility offered by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), which allows for a combination of testing and coursework to demonstrate requisite content knowledge in the classroom.

 Although the state does issue a K-12 certificate, candidates must meet discrete elementary and/or secondary requirements.



Figure 13 Which states require subject-matter testing for special education teachers?

Elementary Subject-Matter	Test					
Required for an elementary special education license	Alabama, Arkansas, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Mississippi, New Jersey, New York, Oregon ¹ , Pennsylvania ² , Rhode Island, Texas, West Virginia ³ , Wisconsin					
Required for a K-12 special education license	Colorado, Idaho					
Secondary Subject-Matter Test(s)						
Tests in all core subjects required for secondary special education license	None					
Test in at least one subject required for secondary special education license	Arkansas, Kansas, Louisiana, New Jersey, New York ⁴ , Oregon ¹ , Pennsylvania ² , Rhode Island, West Virginia ³					
Required for a K-12 special education license	None					

- 1. Although Oregon requires testing, the state allows an "alternative assessment" option for candidates who fail twice.
- 2. In Pennsylvania, a candidate who opts for dual certification in elementary or secondary special education and as a reading specialist does not have to take a content test.
- 3. West Virginia also allows elementary special education candidates to earn dual certification in early childhood, which would not require a content test. Secondary special education candidates earning dual certification as a reading specialist are similarly exempted from the content test.
- 4. New York requires a multi-subject content test specifically geared to secondary special education candidates. It is divided into three subtests.

Critical Attention: Student Teaching



Maine does not ensure that teacher preparation programs will provide teacher candidates with a high-quality summative clinical experience.

The importance of clinical practice in teacher preparation has become a major area of focus. Student teaching is the final clinical experience of teacher preparation, and teacher candidates have only one chance to experience the best possible placement. Student teaching will shape candidates' own performance as teachers and help determine the type of school in which they will choose to teach. A mediocre student teaching experience, let alone a disastrous one, can never be undone.

Central to the quality of the student teaching experience is the classroom teacher who serves as the teacher candidate's mentor, or cooperating teacher. Only strong teachers with evidence of their effectiveness, as assessed by objective measures of student learning and the teachers' principals, should be able to serve as cooperating teachers. Yet placement is much more likely to be the luck of the draw. NCTQ's recent study *Student Teaching in the United States* found that three out of four teacher preparation programs fail to require that cooperating teachers must be effective instructors.

Maine commendably requires that candidates complete a minimum of 15 weeks of full-time student teaching. However, the state fails to articulate any requirements for cooperating teachers.

Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, MAINE, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming

Y

Florida, Indiana, Tennessee

NEXT STEPS FOR MAINE:

■ Ensure that cooperating teachers have demonstrated evidence of effectiveness as measured by student learning.

In addition to the ability to mentor an adult, cooperating teachers in Maine should also be carefully screened for their capacity to further student achievement. Research indicates that the only aspect of a student teaching arrangement that has been shown to have an impact on student achievement is the positive effect of selection of the cooperating teacher by the preparation program, rather than by the student teacher or school district staff.

Figure 14 Do states requithe elements of high-quality stateaching experiments of high-quality stateaching experiments of high-quality stateaching experiments of colorado and connecticut delaware delaware delaware delaware delaware delaware delawari delaw				
the elements of high-quality st teaching expensions and alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Colu Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana¹ Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Newada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont		æ		
the elements of high-quality st teaching expensions teaching expensions and alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Coluflorida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana¹ Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont	ire	404/	154	The same of the sa
Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Colu Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana¹ Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Newada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont		20	23.54 23.54	
Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Colu Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana¹ Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska New Hampshir New Jersey New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont		471N 845E 1ESS	15 2 2 X	
Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Colu Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana¹ Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska New Hampshir New Jersey New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont		PER TE	15 N 0 N 1 N 1 N 1 N 1 N 1 N 1 N 1 N 1 N 1	
Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Colu Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana¹ Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Newada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont	rerree.		754 757 757	
Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Colu Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana¹ Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska New Hampshir New Jersey New Hexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont		COOPERATING TEACHER	FULL TIME STUDENT LEAST TO WEEKS AT	
Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Colu Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana¹ Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Colu Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana¹ Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska New Hampshir New Jersey New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Colu Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana¹ Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Colu Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana¹ Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Connecticut Delaware District of Colu Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana¹ Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Delaware District of Colu Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana¹ Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Newada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
District of Colu Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana¹ Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana¹ Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont	mbia			
Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana¹ Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Newada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana¹ Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Idaho Illinois Indiana¹ Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Illinois Indiana¹ Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
lowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Kansas Kentucky Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Kentucky Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Kentucky Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Louisiana MAINE Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Nebraska Nevada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Nevada New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
New Hampshir New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont	е			
New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont				
Texas Utah Vermont				
Utah Vermont				
Vermont				
6				Based on new REPA II regulations.
Washington				Candidates can student teach for
West Virginia			2	less than 12 weeks if determined to be proficient.
Wisconsin				to be prondent.
Wyoming				
		3	28	

Critical Attention: Teacher Preparation Program Accountability



Maine does not hold its teacher preparation programs accountable for the effectiveness of the teachers they produce.

Teacher preparation programs operate by virtue of state approval. As such, it is up to states to connect that approval to accountability measures that ensure that all approved programs meet minimum performance standards. Such an accountability system informs the public—including prospective teachers seeking a program as well as districts hiring graduates—by shining a light on high performers as well as identifying those programs performing poorly.

Further, as more states begin to raise expectations for teachers by way of evaluations focused on effectiveness, there is an even greater need to hold teacher preparation programs accountable for the effectiveness of the teachers they produce. Although the quality of both the subject-matter preparation and professional sequence is crucial, there are also additional measures that can provide the state and the public with meaningful, readily understandable indicators of how well programs are doing when it comes to preparing teachers to be successful in the classroom.

Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, MAINE, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming

Alabama, Colorado, Georgia, Kentucky, Michigan, Nevada, North Carolina, Ohio, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas

Florida, Louisiana

Although it does not connect student achievement gains to teacher preparation programs, Maine is beginning to collect some objective performance data for its traditional programs, including the number of program completers, the number of program completers who pass certification tests, the number of program completers who attain provisional licensure and those who proceed from provisional to professional licensure, and the number of completers who are teaching in the state three and five years after program completion. However, Maine has not established minimum performance standards for each category of data it collects that can be used for accountability purposes. Further, the state does not provide the public with meaningful, readily understandable indicators of how well programs are doing.

NEXT STEPS FOR MAINE:

■ Collect data that connect student achievement gains to teacher preparation programs.

As one way to measure whether programs are producing effective classroom teachers, Maine should consider the academic achievement gains of students taught by programs' graduates, averaged over the first three years of teaching. Data that are aggregated to the institution (e.g., combining elementary and secondary programs) rather than disaggregated to the specific preparation program are not useful for accountability purposes. Such aggregation can mask significant differences in performance among programs.

■ Collect other meaningful, program-level data that reflect program performance.

Although measures of student growth are an important indicator of program effectiveness, they cannot be the sole measure of program quality for several reasons, including the fact that many programs may have graduates whose students do not take standardized tests. Maine should expand its requirements to its alternate routes and also include such measures as:

- Evaluation results from the first and/or second year of teaching;
- Satisfaction ratings by school principals and teacher supervisors of programs' student teachers, using a standardized form to permit program comparison;
- Average raw scores of teacher candidates on licensing tests, including academic proficiency, subject matter and professional knowledge tests; and
- Number of times, on average, it takes teacher candidates to pass licensing tests.

Establish minimum standards of performance.

Merely collecting the types of data described above is insufficient for accountability purposes. The next and perhaps more critical step is for the state to establish precise minimum standards for teacher preparation program performance for each category of data. Programs should then be held accountable for meeting these standards, and there should be consequences for failing to do so, including loss of program approval.


Publish an annual report card on the state's website.

Maine should produce an annual report card that shows all the data the state collects on individual teacher preparation programs, which should be published on the state's website at the program level for the sake of public transparency. Data should be presented in a manner that clearly conveys whether programs have met performance standards.

Maintain full authority over teacher preparation program approval.

There appears to be considerable overlap between the public process of state program approval and the private process of national accreditation in Maine. While it is not unreasonable that the state may wish to coordinate these processes for institutions also seeking national accreditation, Maine should ensure that it is the state that considers the evidence of program performance and makes the decision about whether programs should continue to be authorized to prepare teachers.

Figure 15 Do states use student



- 1. Strong Practice: Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, North Carolina, Ohio, Tennessee, Texas
- 2. Delaware, District of Columbia, Hawaii, Maryland, Massachusetts, New York, Rhode Island
- 3. Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Idaho. Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming

Figure 16 Do states hold teacher preparation programs accountable? Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado³ Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana⁵ Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana MAINE¹ Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada¹ New Hampshire⁶ New Jersey¹ New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio1 Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania¹ Rhode Island¹ South Carolina¹ South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia¹ Washington West Virginia¹ Wisconsin Wyoming 5 33 15

TEACHER PRODUCTION IN MAINE

States have long established requirements for teacher preparation and licensure and have lately turned their attention toward accountability systems for preparation programs. But one topic that has received little attention from states is the issue of teacher production. From the number of teachers who graduate from preparation programs each year, only a subset are certified and only some of those certified are actually hired in the state; the relationship between these numbers has important implications for related policymaking.

States are rightly focused on areas of chronic teacher shortages, such as secondary mathematics and science, but little consideration is given to areas of consistent oversupply, particularly the overproduction in most states of elementary teachers. While it is certainly desirable to produce a big enough pool to give districts choice in hiring, the substantial oversupply in some teaching areas is not good for the profession. Limited resources are squandered on individuals who will not go on to teach, most critically the scarce supply of student teaching placements with effective cooperating teachers. Admissions criteria, licensure requirements and program accountability standards may be unnecessarily depressed if the dots are not connected from graduation to certification to actual employment in a district.

Maryland's "Teacher Staffing Report" provides a model for other states. Published biennially, the report has been tracking staffing trends in the state for almost three decades. While its primary purpose is to determine teacher shortage areas, it also identifies areas of surplus. By collecting hiring data from districts, Maryland has a rich set of data that can inform policy decisions.

The latest edition of the "Teacher Staffing Report" can be found at: http://www.marylandpublicschools.org/MSDE/divisions/certification/progapproval/mtsr.

Maine teacher production data: NCTQ was unable to find any published data on teacher production in Maine that connects program completion, certification and hiring statistics.


- 1. Traditional preparation only.
- 2. Reported institutional data do not distinguish between candidates in the traditional and alternate route programs.
- 3. Required, but not yet available.
- 4. Alternate routes only.
- 5. Based on new REPA II regulations.
- 6. New Hampshire is in the process of adopting new reporting requirements.

Figure 17		/	Mational acceptation;	JEAC
What is the relationship	STATE HAS ITS OUN.	Overlap of accredian:	40/1; //oj	appro .
between state program	ОМ	F.S. / F.S.	val ditati	(Jehr)
approval and national	5/75	% 9°40 189.		
accreditation?	77 Y Z	erlap State	onal,	
	57.4 P.P.R.	10 pte	Nati	
Alabama		/ /		
Alaska				
Arizona				
Arkansas				
California		1		
Colorado				
Connecticut				
Delaware				
District of Columbia Florida				
Georgia Hawaii				
Idaho				
Illinois				
Indiana				
lowa				
Kansas				
Kentucky				
Louisiana				
MAINE		1		
Maryland				
Massachusetts				
Michigan				
Minnesota				
Mississippi		1		
Missouri				
Montana	Ц		Щ	
Nebraska				
Nevada New Hampshire				
New Jersey				
New Mexico				
New York				
North Carolina		_		
North Dakota				
Ohio	П			
Oklahoma				
Oregon				
Pennsylvania				
Rhode Island				
South Carolina				
South Dakota				
Tennessee				
Texas				
Utah				
Vermont				
Virginia		1		
Washington				
West Virginia Wisconsin				
Wyoming				
Tryoning				
	8	31	12	



There are some areas where a small adjustment would result in significantly stronger policy. Here are some issues that represent low-hanging fruit, policies that can be addressed in relatively short order.

- To ensure adequate subject-area knowledge, Maine should require secondary teachers who obtain a combination science or general social studies certification to pass individual content tests (or a composite test that reports individual subscores) for each discipline they will be licensed to teach, as noted in the secondary critical attention section.
- Maine should require all elementary special education teacher candidates to pass the same content test as general elementary education candidates. Special education students, like all students, are expected to meet the Common Core State Standards. The state puts special education students at a disadvantage in meeting these expectations if their teachers are held to lower requirements for content knowledge.
- To ensure that teacher candidates have strong reading, mathematics and writing skills, Maine should close the loophole that allows candidates with a deficient score in one area of the state's basic skills test to pass based on a composite score. As is the practice in most states, Maine should require a passing score in each area.
- As a first step toward using an assessment for admission to a teacher preparation program that compares candidates to the general-college going population, Maine should allow teacher candidates to submit ACT/SAT/GRE scores that demonstrate academic proficiency.



Alternate Routes to Certification

The policies discussed in the "Critical Attention" section of this report primarily focus on traditional teacher preparation programs because such programs presently train the vast majority of new teachers. Of course, there are some teachers that attain licensure outside of these traditional programs. Alternate routes to certification were developed based on the idea that there should be pathways into the teaching profession for nontraditional candidates who are able to demonstrate strong subject-area knowledge and an above-average academic background.

Unfortunately, most states have considerable work to do to make their alternate routes viable pathways into the teaching profession. Considerable variation remains in both the quality of states' routes and how much of an alternative to traditional preparation such routes actually provide.

A high-quality, genuinely alternative licensure pathway should be rigorous yet flexible in admissions, focused and deliberate in preparation, and open to broad usage across subjects and grades.

State policy for alternate routes to teacher licensure should ensure that:

- Strong academic performance and subject-matter-knowledge testing are prerequisites for program admission.
- Subject-area majors are not required or candidates have the option to test out of any subject-area coursework requirements.
- Coursework is streamlined and not overly burdensome, and it meets the immediate needs of new teachers.
- Program length is reasonable (no more than two years). Practice teaching and/or intensive mentoring is required.
- Limits are not placed on the subjects and/or grades an alternate route teacher can teach, and alternate route providers are not restricted to colleges and universities; districts and nonprofits should be permitted to offer programs as well.

Maine has five alternate route programs: Adjunct Teaching Path, Advanced Degree Alternative Path, Core Academic Preparation Path, Clinical Practice Path and the One-Year Supervised Practicum Path. High-quality, alternative licensure pathways should be rigorous yet flexible in admissions, focused and deliberate in preparation, and open to broad usage across subjects and grades. Except for requiring all alternate route candidates to pass a content knowledge assessment, none of Maine's programs offers a genuinely alternate route into the teaching profession (see Figure 19).

NEXT STEPS FOR MAINE:

Set high standards for alternate routes and provide flexibility for meeting them.

A minimum GPA requirement is a first step toward ensuring that candidates are of good academic standing, but none of the alternate routes in Maine has such a prerequisite. The state should set a standard, and it should be higher than what is required of traditional teacher candidates, such as a GPA of at least 2.75. Alternatively, the state could require one of the standardized tests of academic proficiency commonly used in higher education for graduate admissions, such as the GRE.

While Maine requires candidates to pass a subject-matter test to demonstrate content knowledge, the state should provide flexibility allowing candidates in all routes who can demonstrate the requisite knowledge to test out of subject-matter coursework requirements.

■ Ensure that preparation coursework and support target the immediate needs of new teachers.

Maine does not ensure that its alternate route candidates will receive streamlined preparation that meets the immediate needs of new teachers. All coursework requirements should be manageable for career changers and other nontraditional candidates and contribute to the immediate needs of new teachers. Except for the One-Year Supervised Practicum Path, Maine's alternate routes are three years long, which really doesn't represent a streamlined alternative to a traditional program. Appropriate coursework should include grade-level or subject-level seminars, methodology in the content area, class-room management, assessment and scientifically based early reading instruction.


Although Maine has a mentoring requirement for alternate route candidates, the state should provide more specific guidelines to ensure that mentoring will encourage new teacher success. Effective strategies include practice teaching prior to teaching in the classroom, intensive mentoring with full classroom support in the first few weeks or months of school, a reduced teaching load and release time to allow new teachers to observe experienced teachers during the school day.

■ Eliminate restrictions on alternate route usage and providers.

Maine should reconsider grade-level restrictions on its Adjunct Teaching alternate route. Alternate routes can help expand the teacher pipeline throughout the state, and subject and grade-level limits are counterproductive to this goal.

Maine also should specifically authorize alternate route programs run by local school districts and non-profits, as well as institutions of higher education. A good diversity of providers helps all programs, both university- and nonuniversity-based, to improve.

Figure 18		#/	\$ / S ₁₀
Do states provide real alternate pathways to certification?	GENUINE OR NEARLY	Allemate route that	Offered route is disingenous
Alabama		/ s ,	
Alaska			
Arizona			
Arkansas			
California			
Colorado			
Connecticut			
Delaware	$\overline{\Box}$		
District of Columbia			
Florida			
Georgia			
Hawaii			
Idaho			
Illinois			
Indiana		$\overline{\Box}$	
lowa			
Kansas			
Kentucky			
Louisiana			
MAINE			
Maryland			
Massachusetts			
Michigan			
Minnesota			
Mississippi			
Missouri			
Montana			
Nebraska			
Nevada			
New Hampshire			
New Jersey			
New Mexico			
New York			
North Carolina			
North Dakota			
Ohio			
Oklahoma			
Oregon			
Pennsylvania			
Rhode Island			
South Carolina			
South Dakota			
Tennessee			
Texas			
Utah			
Vermont			
Vermont Virginia			
Virginia			
Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin			
Virginia Washington West Virginia			
Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin			




30 : NCTQ STATE TEACHER POLICY YEARBOOK 2012

Alternate Route Policy Checklist for States

1.	Set high standards and provide flexibility for meeting them.	 Screen candidates based on academic ability. Set a higher standard for entry than is set for traditional teacher preparation. Require candidates to pass the state's subject-matter licensing test. Don't require a major in the intended subject area; instead, allow candidates to demonstrate subject-matter knowledge on a rigorous test.
2.	Provide streamlined preparation.	 Limit coursework (ideally to no more than 12 credits a year). Require that the alternate route is an accelerated course of study. Ensure that all coursework requirements target the immediate needs of the new teacher Offer candidates an opportunity to practice teach in a summer training program. Provide intensive mentoring.
3.	Remove regulatory obstacles.	 ✓ Allow for a diversity of alternate route providers. ✓ Don't limit the use of alternate routes to shortage areas or to certain grades or subjects.

Figure 20
Authority for Teacher Preparation in Maine



Critical Attention Summary for Maine




Red

		AUTHORITY
ADMISSION INTO PREPARATION PROGRAMS	Require that preparation programs screen candidates prior to admission by using a common test normed to the general college-bound population and limit acceptance to those candidates demonstrating academic ability in the top 50th percentile.	State Board of Education
ELEMENTARY TEACHER PREPARATION	 Require all elementary teacher candidates to pass a rigorous content test that assesses knowledge of all subjects. Require preparation programs to provide mathematics content specifically geared to the needs of elementary teachers, and require candidates to pass a rigorous math assessment. Require a rigorous assessment in the science of reading instruction. Require a content specialization in an academic subject area. 	State Board of Education
MIDDLE SCHOOL TEACHER PREPARATION	 Eliminate the generalist K-8 license. Require middle school candidates to pass a content test in every core area they intend to teach. Encourage two subject-matter minors for candidates who are licensed to teach multiple subjects; those who teach single subjects should earn a content major. 	State Board of Education
STUDENT TEACHING	 Ensure that cooperating teachers have demonstrated evidence of effectiveness as measured by student learning. 	State Board of Education
TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAM ACCOUNTABILITY	 Collect performance data to monitor programs. Set minimum standards for program performance with consequences for failure to meet those standards. Publicly report performance data. 	State Board of Education



Yellow

		AUTHORITY
SECONDARY TEACHER PREPARATION	 Require secondary science and social studies teachers to pass a content test for each discipline they are licensed to teach. 	State Board of Education
SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHER PREPARATION	 Require that elementary special education candidates pass the same content test as general elementary teachers. Ensure that secondary special education teachers possess adequate content knowledge. 	State Board of Education



1420 New York Avenue, NW • Washington, DC 20005
Tel: 202-393-0020 Fax: 202-393-0095 Web: www.nctq.org

Subscribe to NCTQ's blog PDQ 🔊

Follow NCTQ on Twitter 🕒 and Facebook 🕤

NCTQ is available to work with individual states to improve teacher policies.

For more information, please contact:

Sandi Jacobs
Vice President
sjacobs@nctq.org
202-393-0020